

Fiscal Year 2013  
NNSA Strategic Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP) Template

FOR

MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION OF THE  
Kansas City Plant  
Honeywell Federal Manufacturing & Technologies (FM&T)

Contract Number: DE-NA0000622

Performance period: October 01, 2012 through September 30, 2013

Signature & Date  
**Signature of Christopher Gentile** 9/5/12  
Christopher C. Gentile Date  
President  
Honeywell FM&T/KCP

Signature & Date  
**Signature of Mark Holecek** 9-6-12  
Mark L. Holecek Date  
Site Office Manager  
Kansas City Site Office  
National Nuclear Security Administration

Signature & Date  
**Signature of Therese LeBlanc** 9/6/12  
Therese M. LeBlanc Date  
General Counsel  
Honeywell FM&T/KCP

Signature & Date  
**Signature of Ralph B Tennant II** 9/6/12  
Ralph B. Tennant II Date  
Site Office Contracting Officer  
Kansas City Site Office  
National Nuclear Security Administration

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Kansas City Plant is managed by Honeywell FM&T, herein referenced as “Contractor”, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA). Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Contract, and Clause B-7 *Performance Evaluation Plan*, this Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP) sets forth the criteria in which the Contractor’s performance will be evaluated and upon which the determination of the amount of award fee earned shall be based. The available award fee amounts for FY 2013 are specified in Section B-2 of Contract No. DE-NA0000622.

The performance-based approach evaluates the Contractor’s performance through a set of performance objectives (PO). Each PO will be measured on demonstrated performance and its impact to the NNSA mission. Critical factors and applicable site specific outcomes will be assessed in the aggregate to establish an adjectival performance rating for each Performance Objective. This PEP evaluates Contractor performance and promotes a strategic Governance and Oversight framework based on prudent management of risk, accountability, and renewed trust. It has been written to implement the collective governance and oversight reform principles as expressed by the Deputy Secretary of Energy and the NNSA Administrator.

## **PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND OVERSIGHT**

The Contractor is accountable for successfully executing the work in accordance with applicable NNSA safety and security requirements while assessing its performance against the terms and conditions of the Contract. Protection of worker and public safety, the environment, and security are essential and implicit elements of successful mission performance. Accordingly, the model for this PEP is to rely on the Contractor’s leadership in utilizing appropriate DOE contractual requirements and recognized industrial standards based on consideration of assurance systems, and the related measures, metrics, and evidence. The Contractor is expected to manage in a safe, secure, efficient, effective, mission driven manner, with appropriate risk management and transparency to the government.

## **PERFORMANCE STANDARDS/PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

The NNSA has an established and approved evaluation process. The evaluation of performance will consider unanticipated barriers (e.g., budget restrictions, rule changes, circumstances outside Contractor’s control), accomplishments, and other events that may occur during the performance period. Effective efforts to overcome or mitigate the impact of such barriers or circumstances will also be a factor in evaluating performance.

## **PERFORMANCE RATING PROCESS**

The Contractor will provide a self-critical and comprehensive self-assessment of its performance. The self-assessment will be submitted to the respective NNSA Site Office no later than October 4, 2013, to allow sufficient time for NNSA review (per the NNSA corporate evaluation process). An overall performance rating will be assigned for each PO using the table in Federal Acquisition Regulation Subpart 16.401(e)(3). Meeting expectations herein equates to a satisfactory performance based on the FAR table. NNSA will consider the contractor’s self-assessment in preparing the Performance Evaluation Report and will also independently evaluate contractor performance when making the final recommendations to the Fee Determining Official (FDO) on

performance ratings and award fee earned for the award fee period of performance. The unilateral decision of the total award fee earned will be made by the FDO.

**PEP CHANGE CONTROL**

It is essential that a baseline of performance expectations be established at the beginning of the performance period to equitably measure performance, and that changes to that baseline are carefully managed. Any change to the PEP requires concurrence by the appropriate program office, NA-00 and the NNSA Senior Procurement Executive prior to the Site Office Manager and Contracting Officer signatures. While recognizing the unilateral rights of NNSA as expressed in contract clause B-7 *Performance Evaluation Plan*, bilateral changes are the preferred method of change whenever possible.

**TOTAL AVAILABLE AWARD FEE ALLOCATION**

<b>Performance Category</b>	<b>Performance Objective</b>	<b>% At-Risk Fee Allocation</b>
Programs	<b>PO-1: Nuclear Weapons Mission</b>	40%
Programs	<b>PO-2: Broader National Security Mission</b>	5%
Programs	<b>PO-3: Science, Technology and Engineering Mission</b>	5%
Operations & Mission Execution	<b>PO-4: Security, Infrastructure, Environmental Stewardship and Institutional Management</b>	40%
Operations & Mission Execution	<b>PO-5: Contractor Leadership</b>	10%

### **Unearned Fee**

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) reserves the right to withdraw and redistribute NNSA unearned fees.

### **Award Term Incentive**

Not applicable to the Kansas City Plant.

### **PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES**

The Contractor will recommend innovative, science-based, systems-engineering solutions to the most challenging problems that face the nation and the globe. The Contractor will also provide evidence to support programmatic needs and operational goals tempered by risk. NNSA will take into consideration all major functions contributing to mission success.

Along with the Contributing Factors, and Site Specific Outcomes , the Contractor's performance will be evaluated against the NNSA's Strategic Plan, NNSA Performance Priorities and Deliverables, Program Execution Plans, Work Authorizations and other key inputs (e.g. Multi-Year Strategic Objectives).

### **PO-1: Nuclear Weapons Mission – (At-Risk Fee: 40%)**

Successfully execute Nuclear Weapons mission work in accordance with NNSA Priorities, Program Control Document (PCD) and Deliverables, and Program Execution Plans. Integrate across the site, while maintaining an NNSA enterprise-wide focus, to achieve greater impact on a focused set of strategic national security priorities. Provide defensible objective evidence.

#### **Contributing Factors:**

- Accomplish work within the budget profile, scope, cost, schedule, and risk negotiated with program sponsors and partners, achieving the expected level of quality.
- Increase knowledge of the state of the stockpile resulting in successful execution of the stockpile surveillance program and a robust scientific and engineering understanding for the delivery of the annual stockpile assessment.
- Execute product development and deliveries in the life extension programs (e.g., B61-12), alterations (ALTs) (e.g., W88 ALT 370), and limited life component (LLC) exchanges.
- Demonstrate the application of new strategies, technologies, and scientific understanding in anticipation of future stockpile needs including enhanced stockpile surety.
- Sustain and strengthen unique science and engineering capabilities, facilities and essential skills to ensure current and future Nuclear Weapons mission requirements will be met.
- Demonstrate effective operations and implementation of policy for mission success.

#### **Site Specific Outcomes:**

1. Demonstrate mature nonnuclear component manufacturing technologies during Phase 6.3 B61-12 LEP.
2. Support Phase 6.2 W78/88 LEP program objectives.
3. Support Phase 6.3 W88 Alt 370 program objectives.
4. Achieve quality performance objectives as described in the KCSO Quality Performance Index, dated September 28, 2012.

**PO-2: Broader National Security Mission (At-Risk Fee: 5%)**

Successfully execute the broader national security mission work in accordance with NNSA Priorities, Program Work Authorizations, priorities and deliverables, and Program Execution Plans to include the Non-Proliferation, Emergency Operations and Counterterrorism missions among others. Integrate across the site, while maintaining an NNSA enterprise-wide focus, to achieve greater impact on a focused set of strategic national security priorities. Provide defensible objective evidence.

**Contributing Factors:**

- Accomplish work within the budget profile, scope, cost, schedule, and risk negotiated with the program sponsors or partners, achieving the expected level of quality.
- Demonstrate the application of new strategies, technologies, and scientific understanding in anticipation of future national security needs.
- Pursue and perform high impact work that leverages, sustains and strengthens unique science and engineering capabilities, facilities and essential skills to ensure the ability to meet current and future national security mission requirements.
- Demonstrate effective operations and implementation of policy for mission success.
- Maintain effective nuclear counterterrorism and incident response mission support capability.
- Execute DOE mission work (outside NNSA) (e.g. EM, IN)

**Site Specific Outcomes:**

5. Ensure IAW/WFO activities offset operational/overhead costs for IAW/WFO customers and NNSA while maintaining critical NNSA capabilities and assure the new National Secure Manufacturing Center (NSMC) is fully funding its operational costs. (KCSO)

**PO-3: Science, Technology & Engineering (ST&E) Mission (At-Risk Fee: 5%)**

Successfully execute research to enable, support, and advance national security missions and to advance the frontiers of ST&E in accordance with budget profile, scope, cost, schedule, and risk, achieving the expected level of quality. Effectively manage Laboratory/Plant/Site Directed Research and Development Programs (LDRD/PDRD/SDRD). Execute the ST&E mission and provide defensible objective evidence.

**Contributing Factors:**

- Implement a research strategy that is clear and aligns discretionary investments (e.g., LDRD/PDRD/SDRD) with the research strategy and support NNSA priorities.
- Ensure that research is relevant, enables the national security missions, and benefits DOE/NNSA, and the nation.
- Ensure that research is transformative, innovative, leading edge, high quality, and advances the frontiers of science and engineering.
- Maintain a healthy and vibrant research environment that enhances technical workforce competencies and research capabilities.
- Perform research to accomplish the high priority, multi-year research objectives, advance ST&E, and develop technologies for the public good through technology transfer.

**Site Specific Outcomes:**

None

**PO-4: Security, Infrastructure, Environmental Stewardship and Institutional Management  
(At-Risk Fee: 40%)**

Effectively and efficiently manage the operations of the site while maintaining an NNSA enterprise-wide focus; demonstrate accountability for mission performance and management controls; assure mission commitments are met with high-quality products and services; and maintain excellence as a 21<sup>st</sup> century government-owned, contractor-operated facility.

**Contributing Factors:**

- Accomplish the safeguards and security and emergency management mission by applying prudent risk management and implementing rigorous contractor governance processes to ensure sustained effective performance with no significant failures.
- Line item construction projects will be accomplished in accordance with the budget profile, scope, cost, schedule, and risk negotiated with the program sponsors or partners, achieving the expected level of quality.
- In concert with NNSA Strategies:
  - Deliver efficient, effective, and responsive business operations and systems.
  - Deliver efficient, effective, and secure networks and information systems.
  - Deliver efficient and effective facility and infrastructure portfolio management.
  - Deliver efficient, effective, and responsive environment, safety and health management and processes.
  - Deliver efficient, and effective management of legal risk and incorporation of best legal practices.
  - Deliver efficient and effective management of a quality assurance system and decision-making model framework that improves the quality of mission products and services.

**Site Specific Outcomes:**

6. KCP/KO Transformation: Achieve FY13 performance targets as identified in the FY2013 KCP/KO Transformation Plan.
7. Property Disposition: Support Bannister site property disposition with an emphasis on identifying opportunities for rapid reuse or redevelopment of the property. (KCSO)
8. Financial Management: Continue to improve financial management reporting, including cost accounting practices, budget formulation and execution, and internal controls. Achieve the goals delineated in the FY13 KCP Financial Management Implementation Plan dated September 30, 2012.
9. ISO 18001: Develop and execute a plan for OHSAS 18001 certification.
10. Maintain and demonstrate effective utilization of an approved comprehensive, transparent, and integrated Contractor Assurance System (CAS) in accordance with the prime contract.
11. Complete the actions and activities for addressing the environmental matters at the Bannister Federal Complex as agreed to with the State of Missouri and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and contained in the modified Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit (RCRA Part B Permit).

12. Execute assigned scope and work in support of the KCRIMS relocation project in accordance with approved cost and schedule baselines contained in authorized Work Task Agreements (WTAs) and the FY13 Work Authorization and Execution Plan (WAEP).

**PO-5: Contractor Leadership (At-Risk Fee: 10%)**

Successfully demonstrate leadership in supporting the direction of the overall NNSA mission, the responsiveness of the contractor's leadership team to issues and opportunities for continuous improvement internally and across the Enterprise, and parent company involvement/commitment to the overall success of the site and the Enterprise.

**Contributing Factors:**

- Define a realistic, strategic, scientific vision for the site in alignment with the NNSA Strategic Plan and progress to meet that vision.
- Demonstrate enterprise leadership and effective collaboration to ensure enterprise success.
- Establish and maintain long-term partnerships/relationships with private industry and the scientific and local communities.
- Instill a culture of accountability and responsibility through the entire organization.
- Strategically integrate interagency work to ensure necessary capabilities are maintained over time to support the NNSA mission.
- Create a work environment that achieves compliant and effective safety and security performance, and attracts the best and brightest scientist/engineers to execute our national programs
- Work selflessly within the NNSA / DOE complex to develop, integrate, and implement enterprise solutions that maximize program outputs at best value to the government
- Exhibit professional excellence in performing contractor roles/responsibilities while pursuing opportunities for continuous learning
- Coordinate/Communicate key issues and concerns to NNSA leadership
- Demonstrate performance results through the institutional utilization of the Management Assurance System and the leveraging of parent company resources and expertise.
- Lead a culture of critical self-assessment across all areas.

**Site Specific Outcomes:**

None