



 SEARCH

NUCLEAR
REACTORSNUCLEAR
MATERIALSRADIOACTIVE
WASTENUCLEAR
SECURITYPUBLIC MEETINGS &
INVOLVEMENTNRC
LIBRARYABOUT
NRC

PRINT

[Home](#) > [NRC Library](#) > [Document Collections](#) > [Enforcement Documents](#) > [Significant Enforcement Actions](#) > [Reactor Licensees](#) > [W](#)

Escalated Enforcement Actions Issued to Reactor Licensees - W

[A](#) | [B](#) | [C](#) | [D](#) | [E](#) | [F](#) | [G](#) | [H](#) | [I](#) | [J](#) | [K](#) | [L](#) | [M](#) | [N](#) | [O](#) | [P](#) | [Q](#) | [R](#) | [S](#) | [T](#) | [U](#) | [V](#) | [W](#) | [X](#) | [Y](#) | [Z](#)

This page includes links to files in non-HTML format. See [Plugins](#), [Viewers](#), and [Other Tools](#) for more information.

- [Washington Nuclear 2](#)
- [Waterford 3](#)
- [Watts Bar 1](#)
- [Wolf Creek](#)

Washington Nuclear 2 - Docket No. 050-00397

NRC Action Number(s) and Facility Name	Action Type (Severity) & Civil Penalty (if any)	Date Issued	Description
EA-98-480 Washington Nuclear	NOV (SL III)	01/29/1999	Violation involved failure to assure that a rupture of the plant's fire main would not impair equipment important to safety.
EA-97-573 Washington Nuclear	NOV (SL III)	06/01/1998	Failure to perform an adequate safety evaluation in accordance to 10 CFR 50.59 and failure to maintain inservice testing of Valve RCIC-V-45 as required by 10 CFR 50.55a(f).

EA-97-138 Washington Nuclear	NOV (SL III)	02/20/1998	Performance of response time measurements.
EA-96-327-I EA-96-327 Washington Nuclear	NOVCP (SL III) ORDERCP \$100,000	02/14/1997 11/26/1996	This action was based on several instances in which required surveillances were not performed. In some cases, mode changes were made without assurance that required equipment was operable, and in one case, a mode change was made with one train of the control room emergency filtration system inoperable. The violations have been collectively characterized as a Severity Level III problem.
EA-96-267 Washington Nuclear	NOV (SL III)	10/01/1996	Miscalibration of monitors resulted in inadequate dose assessment and protective action assessment process.



TOP

Waterford 3 - Docket No. 050-00382

NRC Action Number(s) and Facility Name	Action Type (Severity) & Civil Penalty (if any)	Date Issued	Description
EA-11-142 Waterford 3	NOV (White)	11/17/2011	On November 17, 2011, the NRC issued a White finding to Entergy Operations, Inc. as a result of inspections at the Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3. The White finding involved the failure to use effective engineering controls to prevent leakage from reactor coolant pump seals to surrounding areas. This failure resulted in high levels of contamination which caused unexpected and unintended radiation doses to plant workers during outage activities. There were no NRC violations associated with the finding.
EA-09-018 Waterford 3	NOV (White)	01/14/2010	On January 14, 2010, the NRC issued a Notice of Violation to Entergy Operations, Inc. for a violation of Technical Specification 6.8.1.a, "Procedures and Programs," at Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3. The violation, which is associated with a White Significance Determination Process finding, involved the failure to properly follow all procedural steps during replacement of the safety-related Train B 125 Vdc battery in May 2008. Specifically, following replacement of the battery, the licensee did not: (1) adequately torque all of the affected intercell connections, (2) obtain the required quality control inspector verification that all affected connections were properly tightened, (3) ensure that all the necessary intercell resistance checks were performed, and (4) obtain quality control verification that the intercell resistance checks met Technical Specification limits. As a result, an intercell connection on the battery loosened over time and on September 2, 2008, the battery was found to be inoperable during

			testing.
EA-03-230 Waterford 3	NOV (White)	04/12/2004	On April 12, 2004, a Notice of Violation was issued for a violation associated with a White SDP finding involving the failure to establish appropriate instruction and accomplish those instructions for installation of a fuel line for the Train A emergency diesel generator in May 2003.
EA-99-104 Waterford 3	NOV (SL III)	06/15/1999	Failure involving access authorization program.
EA-98-479 Waterford 3	NOV (SL III)	01/07/1999	Violation involved a failure to maintain required control of a copy of the Waterford-3 Physical Security Plan
EA-98-022 EA-98-022-W Waterford 3	NOVCP (SL III) \$110,000 Withdrawal	06/16/98 05/24/1999	50.59 violations involving AFW, and ECCS analysis deficiencies.
EA-97-589 Waterford 3	NOV (SL III)	02/25/1998	ACCW inoperable.
EA-97-099 Waterford 3	NOVCP (SL III) \$ 55,000	05/09/1997	The action was based on a Severity Level III problem consisting of: 1) a failure to assure containment fan cooler flows met Technical Specification surveillance acceptance requirements; 2) a failure to properly translate design basis information into accident analyses and specifications; 3) a failure to test containment fan cooler flows under post-accident conditions; and 4) a failure to take prompt action to resolve discrepancies between design basis documents and attained flows.
EA-96-255 Waterford 3	NOVCP (SL III) \$ 50,000	12/26/1996	Failure to test valves pursuant to the requirements of Sections of the IST program.
EA-96-025 Waterford 3	NOVCP (SL III) \$ 50,000	03/28/1996	Failure to implement effective actions to correct a known design deficiency in the auxiliary component cooling water system.



TOP

Watts Bar 1 - Docket No. 050-00390

NRC Action Number(s) and Facility Name	Action Type (Severity) &	Date Issued	Description
--	--------------------------	-------------	-------------

	Civil Penalty (if any)		
EA-05-169 Watts Bar 1	NOV (White)	04/07/2006	On April 7, 2006, a Notice of Violation was issued for a violation associated with a White SDP finding involving a challenge to reactor coolant system (RCS) integrity by multiple pressurizer power-operated relief valve (PORV) actuations and a challenge to RCS inventory control by loss of RCS coolant via the open PORV. This occurred on February 22, 2005, during transition to solid plant operations. The violation cited the licensee's failure to slowly raise charging flow to fill the pressure at less than 30 gallons per minute as required by Technical Specification 5.7.1.1 and Procedure GO-6, Unit Shutdown from Hot Standby to Cold Shutdown.
EA-05-036 Watts Bar 1	NOV (White)	04/11/2005	On April 11, 2005, a Notice of Violation was issued for a violation associated with a White SDP finding involving the licensee's failure to promptly identify and correct silt blockage of the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) line to the 1A-A centrifugal charging pump (CCP). The violation cited the licensee's failure to establish measures to assure that conditions adverse to quality, such as failures and malfunctions, are promptly identified and corrected, as required in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions."
EA-98-327 Watts Bar 1	NOVCP (SL II) \$ 88,000	10/15/2001	On October 15, 2001, the NRC issued a Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalty in the amount of \$88,000 for a Severity Level II violation involving employment discrimination against a power maintenance specialist for engaging in protected activities.



TOP

Wolf Creek - Docket No. 050-00482

NRC Action Number(s) and Facility Name	Action Type (Severity) & Civil Penalty (if any)	Date Issued	Description
EA-96-470 Wolf Creek	NOVCP (SL III) \$100,000	04/03/1997	The action is based on a Severity Level III problem consisting of three violations involving: (1) the failure to correct erroneous Technical Specification clarifications after being alerted of their existence by licensee Quality Assurance findings, (2) the continued existence of an erroneous Technical Specification clarification after being informed by the NRC that it was incorrect, and (3) an unauthorized change to the Technical Specifications.
EA-96-124 Wolf Creek	NOVCP (SL III)	07/01/1996	Frazil ice renders on train of ESW inoperable and the other train degraded; inoperable turbine driven AFW; operational inadequacies in

\$300,000

response to icing event.



TOP

Page Last Reviewed/Updated Thursday, March 29, 2012



[HOME](#)

[NEWS RELEASES](#)

[EVENT REPORTS](#)

[ADAMS](#)

[OPEN GOV](#)

[STUDENTS & TEACHERS](#)

[PHOTOS & VIDEO](#)

[ABOUT US](#)

[STRATEGIC PLAN](#)

[BUDGET & PERFORMANCE](#)

[PERF & ACCOUNTABILITY REPT](#)

[HISTORY OF THE NRC](#)

[EMPLOYMENT](#)

[NRC ETHICS](#)

[AGENCY STATUS](#)

[CONTACT US](#)

[POPULAR DOCUMENTS](#)

[INFO DIGEST](#)

[FACTSHEETS & BROCHURES](#)

[FORMS](#)

[ELECTRONIC SUBMITTALS](#)

[NRC REPORTS – NUREG](#)

[NRC REGULATIONS – 10-CFR](#)

[INSPECTION REPORTS](#)

[PLAIN WRITING](#)

[ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS](#)

[STAY CONNECTED](#)

[BLOG](#)

[FLICKR](#)

[TWITTER](#)

[YOUTUBE](#)

[RSS](#)