



DOE/EIS-0391

Draft Tank Closure  
and Waste Management  
Environmental Impact Statement  
for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington

---

Volume 2, Book 2  
Appendices G–N

U.S. Department of Energy

October 2009



## Cover Sheet

**Responsible Agency:** U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

**Cooperating Agency:** Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)

**Title:** *Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)* (DOE/EIS-0391)

**Location:** Benton County, Washington

**Contacts:** For copies of this draft environmental impact statement (EIS), call toll-free 888-829-6347, or contact Mary Beth Burandt at the address below.

For additional information on this  
*Draft TC & WM EIS*, contact:

Mary Beth Burandt, Document Manager  
Office of River Protection  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Post Office Box 1178  
Richland, WA 99352  
Attention: *TC & WM EIS*  
Email: TC&WMEIS@saic.com  
Fax: 1-888-785-2865  
Telephone and voicemail: 888-829-6347

For general information on the DOE National  
Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, contact:

Carol M. Borgstrom, Director  
Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (GC-20)  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20585  
Telephone: 202-586-4600, or leave a message  
at 800-472-2756

**Abstract:** The Hanford Site (Hanford), located in southeastern Washington State and situated along the Columbia River, is approximately 1,518 square kilometers (586 square miles) in size. Hanford's mission from the early 1940s to approximately 1989 included defense-related nuclear research, development, and weapons production activities. These activities created a wide variety of chemical and radioactive wastes. Hanford's mission now is focused on the cleanup of those wastes and ultimate closure of Hanford. To this end, several types of radioactive waste are being managed at Hanford: (1) high-level radioactive waste (HLW) as defined in DOE Manual 435.1-1; (2) transuranic (TRU) waste, which is waste containing alpha-particle-emitting radionuclides with atomic numbers greater than uranium (92) and half-lives greater than 20 years in concentrations greater than 100 nanocuries per gram of waste; (3) low-level radioactive waste (LLW), which is radioactive waste that is neither HLW nor TRU waste; and (4) mixed low-level radioactive waste (MLLW), which is LLW containing hazardous constituents as defined under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C 6901 et seq.). Thus, this *TC & WM EIS* analyzes the following three key areas:

- 1. Retrieval, treatment, and disposal of waste from 149 single-shell tanks (SSTs) and 28 double-shell tanks (DSTs) and closure of the SST system.** In this *TC & WM EIS*, DOE proposes to retrieve and treat waste from 177 underground tanks and ancillary equipment and dispose of this waste in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. At present, DOE is constructing a Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) in the 200-East Area of Hanford. The WTP would separate waste stored in Hanford's underground tanks into HLW and low-activity waste (LAW) fractions. HLW would be treated in the WTP and stored at Hanford until disposition decisions are made and implemented. (The analyses in this EIS are not affected by recent DOE plans to study alternatives for the disposition of the Nation's spent nuclear fuel and HLW because the EIS analysis shows that vitrified HLW can be stored safely at Hanford for many years.) LAW would

be treated in the WTP and disposed of at Hanford as decided in DOE's Record of Decision (ROD) issued in 1997 (62 FR 8693), pursuant to the *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement* (DOE/EIS-0189, August 1996). DOE proposes to provide additional treatment capacity for the tank LAW that can supplement the planned WTP capacity in fulfillment of DOE's obligations under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement) as soon as possible. DOE would dispose of immobilized LAW and Hanford's (and other DOE sites') LLW and MLLW in lined trenches on site. These trenches would be closed in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements.

2. **Final decontamination and decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility, a nuclear test reactor.** DOE proposes to determine the final end state for the aboveground, belowground, and ancillary support structures.
3. **Disposal of Hanford's waste and other DOE sites' LLW and MLLW.** DOE needs to decide where to locate onsite disposal facilities for Hanford's waste and other DOE sites' LLW and MLLW. DOE committed in the ROD (69 FR 39449) for the *Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington* (DOE/EIS-0286F, January 2004) that henceforth LLW would be disposed of in lined trenches. Specifically, DOE proposes to dispose of the waste in either the existing 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF) or the proposed 200-West Area IDF.

DOE has identified Preferred Alternatives for two of the three program areas and a range for the three key activities, as presented in this *TC & WM EIS*.

**Public Comments:** Comments on this draft EIS may be submitted during the 140-day comment period, which will begin when the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publishes a Notice of Availability in the *Federal Register*. Public meetings on this EIS will be held during the comment period. The dates, times, and locations of these meetings will be published in a DOE *Federal Register* notice, and will also be announced by other means.

***Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management  
Environmental Impact Statement  
for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington  
(Draft TC & WM EIS)***

**Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)  
Foreword**

**Note:** Ecology, as a cooperating agency, reviewed, provided comments on, and participated in the comment resolution process for the “preliminary draft” of this *Draft TC & WM EIS*. However, this foreword should be considered draft and subject to revision until Ecology has reviewed this *Draft TC & WM EIS* and, if necessary, supporting information.

**Summary**

Ecology believes that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and its contractors have prepared a *Draft TC & WM EIS* that presents many important issues for discussion. Ecology’s involvement to date shows that this document has benefitted from quality reviews and quality assurance procedures. The information in this document will help shed light on many key decisions that remain to be made about the Hanford Site (Hanford) cleanup.

Ecology expects DOE to consider our input through this foreword, as well as through any further comments made during the public comment process. We expect DOE to provide written responses to the major issues and comments prior to completion of the *Final TC & WM EIS*. Ecology will continue to work with DOE with the intent of helping to produce a final environmental impact statement (EIS) that fully informs future decisionmaking.

**I. Introduction**

Ecology has been a cooperating agency with DOE in the production of this *Draft TC & WM EIS*. DOE prepared this EIS to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. In addition, Ecology will review this EIS to determine if it can be adopted in whole or in part to satisfy the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The information in this EIS will help inform Ecology and others about critical future cleanup decisions impacting Hanford’s closure.

Ecology provides the following comments regarding this *Draft TC & WM EIS* to document areas of agreement or concern with this EIS and to assist the public in their review. Public and regulator input on this *Draft TC & WM EIS* are critical for the completion of an acceptable *Final TC & WM EIS*. Ecology encourages tribal nations, stakeholder groups, and the public to participate in the public comment process for this draft document.

When the *Final TC & WM EIS* is issued, Ecology will include a revised foreword to comment on the EIS conclusions. The foreword will also include the disposition of the comments we provided during the *Draft TC & WM EIS* review process.

**II. Ecology’s Role as a Cooperating Agency**

Ecology is a cooperating agency in the preparation of this EIS. A state agency may be a cooperating agency on a Federal EIS when the agency has jurisdiction by law over, or specialized expertise concerning, a major Federal action under evaluation in the EIS.

As a cooperating agency, Ecology does not coauthor or direct the production of this EIS. Ecology does have access to certain data and information as this document is being prepared by DOE and its contractors. Our roles and responsibilities in this process are defined in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Ecology and DOE.

DOE retains responsibility for making final decisions in the preparation of the *Final TC & WM EIS*, as well as for determining the preferred alternative(s) presented in the EIS. However, Ecology's participation as a cooperating agency enables us to help formulate the alternatives presented in this *TC & WM EIS*.

Ecology's involvement as a cooperating agency—and the current scope of the *Draft TC & WM EIS*—is grounded in a series of events.

In February 2002, DOE initiated the “Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington,” known as the “Tank Closure EIS.” On March 25, 2003, Ecology became a cooperating agency for the “Tank Closure EIS.” DOE and Ecology developed an MOU outlining respective agency roles and responsibilities.

While the “Tank Closure EIS” was being developed, another DOE EIS, the *Draft Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington (HSW EIS)*, was in the review stage. Among other matters, the HSW EIS examined the impacts of disposal at Hanford of certain volumes of radioactive waste and mixed radioactive and hazardous waste, including waste generated from beyond Hanford.

In March 2003, Ecology filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court seeking to prevent the importation and storage of certain offsite transuranic (TRU) and mixed TRU wastes that DOE had decided to send to Hanford prior to issuance of the *Final HSW EIS*. Ecology and intervening plaintiffs obtained a preliminary injunction against these shipments.

In January 2004, DOE issued the *Final HSW EIS*. Based on the *Final HSW EIS*, DOE amended a Record of Decision that directed offsite radioactive and hazardous wastes to Hanford (within certain volume limits) for disposal and/or storage. In response, Ecology amended its lawsuit to challenge the adequacy of the *HSW EIS* analysis.

In May 2005, the U.S. District Court expanded the existing preliminary injunction to enjoin a broader class of waste and to grant Ecology a discovery period to further explore issues with the *HSW EIS*.

In January 2006, DOE and Ecology signed a Settlement Agreement, ending litigation on the *HSW EIS* and addressing concerns found in the *HSW EIS* quality assurance review during the discovery period. The Settlement Agreement called for expanding the scope of the “Tank Closure EIS” to provide a single, integrated set of analyses of (1) tank closure impacts considered in the “Tank Closure EIS” and (2) the disposal of all waste types considered in the *Final HSW EIS*. The Settlement Agreement also called for an integrated cumulative impacts analysis.

Under the Settlement Agreement, the “Tank Closure EIS” was renamed the *TC & WM EIS*. Ecology's existing MOU with DOE was revised along with the Settlement Agreement so that Ecology remained a cooperating agency on the expanded *TC & WM EIS*.

The Settlement Agreement defined specific tasks to address concerns Ecology had with the *HSW EIS*. DOE has now revised information and implemented quality assurance measures used in this *TC & WM EIS* related to the solid waste portion of the analysis. Ecology has performed discrete quality

assurance reviews of that information to help confirm that the quality assurance processes of DOE's EIS contractor have been followed.

Based on Ecology's involvement to date, we believe that positive changes have been made to address data quality shortcomings in the *HSW EIS*. These specifically relate to the following:

- The data used in analyzing impacts on groundwater
- The integration of analyses of all waste types that DOE may dispose of at Hanford
- The adequacy of the cumulative impact analysis

Ecology will review this *Draft TC & WM EIS* to confirm that the terms of the Settlement Agreement have been addressed to our satisfaction.

### **III. Regulatory Relationships and SEPA**

After this *TC & WM EIS* is finalized, Ecology will proceed with approving regulatory actions required to complete the Hanford cleanup. These include actions under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (HFFACO, or Tri-Party Agreement) and actions that require state permits or modifications to existing permits, such as the Hanford Sitewide Permit. This permit regulates hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal activity at Hanford, including actions such as tank closure and supplemental treatment for tank waste.

Ecology must comply with SEPA when undertaking permitting actions. It is Ecology's hope that the *Final TC & WM EIS* will be suitable for adoption in whole or in part to satisfy SEPA.

In addition, Ecology will have a substantial role in establishing standards and methods for the cleanup of contaminated soil and groundwater at Hanford. These include areas that are regulated under hazardous waste corrective action authority and/or under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) through a CERCLA Record of Decision. Information developed in this EIS will thus be useful in other applications for the cleanup of Hanford.

### **IV. Ecology Insights and Alternatives Considered**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* considers 17 alternatives. DOE has not identified a specific preferred alternative. However, for the many decisions that are addressed in this EIS, DOE has selected a set of preferred alternatives. Ecology understands that the selection of a smaller number of preferred alternatives, or of a specific preferred alternative from that set, will be considered by DOE throughout public review of the *Draft TC & WM EIS*. When the final EIS is prepared, a preferred alternative will be identified by DOE.

The alternatives and tank closure options considered in this draft EIS include the following key decision areas:

- Additional tank waste treatment options (in addition to the Hanford Waste Treatment Plant [WTP] as provided in the *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement*)
- Tank farm closure options
- Waste management options for the Central Plateau (including disposal of offsite defense wastes)
- Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) decommissioning

Ecology will update this foreword in the *Final TC & WMEIS* and will express its agreement or disagreement with DOE's preferred alternative for specific decisions in the foreword. In the interim, Ecology's insights, technical perspectives, and legal and policy perspectives are provided below. Areas of agreement with DOE and points of concern are noted.

### **Single-Shell Tank Retrieval Options**

Ecology believes that DOE has presented an appropriate range of alternatives for evaluating tank waste retrieval and tank closure impacts. However, based on the hazardous waste tank closure standards of the "Dangerous Waste Regulations" (WAC 173-303-610[2]) and the HFFACO requirements, Ecology supports only alternatives that involve the retrieval of 99 percent or more of the waste from each of the 149 single-shell tanks (SSTs).

### **High-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal**

High-level radioactive waste (HLW) associated with the tank waste includes, but may not be limited to, immobilized high-level radioactive waste (IHLW) and HLW melters (both spent and failed). It has been DOE's longstanding plan to store these wastes at Hanford and then ship and dispose of them in a deep geologic repository. The idea was that the nature of the geology would isolate the waste and protect humans from exposure to these very long-lived, lethal radionuclides. The Nuclear Waste Policy Act indicates that these waste streams require permanent isolation. By contrast, the immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) glass, and perhaps other waste streams, may not require deep geologic disposal due to the level of pretreatment resulting in radionuclide removal and the degree of immobilization provided for in the ILAW glass.

However, the final decision on HLW disposal has recently become an issue with significant uncertainty. The *Draft TC & WM EIS* contains the following statement:

As indicated in the Administration's fiscal year 2010 budget request, the Administration intends to terminate the Yucca Mountain program while developing nuclear waste disposal alternatives. Notwithstanding the decision to terminate the Yucca Mountain program, DOE remains committed to meeting its obligations to manage and ultimately dispose of HLW and SNF. The Administration intends to convene a blue ribbon commission to evaluate alternative approaches for meeting these obligations. The commission will provide the opportunity for a meaningful dialogue on how best to address this challenging issue and will provide recommendations that will form the basis for working with Congress to revise the statutory framework for managing and disposing of HLW and SNF.

Ecology reminds the readers that the Nuclear Waste Policy Act requires permanent isolation of these most difficult waste streams. Leaving these wastes stored at Hanford indefinitely is not a legal option, nor an acceptable option to the State of Washington.

Ecology is concerned about the glass standards and canister requirements for the IHLW. These standards were developed based on what was acceptable to Yucca Mountain. Now that Yucca Mountain is no longer the assumed disposal location, Ecology is concerned about what standards for glass and canisters will be utilized by the WTP. Ecology insists that DOE implement the most conservative approach in these two areas to guarantee that the glass and canister configurations adopted at the WTP will be acceptable at the future deep geologic repository.

In addition, Ecology maintains that DOE should build and operate adequate interim storage capacity for the IHLW and the HLW melters in a manner that does not slow down the treatment of tank waste.

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* assumes that the used (both spent and failed) HLW melters are HLW and, therefore, should be disposed of in a deep geologic repository. This EIS also assumes that the used HLW melters will stay on site before shipment to such a repository. DOE has not requested, and Ecology has not accepted, long-term interim storage of failed or spent HLW melters at Hanford.

Ecology does not agree that the HLW melters will or should stay on site. We do agree with the final disposal in a deep geologic repository. The disposal pathway for both the failed and the spent melters will require further evaluation than is presented in this *Draft TC & WM EIS*. Ecology and DOE will need to reach a mutual understanding and agreement on the regulatory framework for disposal.

### **Pretreatment of Tank Waste**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* includes numerous alternatives that pretreat tank waste to separate the high-activity components and direct them to a HLW stream. The HLW stream will be vitrified, resulting in a glass waste product that will be sent to a deep geologic repository. However, this draft EIS has one alternative that provides no pretreatment for some portion of the waste in the 200-West Area.

As a legal and policy issue, Ecology does not agree with alternatives that do not require pretreatment of the tank waste. Such alternatives do not meet the intent of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act to remove as many of the fission products and radionuclides as possible to concentrate them in the HLW stream. For this reason, Ecology requests that DOE rule out any alternative that does not pretreat tank waste.

### **TRU Tank Waste**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* considers the option of treating and sending waste from specific tanks to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) as mixed TRU waste. This draft EIS also considers WTP processing of the waste from these specific tanks.

Ecology has legal and technical concerns with any tank waste being classified as mixed TRU waste at this time. DOE must provide peer-reviewed data and a strong, defensible, technically and legally detailed justification for the designation of any tank waste as mixed TRU waste, rather than as HLW. DOE must also complete the WIPP certification process and assure Ecology that there is a viable disposal pathway (i.e., permit approval from the State of New Mexico) before Ecology will modify the Hanford Sitewide Permit to allow tank waste to be treated as mixed TRU waste.

### **Supplemental Treatment**

In this *Draft TC & WM EIS*, DOE considers changes to the treatment processes that the WTP would use. Specifically, this draft EIS considers technologies to supplement the WTP's treatment of low-activity waste (LAW). The WTP as it is currently designed does not have the capacity to treat the entire volume of LAW in a reasonable timeframe.

Ecology agrees on the need to evaluate supplemental LAW treatment. An additional supplemental LAW treatment system is necessary to treat all the tank waste in a reasonable amount of time. Ecology fully supports the *Draft TC & WM EIS* alternative that assumes a second LAW Vitrification Facility would provide additional waste processing. Building a second LAW Vitrification Facility has consistently been Ecology's baseline approach. We would prefer a second LAW Vitrification Facility as the preferred alternative for the following reasons:

- LAW vitrification is a mature technology that is ready to be implemented with no further testing.
- LAW vitrification produces a well-understood waste form that is extremely protective of the environment (the bulk vitrification waste form is not as protective).

- Negative data from the last bulk vitrification experimental testing indicate waste form performance and technology implementation issues.
- There has been a lack of significant progress on advancing a bulk vitrification test facility for actual waste.
- The environmental results from the waste performance presented in this *Draft TC & WM EIS* indicate that LAW vitrification is superior to bulk vitrification.
- A recently published DOE report indicates that a second LAW Vitrification Facility would be preferable.

Consistent with the standard of HFFACO Milestone M-62-08, Ecology will analyze the information from the bulk vitrification alternative. From this analysis, Ecology will determine if the performance of the waste forms is comparable with WTP borosilicate glass. Ecology's measuring stick for a successful supplemental treatment technology has always been whether it is "as good as glass" (from the WTP).

As a technical issue, Ecology does not think that the waste treatment processes of steam reforming and cast stone would provide adequate primary waste forms for disposal of tank waste in onsite landfills. This has already been the subject of a previous DOE down-select process, in which Ecology and other participants rated these treatment technologies as low. This draft EIS shows that the waste form performance would be inadequate for both cast stone and steam reforming. These alternatives do not merit any further review.

Specifically related to the steam reforming alternative, Ecology has technical concerns about the *Draft TC & WM EIS's* assumptions for contaminant partitioning and its effects on waste form performance. It is inappropriate to assign the same assumptions to steam reforming as those used for bulk vitrification, given the different maturities of the two technologies.

### **Secondary Waste from Tank Waste Treatment**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* evaluates the impacts of disposing of secondary waste that results from tank waste treatment. Ecology agrees with DOE that secondary waste from the WTP and supplemental treatment operations would need additional mitigation before disposal. This assumption is not reflected in (and, in fact, is contradicted by) the current DOE baseline, which does not assume such additional mitigation. DOE has not determined what the secondary waste treatment would be, but DOE and its contractor are evaluating various treatment options.

### **Tank Waste Treatment Flowsheet**

In preparing this *Draft TC & WM EIS*, some assumptions were made about highly technical issues such as the tank waste treatment flowsheet, which is a representation of how much of which constituent ends up in which waste form and in what amount.

Certain constituents such as technetium-99 and iodine-129 are significant risk drivers because they are mobile in the environment and have long half-lives. This draft EIS assumes that 20 percent of the iodine-129 from the tank waste would end up in vitrified glass and 80 percent in the grouted secondary waste. The same assumption is made for bulk vitrification and the WTP LAW Vitrification Facility.

Based on its review of the *Draft TC & WM EIS's* contaminant flowsheets for the WTP and bulk vitrification, Ecology has technical concerns with this approach. The design configuration for the WTP indicates that iodine-129 recycles past the melter multiple times, which leads to a higher retention in the glass and less in the secondary waste. Therefore, Ecology believes the retention rate of iodine-129 in the

ILAW glass may be higher than that in bulk vitrification glass. However, Ecology is aware that there is uncertainty in the actual glass retention results.

Through our cooperating agency interactions, DOE has agreed to run a sensitivity analysis to show the information under a different approach. The sensitivity analysis in this *Draft TC & WM EIS* shows that if recycling of iodine-129 is as effective as the WTP flowsheets indicate, then the WTP with a Bulk Vitrification Facility alternative would place 80 percent of iodine-129 in secondary waste (a less-robust waste form). This compares to an alternative that includes a second LAW Vitrification Facility in addition to the WTP, which would place 30 percent of the iodine-129 in secondary waste. This 50 percent difference in capture reinforces Ecology's opinion that choosing Tank Closure Alternative 2B, which would use the WTP and a second LAW Vitrification Facility, would be best from a tank waste treatment perspective.

### **Waste Release**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* models waste releases from several different types of final waste forms, including the following:

- ILAW glass
- Failed and spent LAW melters
- Waste in bulk vitrification boxes
- Steam reformed waste
- Grouted LAW from tank waste
- Grouted secondary waste
- Waste left in waste sites
- Grouted waste in the bottom of tanks
- Direct buried waste in landfills
- Waste that has been macroencapsulated

Ecology understands the methods and formulas used for the waste form release calculations (for all waste types). However, we will need to see the modeling results and complete our technical review before we can validate this portion of this EIS.

### **Offsite Waste**

DOE is decades behind its legal schedule in retrieving tank waste from SSTs and years behind its legal schedule in completing construction of the WTP. DOE has not even begun treating Hanford's 200 million liters (53 million gallons) of tank waste.

At its current pace, DOE is in danger of falling years behind its legal schedule in processing contact-handled TRU waste for disposal at WIPP. DOE has not yet even completed planning for a facility to process remote-handled TRU waste for such disposal. Massive areas of Hanford's soil and groundwater are contaminated, and many of these areas will likely remain contaminated for generations to come, even after final cleanup remedies have been instituted.

The State of Washington is aware that under DOE's plans, more curies of radioactivity would leave Hanford (in the form of vitrified HLW and processed TRU waste) than would be added to Hanford through proposed offsite waste disposal. However, based on the current state of Hanford's cleanup and the analysis in this *Draft TC & WM EIS*, the State of Washington objects to the disposal at Hanford of additional wastes that have been generated from beyond Hanford.

As this *Draft TC & WM EIS* shows, disposal of the proposed offsite waste would significantly increase groundwater impacts to beyond acceptable levels. Such disposal would add to the risk term at Hanford today, at a time when progress on reducing the bulk of Hanford's existing risk term has yet to be realized. DOE should take a conservative approach to ensure that the impact of proposed offsite waste disposal,

when added to other existing Hanford risks, does not result in exceeding the “reasonable expectation” standard of DOE’s own performance objectives (see DOE Manual 435.1-1, Section IV.P[1]) and of other environmental standards (e.g., drinking water standards).

The State of Washington supports a “no offsite waste disposal” alternative as its preferred alternative in the *Final TC & WM EIS*, to be adopted in a Record of Decision. DOE should forgo offsite waste disposal at Hanford (subject to the exceptions in the current *State of Washington v. Bodman* Settlement Agreement), at least until such time as it has made significant progress on SST waste retrieval and the tank waste treatment process. If DOE wishes to use Hanford as an offsite waste repository after that point, DOE should then re-evaluate the potential impacts of any proposed offsite waste disposal in light of the then-existing Hanford risk term.

### **Waste Disposal Location Alternatives**

Ecology agrees with DOE that a preferred alternative locating the Integrated Disposal Facility in the 200-East Area appears better for long-term disposal of waste than in the 200-West Area because of the faster rate of groundwater flow in the 200-East Area.

### **Black Rock Reservoir**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* considers the groundwater impacts of locating Black Rock Reservoir upgradient of Hanford. This is noteworthy because leakage associated with the reservoir could have impacts on Hanford groundwater contamination. Ecology has reviewed the evaluation basis assumed in this draft EIS. On a technical basis, Ecology accepts that potential groundwater impacts of the proposed reservoir could (or likely would) adversely impact human health and the environment at Hanford.

### **Vadose Zone Modeling**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* uses the STOMP [Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases] modeling code for vadose zone modeling. Based on its current review, Ecology believes that the Hanford parameters used with this code are adequate for the purposes served by this EIS. Ecology notes that the *TC & WM EIS* STOMP modeling code parameters are based on a regional scale and may not be appropriate for site-specific closure decisions or other Hanford assessments. Use of STOMP in other assessments requires careful technical review and consideration of site-specific parameters. Further revisions of these STOMP parameters may be necessary.

### **Risk Assessment and Cumulative Impacts**

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* evaluates risk under the alternatives and in the cumulative impact analyses. The risk assessment modeling presented in this draft EIS should not be interpreted as a Hanford sitewide comprehensive human health and ecological risk assessment, applied to the river corridor or other specific Hanford areas. Specific Hanford areas will require unique site parameters that are applicable to that area’s specific use.

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* presents an evaluation of the cumulative environmental impacts of treatment and disposal of wastes at Hanford. The cumulative impact analyses allow DOE to consider the impacts of all cleanup actions it has taken or plans to take at Hanford.

## **V. Noteworthy Areas of Agreement**

Ecology and DOE have discussed and reached agreement on the following significant issues and parameters for the purposes of this *Draft TC & WM EIS*:

- The manner in which DOE presents groundwater data and information (i.e. with pictures).

- The quality assurance requirements that DOE and Ecology identified in the *HSW EIS (State of Washington v. Bodman)* Settlement Agreement
- The Technical Guidance Document for *Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement Vadose Zone and Groundwater Revised Analyses* Agreement, which focused on parameters shown to be important in groundwater analysis
- The location of calculation points for contaminant concentrations in groundwater
- The use of tank farm closure descriptions and alternative analysis
- The use of tank waste treatment descriptions and alternative analysis
- Inclusion of the US Ecology site and the cocooned reactors transported to the Central Plateau in the comprehensive cumulative impacts assessment
- Overall modeling approaches for vadose zone and groundwater
- The use of modeling assumptions for the double-shell tanks
- Alternative assumptions about how processes would treat existing wastes and generate other wastes during treatment processes, and how DOE would dispose of all of the wastes.
- The methods for evaluating and using waste inventory data
- Release mechanisms for contaminants from various waste forms
- An alternative in this *Draft TC & WM EIS* that evaluates impacts of treating and disposal of all tank waste and residue to meet the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act / Hazardous Waste Management Act HLW treatment standard of vitrification
- The inventory assumptions used for the pre-1970 burial grounds

Ecology's agreement on these issues and parameters is specifically for the purposes of this *Draft TC & WM EIS* and is based on Ecology's current knowledge and best professional judgment. Ecology's agreement should not be construed as applicable to any future documents, evaluations, or decisions at Hanford.



# Table of Contents

List of Figures .....	xvi
List of Tables .....	xlili
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations .....	lxxxiv
Measurement Units .....	xcii
Conversions .....	xciii
<b>Appendix A Federal Register and Other Public Notices</b> .....	A-1
A.1 Record of Decision for the Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, WA .....	A-1
A.2 Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, WA .....	A-14
A.3 Record of Decision for the Solid Waste Program, Hanford Site, Richland, WA: Storage and Treatment of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste; Disposal of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste, and Storage, Processing, and Certification of Transuranic Waste for Shipment to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant .....	A-21
A.4 Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility at the Hanford Site, Richland, WA .....	A-29
A.5 Notice of Intent to Prepare the Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA .....	A-35
A.6 Extension of Scoping Period and Rescheduled Scoping Meetings for the Notice of Intent to Prepare the Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA .....	A-42
<b>Appendix B Contractor and Subcontractor National Environmental Policy Act Disclosure Statements</b> .....	B-1
<b>Appendix C Cooperating Agency, Consultation, and Other Interaction Documentation</b> .....	C-1
C.1 Cooperating Agency Letters and Documents .....	C-1
C.1.1 Correspondence to Washington State Department of Ecology .....	C-1
C.1.2 Responses to U.S. Department of Energy Correspondence .....	C-20
C.2 Federal and State Organizations Contacted During the Consultation Process .....	C-32
C.2.1 Ecological Resources .....	C-32
C.2.2 Cultural Resources .....	C-110
C.2.3 Responses to U.S. Department of Energy Correspondence .....	C-182
C.3 Consultation Process and Communication with American Indian Tribal Governments .....	C-194
C.3.1 Correspondence to American Indian Tribal Governments .....	C-204
C.3.1.1 Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation .....	C-204
C.3.1.2 Nez Perce Tribe Correspondence .....	C-252
C.3.1.3 Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Correspondence .....	C-294
C.3.1.4 Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation Correspondence .....	C-334
C.3.1.5 Wanapum Correspondence .....	C-364
C.3.2 Responses to U.S. Department of Energy Correspondence .....	C-394
C.4 Interactions with Hanford Advisory Board and Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board .....	C-410
C.4.1 Hanford Advisory Board Mission and Membership .....	C-410
C.4.2 Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board Membership and Role .....	C-489

---

<b>Appendix D Waste Inventories</b> .....	D-1
D.1 Tank Closure Alternatives.....	D-1
D.1.1 Current Tank Inventory of Radioactive and Chemical Constituents .....	D-2
D.1.1.1 Current Waste Phase Volume Inventories.....	D-4
D.1.1.2 Radiological Best-Basis Inventories.....	D-5
D.1.1.3 Nonradiological Best-Basis Inventories .....	D-5
D.1.1.4 Uncertainty in Best-Basis Inventories .....	D-10
D.1.1.5 Best-Basis Inventory Comparison .....	D-11
D.1.2 Tank Ancillary Equipment Waste.....	D-12
D.1.3 Tank Residual Waste Inventories .....	D-15
D.1.4 Historical Leaks and Other Releases .....	D-24
D.1.5 Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	D-24
D.1.6 Tank Waste Retrieval Leaks .....	D-27
D.1.7 Inventories and Flowsheets.....	D-28
D.1.8 Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern for Tank Closure Alternatives .....	D-73
D.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives .....	D-104
D.2.1 Radioactive and Chemical Inventories .....	D-104
D.2.1.1 Assumptions .....	D-104
D.2.1.2 Fast Flux Test Facility Inventory.....	D-105
D.2.1.3 FFTF Bulk Sodium Inventory .....	D-105
D.2.1.4 Radionuclide Inventory from Activation.....	D-107
D.2.1.5 Radionuclide Inventory from Contamination.....	D-109
D.2.1.6 Hazardous Materials Inventory .....	D-110
D.2.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action.....	D-110
D.2.2.1 Facility Disposition.....	D-110
D.2.2.2 Process Components.....	D-110
D.2.2.3 Sodium Residuals .....	D-111
D.2.2.4 Demolition and Other Waste .....	D-111
D.2.2.5 End State.....	D-111
D.2.3 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment.....	D-112
D.2.3.1 Facility Disposition.....	D-113
D.2.3.2 Process Components.....	D-113
D.2.3.3 Sodium Residuals .....	D-113
D.2.3.4 Demolition and Other Waste .....	D-113
D.2.3.5 End State.....	D-114
D.2.4 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	D-115
D.2.4.1 Facility Disposition.....	D-116
D.2.4.2 Process Components.....	D-116
D.2.4.3 Sodium Residuals .....	D-116
D.2.4.4 Demolition and Other Waste .....	D-116
D.2.4.5 End State.....	D-117
D.2.5 Distribution of Fast Flux Test Facility Waste.....	D-117
D.3 Waste Management Alternatives .....	D-121
D.3.1 Radioactive and Chemical Inventories .....	D-121
D.3.1.1 Assumptions .....	D-121
D.3.2 Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	D-122
D.3.3 Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only ...	D-122
D.3.4 Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	D-123

D.3.5	Radioactive and Chemical Inventory Estimates for Onsite-Generated, Non-CERCLA, Non-Tank-Activity Waste.....	D-124
D.3.6	Projected Volumes, Radioactive and Chemical Inventories for Offsite Waste .....	D-126
D.4	References .....	D-138
<b>Appendix E Descriptions of Facilities, Operations, and Technologies.....</b>		<b>E-1</b>
E.1	Tank Closure .....	E-1
E.1.1	Current River Protection Project.....	E-1
E.1.1.1	Routine Tank Farm Operations .....	E-2
E.1.1.2	Tank System Upgrades .....	E-7
E.1.1.3	Planned Waste Treatment Plant Operations .....	E-8
E.1.2	Descriptions of Tank Closure Alternative Facilities and Operations.....	E-9
E.1.2.1	Facility Upgrades.....	E-10
E.1.2.2	Waste Retrieval and Storage.....	E-14
E.1.2.3	Waste Treatment.....	E-37
E.1.2.4	Waste Post-Treatment Storage and Disposal.....	E-113
E.1.2.5	Tank System Closure.....	E-124
E.1.2.6	Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning.....	E-159
E.1.3	Tank Closure Technologies Considered, but Not Analyzed in Detail .....	E-161
E.1.3.1	Waste Storage .....	E-161
E.1.3.2	Waste Retrieval.....	E-161
E.1.3.3	Treatment Technologies .....	E-162
E.1.3.4	Disposal .....	E-172
E.1.3.5	Tank System Closure.....	E-172
E.2	Fast Flux Test Facility Decommissioning.....	E-176
E.2.1	Fast Flux Test Facility Background.....	E-176
E.2.2	Fast Flux Test Facility Description.....	E-180
E.2.3	Summary Description of FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives .....	E-184
E.2.3.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action .....	E-185
E.2.3.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment .....	E-187
E.2.3.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	E-191
E.2.4	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative Process Descriptions .....	E-193
E.2.4.1	Hanford Bulk Sodium Processing .....	E-193
E.2.4.2	Sodium Reaction Facility—Hanford Reuse Option .....	E-195
E.2.4.3	Sodium Processing Facility—Idaho Reuse Option .....	E-201
E.2.4.4	Remote-Handled Special Components Processing.....	E-210
E.3	Waste Management.....	E-221
E.3.1	Current Hanford Site Solid Waste Operations Complex .....	E-221
E.3.1.1	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds.....	E-222
E.3.1.2	Central Waste Complex.....	E-223
E.3.1.3	T Plant .....	E-226
E.3.1.4	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.....	E-229
E.3.2	Waste Management Alternatives and Treatment Facilities Analyzed in This Environmental Impact Statement.....	E-233
E.3.2.1	Waste Management Alternatives .....	E-233
E.3.2.2	Central Waste Complex.....	E-238
E.3.2.3	T Plant Complex .....	E-240
E.3.2.4	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.....	E-243
E.3.3	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds.....	E-247
E.3.3.1	Description .....	E-247

	E.3.3.2 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground Activities.....	E-250
E.3.4	Integrated Disposal Facility .....	E-251
	E.3.4.1 Description .....	E-251
	E.3.4.2 Integrated Disposal Facility Configurations.....	E-253
E.3.5	River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	E-256
	E.3.5.1 Description .....	E-256
	E.3.5.2 River Protection Project Disposal Facility Activities .....	E-256
E.4	References .....	E-257
<b>Appendix F Direct and Indirect Impacts: Assessment Methodology.....</b>		<b>F-1</b>
F.1	Land Resources .....	F-1
	F.1.1 Land Use.....	F-1
	F.1.1.1 Description of Affected Resources.....	F-1
	F.1.1.2 Description of Impact Assessment .....	F-1
	F.1.2 Visual Resources.....	F-2
	F.1.2.1 Description of Affected Resources.....	F-2
	F.1.2.2 Description of Impact Assessment .....	F-2
F.2	Infrastructure .....	F-3
	F.2.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-3
	F.2.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-3
F.3	Noise and Vibration .....	F-4
	F.3.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-4
	F.3.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-5
F.4	Air Quality .....	F-5
	F.4.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-5
	F.4.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-7
F.5	Geology and Soils .....	F-7
	F.5.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-8
	F.5.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-8
F.6	Water Resources.....	F-11
	F.6.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-11
	F.6.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-12
	F.6.2.1 Water Use and Availability .....	F-12
	F.6.2.2 Water Quality .....	F-12
	F.6.2.3 Waterways and Floodplains.....	F-14
F.7	Ecological Resources .....	F-14
	F.7.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-14
	F.7.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-15
F.8	Cultural Resources .....	F-15
	F.8.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-16
	F.8.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-16
F.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety .....	F-17
	F.9.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-17
	F.9.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-17
F.10	Transportation .....	F-18
	F.10.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-18
	F.10.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-19
F.11	Socioeconomics.....	F-19
	F.11.1 Description of Affected Resources .....	F-19
	F.11.2 Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-19

*Table of Contents*

---

F.12	Waste Management .....	F-20
F.12.1	Description of Affected Resources .....	F-20
F.12.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-21
F.13	Environmental Justice .....	F-22
F.13.1	Description of Affected Resources .....	F-22
F.13.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-23
F.14	References .....	F-24
<b>Appendix G Air Quality Analysis.....</b>		<b>G-1</b>
G.1	Dispersion Factors.....	G-8
G.2	Emissions .....	G-12
G.2.1	Construction Emissions .....	G-15
G.2.2	Operations Emissions .....	G-16
G.2.3	Tank Emissions.....	G-17
G.2.4	Employee Vehicle Emissions .....	G-17
G.3	Air Quality Impacts Under the Alternatives.....	G-229
G.4	General Conformity Review .....	G-336
G.5	Greenhouse Gases .....	G-336
G.6	References .....	G-337
<b>Appendix H Transportation.....</b>		<b>H-1</b>
H.1	Introduction .....	H-1
H.2	Assessment Scope .....	H-1
H.2.1	Transportation-Related Activities .....	H-1
H.2.2	Radiological Impacts .....	H-2
H.2.3	Nonradiological Impacts.....	H-2
H.2.4	Transportation Modes .....	H-2
H.2.5	Receptors .....	H-2
H.3	Packaging and Transportation Regulations.....	H-3
H.3.1	Packaging Regulations.....	H-3
H.3.2	Transportation Regulations.....	H-4
H.4	Transportation Analysis Impact Methodology.....	H-5
H.4.1	Transportation Routes.....	H-8
H.4.1.1	Offsite Route Characteristics .....	H-8
H.4.1.2	Onsite Route Characteristics .....	H-14
H.4.2	Radioactive Material Shipments .....	H-14
H.5	Incident-Free Transportation Risks .....	H-18
H.5.1	Radiological Risk.....	H-18
H.5.2	Nonradiological Risk .....	H-20
H.5.3	Maximally Exposed Individual Exposure Scenarios .....	H-20
H.6	Transportation Accident Risks and Maximum Reasonably Foreseeable Consequences .....	H-21
H.6.1	Methodology .....	H-21
H.6.2	Accident Rates .....	H-22
H.6.3	Accident Severity Categories and Conditional Probabilities .....	H-22
H.6.4	Atmospheric Conditions .....	H-23
H.6.5	Radioactive Release Characteristics .....	H-24
H.6.6	Acts of Sabotage or Terrorism.....	H-25
H.7	Risk Analysis Results.....	H-25
H.7.1	Tank Closure Alternatives .....	H-28
H.7.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	H-31
H.7.3	Waste Management Alternatives .....	H-34

H.8	Impact of Construction and Operational Material Transport .....	H-36
H.9	Conclusions .....	H-38
	H.9.1 Tank Closure Alternatives .....	H-38
	H.9.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	H-38
	H.9.3 Waste Management Alternatives .....	H-39
H.10	Long-Term Impacts of Transportation.....	H-39
H.11	Uncertainty and Conservatism in Estimated Impacts.....	H-40
	H.11.1 Uncertainties in Material Inventory and Characterization .....	H-41
	H.11.2 Uncertainties in Containers, Shipment Capacities, and Number of Shipments .....	H-41
	H.11.3 Uncertainties in Route Determination .....	H-41
	H.11.4 Uncertainties in the Calculation of Radiation Doses .....	H-41
H.12	References .....	H-42
 <b>Appendix I Workforce Estimates .....</b>		
I.1	Introduction .....	I-1
I.2	Alternatives .....	I-2
	I.2.1 Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action .....	I-2
	I.2.2 Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure .....	I-4
	I.2.3 Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure .....	I-11
	I.2.4 Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure.....	I-18
	I.2.5 Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure .....	I-27
	I.2.6 Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure .....	I-36
	I.2.7 Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure.....	I-45
	I.2.8 Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure .....	I-54
	I.2.9 Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure-Base Case .....	I-63
	I.2.10 Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure-Option Case .....	I-74
	I.2.11 Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure-Base Case .....	I-86
	I.2.12 Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure-Option Case .....	I-96
	I.2.13 Tank Closure Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure .....	I-106
	I.2.14 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action.....	I-114
	I.2.15 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment.....	I-114
	I.2.16 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	I-116
	I.2.17 Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	I-119
	I.2.18 Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only .....	I-120
	I.2.19 Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	I-128
I.3	References .....	I-136

<b>Appendix J Environmental Justice</b> .....	J-1
J.1 Introduction .....	J-1
J.2 Definitions .....	J-1
J.2.1 Minority Individuals and Populations .....	J-1
J.2.2 Low-Income Populations and Individuals .....	J-2
J.2.3 Disproportionately High and Adverse Human Health Effects .....	J-3
J.2.4 Disproportionately High and Adverse Environmental Effects .....	J-3
J.3 Spatial Resolution .....	J-3
J.4 Map Development .....	J-4
J.5 Environmental Justice Analysis .....	J-4
J.5.1 Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site .....	J-5
J.5.2 Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant .....	J-11
J.5.3 Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site .....	J-17
J.5.4 Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility .....	J-20
J.5.5 Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding Idaho National Laboratory .....	J-26
J.5.6 Impacts on Minority and Low-Income Populations .....	J-32
J.5.6.1 Normal Operations and Facility Accidents .....	J-32
J.5.6.2 Air Quality .....	J-70
J.5.6.3 Groundwater Resources: Long-Term Human Health Impacts .....	J-70
J.6 References .....	J-72
 <b>Appendix K Human Health Risk Analysis</b> .....	 K-1
K.1 Background .....	K-1
K.1.1 Radiation .....	K-1
K.1.1.1 What Is Radiation? .....	K-1
K.1.1.2 Limits of Radiation Exposure .....	K-5
K.1.1.3 Health Effects due to Exposure to Radiation .....	K-6
K.1.2 Chemicals .....	K-7
K.1.2.1 What is a Toxic or Hazardous Chemical? .....	K-8
K.1.2.2 Usage of Chemicals .....	K-8
K.1.2.3 Exposure Pathways .....	K-8
K.1.2.4 Chemical Exposure Limits and Criteria .....	K-8
K.1.2.5 Health Effects of Hazardous Chemical Exposure .....	K-9
K.1.2.6 Hazardous Chemical Impact Assessment .....	K-9
K.2 Normal Operations .....	K-10
K.2.1 Tank Closure Alternatives .....	K-11
K.2.1.1 Impacts on the Public During Normal Operations .....	K-11
K.2.1.2 Impacts on Workers During Normal Operations .....	K-48
K.2.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives .....	K-56
K.2.2.1 Impacts on the Public During Normal Operations .....	K-56
K.2.2.2 Impacts on Workers During Normal Operations .....	K-66
K.2.3 Waste Management Alternatives .....	K-67
K.2.3.1 Impacts on the Public During Normal Operations .....	K-67
K.2.3.2 Impacts on Workers During Normal Operations .....	K-70
K.3 Accident Analysis .....	K-71
K.3.1 Introduction .....	K-71

K.3.2	Overview of Methodology and Assumptions .....	K-72
	K.3.2.1 Modeling and Analysis of Airborne Radiological Releases .....	K-72
	K.3.2.2 Modeling and Analysis of Airborne Chemical Releases .....	K-75
	K.3.2.3 Accident Frequencies .....	K-76
	K.3.2.4 Secondary Impacts.....	K-76
K.3.3	Radiological Accident Analyses .....	K-76
K.3.4	Tank Closure Accident Scenarios .....	K-79
	K.3.4.1 HLW Vitrification Facility .....	K-80
	K.3.4.2 Pretreatment Facility.....	K-82
	K.3.4.3 LAW Vitrification Facility .....	K-82
	K.3.4.4 Waste Treatment Plant.....	K-83
	K.3.4.5 Tank Waste Storage and Retrieval .....	K-83
	K.3.4.6 Supplemental Treatment—Bulk Vitrification .....	K-84
	K.3.4.7 Supplemental Treatment—Cast Stone.....	K-85
	K.3.4.8 Supplemental Treatment—Steam Reforming.....	K-85
	K.3.4.9 Supplemental Treatment—Remote-Handled TRU Waste.....	K-85
	K.3.4.10 Waste Product Storage and Handling .....	K-86
K.3.5	Fast Flux Test Facility Accident Scenarios .....	K-87
	K.3.5.1 Accidents in the Hanford 400 Area .....	K-87
	K.3.5.2 Accidents in the Hanford 200-West Area.....	K-88
	K.3.5.3 Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory.....	K-89
K.3.6	Waste Management Accident Scenarios.....	K-89
	K.3.6.1 Solid Waste Operations Complex Accidents.....	K-90
	K.3.6.2 ILAW Disposal Accidents.....	K-96
K.3.7	Radiological Impacts of Accidents .....	K-96
	K.3.7.1 Radiological Impacts of Tank Closure Accidents .....	K-97
	K.3.7.2 Radiological Impacts of FFTF Decommissioning Accidents.....	K-118
	K.3.7.3 Radiological Impacts of Waste Management Accidents .....	K-122
K.3.8	Secondary Impacts of Accidents.....	K-125
	K.3.8.1 Secondary Impacts of Tank Closure Accidents.....	K-126
	K.3.8.2 Secondary Impacts of Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents .....	K-127
	K.3.8.3 Secondary Impacts of Waste Management Accidents.....	K-127
K.3.9	Chemical Impacts of Accidents .....	K-127
	K.3.9.1 Chemical Impacts of Tank Closure Accidents .....	K-128
	K.3.9.2 Chemical Impacts of Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents .....	K-132
	K.3.9.3 Chemical Impacts of Waste Management Accidents .....	K-137
K.3.10	Impacts on Workers .....	K-147
K.3.11	Assessment of Intentional Destructive Acts .....	K-147
	K.3.11.1 Safeguards and Security .....	K-147
	K.3.11.2 Assessment of Potential Impacts .....	K-148
K.3.12	Analysis Conservatism, Uncertainty, and Design Changes.....	K-152
K.4	Industrial Safety .....	K-153
K.5	References .....	K-155

<b>Appendix L</b>	<b>Groundwater Flow Field Development.....</b>	<b>L-1</b>
L.1	Introduction .....	L-1
	L.1.1 Purpose .....	L-1
	L.1.2 Scope.....	L-2
	L.1.3 Technical Guidance .....	L-3
L.2	Design Variants to Address Uncertainty and Sensitivity .....	L-3
	L.2.1 Base Case.....	L-4

---

*Table of Contents*

---

L.2.2	Alternate Case.....	L-4
L.3	Model Development Framework .....	L-5
L.3.1	MODFLOW 2000.....	L-6
L.3.2	Visual MODFLOW 4.2 .....	L-6
L.3.3	Parameter Estimation Module.....	L-6
L.3.4	Monte Carlo Optimization .....	L-6
L.4	Model Inputs–Conceptualization, Characterization, and Encoding .....	L-7
L.4.1	Discretization .....	L-7
L.4.1.1	Extents .....	L-7
L.4.1.2	Gridding.....	L-8
L.4.2	Boundary Conditions .....	L-11
L.4.2.1	Basalt Surface (No-Flow Boundary) .....	L-13
L.4.2.2	Columbia and Yakima Rivers (River Package).....	L-13
L.4.2.3	Mountain-Front Recharge (Generalized Head Boundary).....	L-14
L.4.2.4	Natural Areal Recharge (Recharge Boundary).....	L-14
L.4.2.5	Artificial Recharge (Recharge Boundary) .....	L-14
L.4.3	Lithology.....	L-17
L.4.3.1	Hydrogeologic Unit Definition.....	L-17
L.4.3.2	Hydrogeologic Unit Encoding.....	L-18
L.4.4	Material Properties.....	L-24
L.5	Model Inputs – Algorithm Selection, Parameters, and Settings.....	L-25
L.5.1	Rewetting Methods .....	L-25
L.5.1.1	Mitigation of Rewetting Problems.....	L-25
L.5.2	Time-Stepping Settings.....	L-26
L.5.3	Numerical Engine Selection and Parameterization.....	L-26
L.5.4	Initial Head Distribution .....	L-27
L.6	Calibration Strategy .....	L-27
L.6.1	Calibration Data Set.....	L-27
L.6.2	Calibration Criteria .....	L-28
L.6.3	Development of Objective Function.....	L-29
L.7	Preliminary Calibration .....	L-29
L.7.1	Potential Calibration Parameters.....	L-30
L.7.2	Sensitivity Analysis .....	L-31
L.7.2.1	River Conductance .....	L-31
L.7.2.2	Mountain-Front Recharge Head and Conductance.....	L-31
L.7.2.3	Flow Storage Properties of Material Types .....	L-32
L.7.2.4	Hydraulic Conductivity Properties of Material Types.....	L-32
L.7.3	Selection of Calibration Parameters, Initial Estimates, and Target Ranges.....	L-33
L.8	Gradient-Based Calibration.....	L-35
L.9	Monte Carlo Optimization and Uncertainty Analysis.....	L-37
L.9.1	Design of the Analysis.....	L-37
L.9.2	Base Case – Results of the Analysis .....	L-37
L.9.3	Alternate Case – Results of the Analysis .....	L-47
L.10	Results for Design Variants.....	L-57
L.10.1	Base Case .....	L-57
L.10.1.1	Potentiometric Distribution .....	L-68
L.10.1.2	Velocity Field .....	L-70
L.10.1.3	Pathline Analyses .....	L-72
L.10.2	Alternate Case.....	L-81
L.10.2.1	Potentiometric Distribution .....	L-91
L.10.2.2	Velocity Field .....	L-92

	L.10.2.3 Pathline Analyses .....	L-93
L.11	Flow Field Extraction.....	L-101
L.12	Summary .....	L-103
L.13	References .....	L-104
<b>Appendix M Release to Vadose Zone.....</b>		<b>M-1</b>
M.1	Introduction .....	M-1
M.2	Description of Release Models .....	M-1
M.2.1	Liquid Sources .....	M-2
M.2.2	Solid Sources .....	M-2
M.2.2.1	Partitioning-Limited, Convective Flow Release Model .....	M-2
M.2.2.2	Matrix Solubility Limited-Release Model.....	M-5
M.2.2.3	Fractional Release Rate Model.....	M-6
M.2.2.4	Diffusion Limited-Release Models.....	M-7
M.2.2.5	Constituent Solubility Limited-Release Model .....	M-12
M.3	Technical Basis and Values of Release Model Parameters.....	M-13
M.3.1	Tank Closure Alternatives .....	M-14
M.3.1.1	Tank Farm Sources.....	M-14
M.3.1.2	Tank Closure Waste Forms .....	M-18
M.3.1.3	Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) .....	M-21
M.3.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	M-21
M.3.3	Waste Management Alternatives .....	M-22
M.3.3.1	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Facilities.....	M-22
M.3.3.2	Integrated Disposal Facility Waste Forms.....	M-23
M.4	Results.....	M-23
M.4.1	Tank Closure Alternatives .....	M-23
M.4.1.1	Past Leaks from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) .....	M-23
M.4.1.2	Releases from Other Sources in the Tank Farms.....	M-26
M.4.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	M-41
M.4.2.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action .....	M-41
M.4.2.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment .....	M-42
M.4.2.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	M-42
M.4.3	Waste Management Alternatives .....	M-43
M.4.3.1	Waste Management Alternative 1 .....	M-43
M.4.3.2	Waste Management Alternative 2 .....	M-44
M.4.3.3	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas .....	M-62
M.5	Sensitivity Analysis.....	M-80
M.5.1	Aqueous Volumetric Release.....	M-80
M.5.1.1	Extended Area of Elevated Recharge .....	M-81
M.5.1.2	Local Area of Elevated Recharge .....	M-83
M.5.1.3	Conclusions .....	M-84
M.5.2	Leaching from Supplemental Waste Forms.....	M-84
M.5.2.1	Tank Closure Alternative 3A.....	M-85
M.5.2.2	Tank Closure Alternative 3B .....	M-85
M.5.2.3	Tank Closure Alternative 3C.....	M-88
M.5.2.4	Conclusions .....	M-89
M.5.3	Rate of Recharge and Diffusion Release .....	M-91
M.6	References .....	M-92

<b>Appendix N Vadose Zone Flow and Transport</b> .....	N-1
N.1 Technical Approach to Vadose Zone Analysis.....	N-1
N.1.1 Upper-Level Approach.....	N-1
N.1.2 Vadose Zone Model Implementation.....	N-2
N.2 Results.....	N-16
N.2.1 Tank Closure Alternatives.....	N-16
N.2.1.1 Past Leaks from Tank Farms and Releases from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-16
N.2.1.2 Release from Other Sources in the Tank Farms.....	N-36
N.2.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	N-51
N.2.2.1 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action.....	N-51
N.2.2.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment.....	N-52
N.2.2.3 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	N-52
N.2.3 Waste Management Alternatives.....	N-53
N.2.3.1 Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	N-53
N.2.3.2 Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	N-54
N.2.3.3 Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	N-71
N.3 Sensitivity Analysis.....	N-90
N.3.1 Travel Time and Rate of Recharge.....	N-90
N.3.2 Aqueous Discharge near the Ground Surface.....	N-91
N.3.3 Influence of a Silt Layer.....	N-91
N.3.4 Tilt of Geologic Layers.....	N-92
N.3.5 Influence of a Dike.....	N-93
N.3.6 Rate of Release for a Sitewide Barrier.....	N-101
N.3.7 Distribution Coefficient and Flux at the Water Table.....	N-105
N.3.8 Retention of Iodine in Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass.....	N-106
N.4 References.....	N-109
 <b>Appendix O Groundwater Transport Analysis</b> .....	 O-1
O.1 Introduction.....	O-1
O.1.1 Purpose.....	O-1
O.1.2 Scope and Methodology.....	O-1
O.1.2.1 Source Locations.....	O-2
O.1.2.2 Contaminant Reporting—Lines of Analysis.....	O-3
O.1.3 Technical Guidance.....	O-4
O.2 Particle-tracking Methodology and Parameter Estimation.....	O-5
O.2.1 Interface with STOMP.....	O-5
O.2.2 Reporting Concentration along Lines of Analysis.....	O-6
O.2.3 Scale-Dependent Dispersivity.....	O-6
O.2.4 Calibration Tests.....	O-6
O.2.4.1 Sensitivity to Dispersivity Parameters.....	O-8
O.2.4.2 Sensitivity to Well Screen Depth for Calculating Concentration.....	O-8
O.2.4.3 Sensitivity to Initial Particle Injection Depth.....	O-8
O.3 Groundwater Transport Results for the Tank Closure Alternatives.....	O-31
O.3.1 Tank Closure Alternative 1.....	O-33
O.3.2 Tank Closure Alternative 2A.....	O-35
O.3.3 Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C.....	O-36
O.3.4 Tank Closure Alternative 4.....	O-39

O.3.5	Tank Closure Alternative 5.....	O-41
O.3.6	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case .....	O-43
O.3.7	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case .....	O-45
O.3.8	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases .....	O-47
O.4	Groundwater Transport Results for the FFTF Decommissioning Alternative.....	O-50
O.4.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 .....	O-51
O.4.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 .....	O-51
O.4.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 .....	O-52
O.5	Groundwater Transport Results for the Waste Management Alternatives, Including Disposal Groups.....	O-52
O.5.1	Waste Management Alternative 1 .....	O-52
O.5.2	Waste Management Alternative 2.....	O-53
O.5.2.1	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A .....	O-53
O.5.2.2	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B.....	O-54
O.5.2.3	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C.....	O-54
O.5.2.4	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D .....	O-55
O.5.2.5	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E.....	O-56
O.5.2.6	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F .....	O-57
O.5.2.7	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G .....	O-58
O.5.2.8	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A .....	O-59
O.5.2.9	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base and Option Cases.....	O-60
O.5.2.10	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base and Option Cases .....	O-61
O.5.3	Waste Management Alternative 3.....	O-62
O.5.3.1	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A .....	O-63
O.5.3.2	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B.....	O-64
O.5.3.3	Waste Management Alternative 3 Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C.....	O-64
O.5.3.4	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D .....	O-65
O.5.3.5	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E.....	O-66
O.5.3.6	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F .....	O-67
O.5.3.7	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G .....	O-68
O.5.3.8	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A .....	O-69
O.5.3.9	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base and Option Cases.....	O-70

*Table of Contents*

---

O.5.3.10	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Subgroup 3-A, Base and Option Cases.....	O-72
O.6	Sensitivity Analysis.....	O-73
O.6.1	Comparison of Base Case and Alternate Case Flow Fields During Hanford Operational Period.....	O-73
O.6.1.1	Past Leaks from Tank Farms, Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-73
O.6.1.2	PUREX Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume.....	O-81
O.6.1.3	REDOX Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume.....	O-83
O.6.2	Comparison of Base Case and Alternate Case Flow Fields During Hanford Postoperational Period.....	O-85
O.6.3	Iodine-129 Distribution Coefficient Sensitivity Analysis.....	O-91
O.6.4	Long-Term Analysis of Uranium-238.....	O-104
O.6.5	Sensitivity to Contaminant Inventory Variations.....	O-106
O.7	Summary.....	O-112
O.8	References.....	O-112

**Appendix P Ecological Resources and Risk Analysis..... P-1**

P.1	Ecological Resources.....	P-1
P.2	Impacts on Terrestrial Resources Resulting from Contaminant Releases.....	P-5
P.2.1	Methods.....	P-6
P.2.1.1	Key Assumptions.....	P-9
P.2.1.2	Receptors and Exposure Pathways and Routes.....	P-9
P.2.1.3	Predicted Soil and Air Concentrations.....	P-9
P.2.1.4	Exposure Model Calculations.....	P-10
P.2.1.5	Toxicological Benchmarks.....	P-20
P.2.1.6	Risk Indices.....	P-20
P.2.2	Results and Discussion.....	P-22
P.2.2.1	Onsite Terrestrial Resources.....	P-22
P.2.2.2	Offsite Terrestrial Resources.....	P-26
P.2.2.3	Uncertainties.....	P-29
P.2.3	Summary of Terrestrial Impacts.....	P-29
P.3	Impacts on Columbia River Aquatic and Riparian Resources Resulting from Future Contaminant Releases.....	P-29
P.3.1	Impacts of Air Releases During Operations.....	P-30
P.3.1.1	Methods.....	P-30
P.3.1.2	Results and Discussion.....	P-39
P.3.1.3	Uncertainties.....	P-43
P.3.2	Future Impacts of Groundwater Releases.....	P-43
P.3.2.1	Methods.....	P-43
P.3.2.2	Results and Discussion.....	P-47
P.3.2.3	Uncertainties.....	P-51
P.3.3	Summary of Aquatic Impacts.....	P-51
P.4	References.....	P-52

**Appendix Q Human Health, Dose, and Risk Analysis..... Q-1**

Q.1	Introduction.....	Q-1
Q.2	Approach for Long-Term Performance Assessment.....	Q-1
Q.2.1	Identification of Receptors.....	Q-2
Q.2.2	Development of Exposure Scenarios.....	Q-2

	Q.2.2.1 Approach for Selection and Development of Mathematical Models .....	Q-3
	Q.2.2.2 Mathematical Models for Long-Term Performance Assessment ....	Q-3
Q.2.3	Intruder Scenario Models.....	Q-18
	Q.2.3.1 Organization of the Model.....	Q-18
	Q.2.3.2 Intruder Dose Models .....	Q-19
Q.2.4	Values of Physical Constants and Parameters for Long-Term Impact Analysis .....	Q-22
	Q.2.4.1 Values for Health Effect Conversion Factors .....	Q-23
	Q.2.4.2 Values for Physical Constants and Parameters Used in Scenario Analysis .....	Q-24
Q.3	Results of Human Health Impacts.....	Q-30
Q.3.1	Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Tank Closure Alternatives.....	Q-30
	Q.3.1.1 Impacts on Onsite and Offsite Receptors of Expected Conditions for Tank Closure Alternatives .....	Q-30
Q.3.2	Long-Term Human Health Impacts of FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	Q-237
	Q.3.2.1 Impacts on Onsite and Offsite Receptors of Expected Conditions for FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives .....	Q-237
Q.3.3	Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Waste Management Alternatives .....	Q-246
	Q.3.3.1 Impacts on Onsite and Offsite Receptors of Expected Conditions for Waste Management Alternatives.....	Q-246
Q.4	References .....	Q-445

<b>Appendix R</b>	<b>Cumulative Impacts: Assessment Methodology .....</b>	<b>R-1</b>
R.1	Regulations and Guidance.....	R-1
R.2	Previous Studies .....	R-3
R.3	History of Land Use at the Hanford Site and In Surrounding Regions.....	R-4
R.4	Future Land Use at the Hanford Site.....	R-6
R.5	Future Land Use in Surrounding Regions.....	R-12
R.6	Approach to Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	R-15
R.7	Uncertainties .....	R-17
R.8	Selection of Resource Areas for Analysis.....	R-18
R.9	Resource Area Methodologies .....	R-18
R.10	Spatial and Temporal Considerations .....	R-21
R.11	Past and Present Actions .....	R-21
R.12	Selection of Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions .....	R-22
R.13	References .....	R-52

<b>Appendix S</b>	<b>Waste Inventories for Cumulative Impact Analyses .....</b>	<b>S-1</b>
S.1	Waste Information Data System Screen.....	S-1
	S.1.2 Screen 1 Rules .....	S-1
	S.1.3 Screen 2 Rules .....	S-2
	S.1.4 Screen 3 Rules .....	S-2
	S.1.5 Screen 4 Rules .....	S-5
S.2	Technical Baseline Review .....	S-6
S.3	“Marriage” of Waste Information Data System Screen and Technical Baseline Review .....	S-6
	S.3.1 End-State Approach.....	S-9
	S.3.2 Independent Review and Verification (Quality Assurance) Process .....	S-9
	S.3.3 Emerging Data .....	S-10

*Table of Contents*

---

S.3.4	Results of Initial Screening .....	S-10
S.3.5	Analysis of Sites with Missing Inventory .....	S-10
S.3.6	Determination of Final Inventory Used for Cumulative Analysis .....	S-14
S.4	References .....	S-164
<b>Appendix T Supporting Information for the Short-Term Cumulative Impact Analyses .....</b>		<b>T-1</b>
T.1	References .....	T-22
<b>Appendix U Supporting Information for the Long-Term Cumulative Impact Analyses.....</b>		<b>U-1</b>
U.1	Groundwater Quality.....	U-1
U.1.1	Methodology.....	U-1
U.1.2	Release and Mass Balance .....	U-2
U.1.3	Concentration Versus Time .....	U-3
U.1.4	Spatial Distribution of Concentration .....	U-9
U.2	Human Health .....	U-50
U.3	References .....	U-72
<b>Appendix V Black Rock Reservoir Sensitivity Analysis.....</b>		<b>V-1</b>
V.1	Background .....	V-1
V.2	Sensitivity Analysis Purpose and Scope .....	V-1
V.2.1	Purpose of Analysis .....	V-1
V.2.2	Scope of Modeling Effort .....	V-1
V.3	Model Development.....	V-2
V.3.1	Previous Studies.....	V-2
V.3.2	Relationship to <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> Modeling Framework.....	V-3
V.3.3	Methodology for Evaluating Changes in Flow Field and Transport Patterns .....	V-9
V.3.4	Methodology for Evaluating Vadose Zone Inundation.....	V-9
V.3.5	Methodology for Evaluating Changes to Vadose Zone Thickness and Travel Times .....	V-9
V.3.6	Methodology for Evaluating Changes to the Year of Peak Concentration at the Columbia River .....	V-10
V.4	Model Results.....	V-11
V.4.1	Changes to Flow Field and Transport Patterns .....	V-11
V.4.2	Changes to Vadose Zone Depth and Transport Travel Times .....	V-32
V.4.3	Changes to Timing of Groundwater Peak Concentrations at the Columbia River.....	V-47
V.5	Summary of Implications for the <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> Alternatives.....	V-48
V.6	References .....	V-49

## List of Figures

Figure D-1.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Flowsheet .....	D-31
Figure D-2.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Flowsheet .....	D-33
Figure D-3.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Flowsheet .....	D-34
Figure D-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Flowsheet .....	D-39
Figure D-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Flowsheet .....	D-40
Figure D-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Flowsheet .....	D-40
Figure D-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Flowsheet .....	D-47
Figure D-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Clean Closure of BX and SX Tank Farms Flowsheet.....	D-50
Figure D-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Flowsheet .....	D-53
Figure D-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Flowsheet .....	D-56
Figure D-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B or 6C Flowsheet .....	D-61
Figure D-12.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6A and 6B, Base Cases: Clean Closure of Single-Shell Tank Farms Flowsheet.....	D-61
Figure D-13.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C: Contaminated Soil Removal at BX and SX Tank Farms Flowsheet.....	D-72
Figure D-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-74
Figure D-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-75
Figure D-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Uranium Distribution.....	D-75
Figure D-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-76
Figure D-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-76
Figure D-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-77
Figure D-20.	Tank Closure 2B: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-78
Figure D-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Uranium Distribution .....	D-78
Figure D-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-79
Figure D-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-79
Figure D-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-80
Figure D-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-81
Figure D-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Uranium Distribution.....	D-81
Figure D-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-82
Figure D-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-82
Figure D-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-83
Figure D-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-84
Figure D-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Uranium Distribution .....	D-84
Figure D-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Technetium-99 Distribution .....	D-85
Figure D-33.	Tank Closure 3B: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-85
Figure D-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-86
Figure D-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-87
Figure D-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Uranium Distribution .....	D-87
Figure D-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-88
Figure D-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-88

*List of Figures*

---

Figure D-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-89
Figure D-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-90
Figure D-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Uranium Distribution.....	D-90
Figure D-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-91
Figure D-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-91
Figure D-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-92
Figure D-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-93
Figure D-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Uranium Distribution.....	D-93
Figure D-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-94
Figure D-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-94
Figure D-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-95
Figure D-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-96
Figure D-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case: Uranium Distribution .....	D-96
Figure D-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-97
Figure D-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-97
Figure D-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-98
Figure D-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-99
Figure D-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case: Uranium Distribution.....	D-99
Figure D-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case: Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-100
Figure D-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-100
Figure D-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: Distribution of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern .....	D-101
Figure D-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: Iodine-129 Distribution.....	D-102
Figure D-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: Uranium Distribution .....	D-102
Figure D-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: Technetium-99 Distribution .....	D-103
Figure D-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: Distribution of Total Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-103
Figure D-64.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: Distribution of Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-118
Figure D-65.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Distribution of Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-119
Figure D-66.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Distribution of Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-120
Figure E-1.	Waste Treatment Plant Facilities.....	E-9
Figure E-2.	Cross-Sectional View of Representative Hanford Site Double-Shell Tank .....	E-12
Figure E-3.	Cross-Sectional Views of Hanford Site Single-Shell Tanks .....	E-15
Figure E-4.	Modified Sluicing Equipment Schematic.....	E-17
Figure E-5.	Mobile Retrieval System Schematic .....	E-20

Figure E-6.	Vacuum-Based Retrieval System Schematic .....	E-24
Figure E-7.	Future 200-West Area Underground Transfer Line .....	E-32
Figure E-8.	Future 200-East Area Underground Transfer Line.....	E-33
Figure E-9.	Waste Receiver Facility Schematic .....	E-35
Figure E-10.	Relationships Between Waste Treatment Plant Tank Systems and Supplemental Treatment Technologies .....	E-38
Figure E-11.	Proposed Locations of Core Zone Facilities.....	E-40
Figure E-12.	Simplified Block Flow Diagram of the Current Waste Treatment Process.....	E-43
Figure E-13.	Effluent Treatment Facility Process Flowsheet.....	E-60
Figure E-14.	Effluent Treatment Facility Layout .....	E-62
Figure E-15.	Simplified Cesium and Strontium Capsule Processing Flow Sheet .....	E-64
Figure E-16.	Bulk Vitrification Supplemental Treatment Process Flow Diagram.....	E-73
Figure E-17.	Supplemental Treatment Bulk Vitrification Facility Layout.....	E-76
Figure E-18.	Diagram of Proposed Cast Stone Process .....	E-83
Figure E-19.	Steam Reforming Supplemental Treatment Process Flow Diagram .....	E-90
Figure E-20.	Dual Steam Reforming Facility Layout .....	E-92
Figure E-21.	Sulfate Removal Conceptual Process Diagram .....	E-100
Figure E-22.	Sulfate Removal Process Flow Diagram .....	E-102
Figure E-23.	Contact-Handled Mixed Transuranic Waste Packaging Process Flow Diagram .....	E-108
Figure E-24.	Remote-Handled Mixed Transuranic Waste Packaging Process Flow Diagram .....	E-109
Figure E-25.	Sulfate Removal Process Flow Diagram .....	E-135
Figure E-26.	Conceptual Drawing of Clean Closure Showing Domes and Pits Partially Removed .....	E-140
Figure E-27.	Conceptual Drawing of Clean Closure After Removal of Tanks .....	E-141
Figure E-28.	Modified Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Subtitle C Barrier .....	E-146
Figure E-29.	Hanford Barrier Profile Technology Description .....	E-146
Figure E-30.	Conceptualized Closure Surface Barriers in the 200-West Area.....	E-148
Figure E-31.	Conceptualized Closure Surface Barriers in the 200-East Area.....	E-149
Figure E-32.	Cross Section of Proposed Postclosure Monitoring Systems .....	E-151
Figure E-33.	Plan View of a Barrier Lobe Corner with Monitoring Systems Installed .....	E-152
Figure E-34.	Borrow Area C Pit Location and Access Routes.....	E-155
Figure E-35.	Fast Flux Test Facility .....	E-177
Figure E-36.	Hanford Site .....	E-178
Figure E-37.	Fast Flux Test Facility and Associated Facilities Location .....	E-183
Figure E-38.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action .....	E-186
Figure E-39.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment .....	E-188
Figure E-40.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal .....	E-192
Figure E-41.	Location of the Sodium Reaction Facility and the Sodium Storage Facility .....	E-196
Figure E-42.	Sodium Storage Facility at Hanford.....	E-196
Figure E-43.	Experimental Breeder Reactor II/ Sodium Processing Facility Complex .....	E-202
Figure E-44.	Sodium Processing Facility at the Materials and Fuels Complex .....	E-202
Figure E-45.	Simplified Waste Processing Flow Diagram for Remote Treatment Plant .....	E-213
Figure E-46.	Liner Disassembly Station.....	E-216
Figure E-47.	Melt-Drain-Evaporate Process Equipment.....	E-217
Figure E-48.	Induction Melter .....	E-219
Figure E-49.	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action .....	E-234
Figure E-50.	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only .....	E-236
Figure E-51.	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas .....	E-237
Figure E-52.	Current Central Waste Complex Site Plan .....	E-239
Figure E-53.	T Plant Complex Site Plan .....	E-241

*List of Figures*

---

Figure E-54.	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility Structure Floor Plan .....	E-244
Figure E-55.	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility Shipping and Receiving Area Floor Plan and Equipment Layout .....	E-245
Figure E-56.	200-West Area Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds .....	E-248
Figure E-57.	200-East Area Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds.....	E-249
Figure E-58.	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5 .....	E-252
Figure G-1.	Nonradiological Air Quality Modeling Receptors and Area Source Locations for 1- to 24-Hour Modeling .....	G-5
Figure G-2.	Nonradiological Air Quality Modeling Receptors and Area Source Locations for Annual Modeling .....	G-6
Figure H-1.	Transportation Risk Assessment .....	H-6
Figure H-2.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Analyzed Truck and Rail Routes.....	H-10
Figure H-3.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Analyzed Truck and Rail Routes .....	H-11
Figure H-4.	Waste Management Alternatives – Analyzed Truck and Rail Routes .....	H-13
Figure J-1.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000) .....	J-6
Figure J-2.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site .....	J-8
Figure J-3.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-8
Figure J-4.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000) .....	J-10
Figure J-5.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site .....	J-11
Figure J-6.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant (2000) .....	J-12
Figure J-7.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-14
Figure J-8.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-14
Figure J-9.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant (2000).....	J-15
Figure J-10.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Waste Treatment Plant .....	J-16
Figure J-11.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000) .....	J-18
Figure J-12.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000) .....	J-19
Figure J-13.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility (2000).....	J-21
Figure J-14.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Fast Flux Test Facility .....	J-23
Figure J-15.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Fast Flux Test Facility .....	J-23

Figure J-16.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility (2000) .....	J-24
Figure J-17.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Fast Flux Test Facility .....	J-25
Figure J-18.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex (2000).....	J-27
Figure J-19.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Materials and Fuels Complex .....	J-28
Figure J-20.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Materials and Fuels Complex .....	J-29
Figure J-21.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex (2000) .....	J-30
Figure J-22.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Materials and Fuels Complex.....	J-31
Figure K-1.	Locations Assumed to Be Sources of Radiological Air Emissions and Possible Locations of the Maximally Exposed Individual .....	K-13
Figure K-2.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Waste Treatment Plant.....	K-21
Figure K-3.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site .....	K-22
Figure K-4.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site .....	K-22
Figure K-5.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Fast Flux Test Facility.....	K-62
Figure K-6.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Idaho National Laboratory Materials and Fuels Complex .....	K-63
Figure L-1.	MODFLOW Groundwater Flow Model Domain, Columbia and Yakima River Reaches, and River-Head Control Points .....	L-8
Figure L-2.	Plan View of MODFLOW Horizontal Gridding.....	L-9
Figure L-3.	Cross-Section View of MODFLOW Vertical Grid.....	L-12
Figure L-4.	Mountain-Front Recharge Zones.....	L-15
Figure L-5.	Major Anthropogenic Recharge Sources in the 200-East Area.....	L-16
Figure L-6.	Major Anthropogenic Recharge Sources in the 200-West Area .....	L-17
Figure L-7.	Interpolated Top of Basalt Surface at the Hanford Site, Showing Faults, Anticlines, and Synclines .....	L-20
Figure L-8.	Screen Print of Default Settings From Top of Basalt Surface Interpolation Using ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst.....	L-22
Figure L-9.	Objective Function Variations as a Function of Hydraulic Conductivity Changes .....	L-36
Figure L-10.	Cumulative Density of the Objective Function – Base Case Model, Calibration Data Set 1 .....	L-38
Figure L-11.	Cumulative Density of the Objective Function – Base Case Model, Calibration Data Set 2 .....	L-38
Figure L-12.	Cumulative Density of the Objective Function – Base Case Model, Calibration Data Set 3 .....	L-39
Figure L-13.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Mud.....	L-40
Figure L-14.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Silt.....	L-41
Figure L-15.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Sand .....	L-41
Figure L-16.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Gravel .....	L-42

*List of Figures*

---

Figure L-17.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Sand.....	L-42
Figure L-18.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Gravel.....	L-43
Figure L-19.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Mud .....	L-43
Figure L-20.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Silt .....	L-44
Figure L-21.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Plio-Pleistocene Sand.....	L-44
Figure L-22.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Plio-Pleistocene Silt .....	L-45
Figure L-23.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Cold Creek Sand .....	L-45
Figure L-24.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Cold Creek Gravel .....	L-46
Figure L-25.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Highly Conductive Hanford Gravel.....	L-46
Figure L-26.	Cumulative Density of the Objective Function – Alternate Case Model – Calibration Data Set 1 .....	L-48
Figure L-27.	Cumulative Density of the Objective Function – Alternate Case Model – Calibration Data Set 2 .....	L-48
Figure L-28.	Cumulative Density of the Objective Function – Alternate Case Model – Calibration Data Set 3 .....	L-49
Figure L-29.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Mud.....	L-50
Figure L-30.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Silt.....	L-51
Figure L-31.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Sand .....	L-51
Figure L-32.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Hanford Gravel .....	L-52
Figure L-33.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Sand.....	L-52
Figure L-34.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Gravel.....	L-53
Figure L-35.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Mud .....	L-53
Figure L-36.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Ringold Silt .....	L-54
Figure L-37.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Plio-Pleistocene Sand.....	L-54
Figure L-38.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Plio-Pleistocene Silt .....	L-55
Figure L-39.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Cold Creek Sand .....	L-55
Figure L-40.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Cold Creek Gravel .....	L-56
Figure L-41.	Distribution of Hydraulic Conductivity Values – Highly Conductive Hanford Gravel .....	L-56
Figure L-42.	Base Case Flow Model Residual Distribution.....	L-60
Figure L-43.	Base Case Flow Model Calibration Graph and Statistics.....	L-60
Figure L-44.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals – 200-East Area .....	L-61
Figure L-45.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals – 200-West Area .....	L-61
Figure L-46.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 1955 .....	L-62
Figure L-47.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 1975 .....	L-62
Figure L-48.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 1995 .....	L-63
Figure L-49.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 2015 .....	L-63
Figure L-50.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals in Northern Region of Model.....	L-64
Figure L-51.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals in Central Region of Model .....	L-64
Figure L-52.	Base Case Flow Model Residuals in Southern Region of Model.....	L-65
Figure L-53.	Distribution of Wells with Hydraulic Conductivity Determined from Aquifer Pumping Tests .....	L-66
Figure L-54.	Base Case Flow Model Cumulative Water Balance Discrepancy – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940).....	L-67
Figure L-55.	Base Case Flow Model Total Water and Storage Rates Over Time – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940).....	L-68
Figure L-56.	Base Case Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution – Calendar Year 1944 .....	L-69
Figure L-57.	Base Case Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution – Calendar Year 1975 .....	L-69

Figure L-58.	Base Case Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution – Calendar Year 2200 .....	L-69
Figure L-59.	Base Case Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-70
Figure L-60.	Base Case Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-70
Figure L-61.	Base Case Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-T-28 Crib (200-West Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-71
Figure L-62.	Base Case Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-T-28 Crib (200-West Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-71
Figure L-63.	Base Case Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at BY Cribs (200-East Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-72
Figure L-64.	Base Case Flow Model Velocity Direction at BY Cribs (200-East Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-72
Figure L-65.	Sitewide Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plumes – Calendar Year 1980 .....	L-73
Figure L-66.	Sitewide Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plumes – Calendar Year 2003 .....	L-74
Figure L-67.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 483 (root mean square error = 2.122 meters) .....	L-75
Figure L-68.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 710 (root mean square error = 2.116 meters) – Selected as Base Case Flow Model .....	L-75
Figure L-69.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 716 (root mean square error = 2.110 meters) .....	L-75
Figure L-70.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 723 (root mean square error = 2.090 meters) .....	L-75
Figure L-71.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 483 (root mean square error = 2.122 meters) .....	L-77
Figure L-72.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 710 (root mean square error = 2.116 meters) – Selected as Base Case Flow Model .....	L-78
Figure L-73.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 716 (root mean square error = 2.110 meters) .....	L-79
Figure L-74.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 723 (root mean square error = 2.090 meters) .....	L-80
Figure L-75.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residual Distribution .....	L-83
Figure L-76.	Alternate Case Flow Model Calibration Graph and Statistics .....	L-83
Figure L-77.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals – 200-East Area .....	L-84
Figure L-78.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals – 200-West Area .....	L-84
Figure L-79.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 1955 .....	L-85
Figure L-80.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 1975 .....	L-85
Figure L-81.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 1995 .....	L-86
Figure L-82.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals – Calendar Year 2015 .....	L-86
Figure L-83.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals in Northern Region of Model .....	L-87
Figure L-84.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals in Central Region of Model .....	L-87
Figure L-85.	Alternate Case Flow Model Residuals in Southern Region of Model .....	L-88
Figure L-86.	Alternate Case Flow Model Cumulative Water Balance Discrepancy – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-90
Figure L-87.	Alternate Case Flow Model Total Water and Storage Rates Over Time – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-90
Figure L-88.	Alternate Case Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution – Calendar Year 1944 .....	L-91
Figure L-89.	Alternate Case Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution – Calendar Year 1975 .....	L-91

*List of Figures*

---

Figure L-90.	Alternate Case Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution – Calendar Year 2200 .....	L-92
Figure L-91.	Alternate Case Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at BY Cribs (200-East Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-93
Figure L-92.	Alternate Case Flow Model Velocity Direction at BY Cribs (200-East Area) – Year 0 (Calendar Year 1940) .....	L-93
Figure L-93.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 407 (root mean square error = 2.065 meters) .....	L-94
Figure L-94.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 195 (root mean square error = 2.056 meters) – Selected as Alternate Case Flow Model .....	L-94
Figure L-95.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 238 (root mean square error = 2.048 meters) .....	L-95
Figure L-96.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Pathline Analysis Run 304 (root mean square error = 2.036 meters) .....	L-95
Figure L-97.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 407 (root mean square error = 2.065 meters) .....	L-96
Figure L-98.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 195 (root mean square error = 2.056 meters) – Selected as Alternate Case Flow Model .....	L-97
Figure L-99.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 238 (root mean square error = 2.048 meters) .....	L-98
Figure L-100.	Central Plateau Delineation Pathline Analysis Run 304 (root mean square error = 2.036 meters) .....	L-99
Figure M-1.	Time Dependence of Infiltration Rate .....	M-2
Figure M-2.	Schematic of Release Concept for Partitioning-Limited, Convective Flow Release .....	M-3
Figure M-3.	Schematic of Rectangular Waste Form with Diffusion Release from Lower Surface .....	M-8
Figure M-4.	Schematic of Rectangular Waste Form with Diffusion Release from Upper and Lower Surfaces .....	M-9
Figure M-5.	Schematic of a Cylindrical Diffusion Release Model .....	M-10
Figure M-6.	Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks .....	M-24
Figure M-7.	Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks .....	M-24
Figure M-8.	Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks .....	M-25
Figure M-9.	Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks .....	M-25
Figure M-10.	Alternative Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-26
Figure M-11.	Alternative Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-26
Figure M-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ .....	M-27
Figure M-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-27
Figure M-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-28
Figure M-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ .....	M-28
Figure M-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-29

Figure M-17. Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-29
Figure M-18. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-30
Figure M-19. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-30
Figure M-20. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-31
Figure M-21. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-31
Figure M-22. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, and AZ.....	M-32
Figure M-23. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-32
Figure M-24. Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-33
Figure M-25. Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-33
Figure M-26. Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-34
Figure M-27. Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-34
Figure M-28. Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-35
Figure M-29. Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-35
Figure M-30. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-36
Figure M-31. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C and SY .....	M-36
Figure M-32. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-37
Figure M-33. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-37
Figure M-34. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-38
Figure M-35. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-38
Figure M-36. Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-39
Figure M-37. Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-39
Figure M-38. Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-40
Figure M-39. Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	M-40
Figure M-40. Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY .....	M-41

*List of Figures*

---

Figure M-41. Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U .....	M-41
Figure M-42. FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-42
Figure M-43. FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-42
Figure M-44. FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-43
Figure M-45. FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-43
Figure M-46. Waste Management Alternative 1 Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-44
Figure M-47. Waste Management Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-44
Figure M-48. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-45
Figure M-49. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-46
Figure M-50. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-47
Figure M-51. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-47
Figure M-52. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-48
Figure M-53. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-49
Figure M-54. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-50
Figure M-55. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-50
Figure M-56. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-51
Figure M-57. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-52
Figure M-58. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-53
Figure M-59. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-53
Figure M-60. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-54
Figure M-61. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-55
Figure M-62. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-56
Figure M-63. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-56
Figure M-64. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-57
Figure M-65. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-58
Figure M-66. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-58
Figure M-67. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-59
Figure M-68. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-60

Figure M-69. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-60
Figure M-70. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-61
Figure M-71. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-61
Figure M-72. Waste Management Alternative 3, All Disposal Groups, 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-62
Figure M-73. Waste Management Alternative 3, All Disposal Groups, 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-63
Figure M-74. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-64
Figure M-75. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-64
Figure M-76. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-65
Figure M-77. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-66
Figure M-78. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-67
Figure M-79. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-67
Figure M-80. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-68
Figure M-81. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-69
Figure M-82. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-70
Figure M-83. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-70
Figure M-84. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-71
Figure M-85. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-72
Figure M-86. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-73
Figure M-87. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-73
Figure M-88. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-74
Figure M-89. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-75
Figure M-90. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-76
Figure M-91. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-76
Figure M-92. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-77

*List of Figures*

---

Figure M-93. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone.....	M-77
Figure M-94. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-78
Figure M-95. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-79
Figure M-96. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-79
Figure M-97. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Vadose Zone .....	M-80
Figure M-98. Plan View of Aqueous Discharge Study Area.....	M-81
Figure M-99. Variation of Solute Flux at the Water Table with Release Duration for Extended Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-82
Figure M-100. Variation of Solute Flux at the Water Table with Release Timing for Extended Area of Elevated Recharge .....	M-82
Figure M-101. Variation of Solute Flux at the Water Table with Release Duration for Local Area of Elevated Recharge .....	M-83
Figure M-102. Variation of Solute Flux at the Water Table with Release Timing for Local Area of Elevated Recharge .....	M-84
Figure M-103. Tank Closure Alternative 3A Waste Form Release Rates of Technetium-99 .....	M-86
Figure M-104. Tank Closure Alternative 3A Waste Form Release Rates of Iodine-129 .....	M-86
Figure M-105. Tank Closure Alternative 3B Waste Form Release Rates of Technetium-99 .....	M-87
Figure M-106. Tank Closure Alternative 3B Waste Form Release Rates of Iodine-129 .....	M-87
Figure M-107. Tank Closure Alternative 3C Waste Form Release Rates of Technetium-99 .....	M-88
Figure M-108. Tank Closure Alternative 3C Waste Form Release Rates of Iodine-129 .....	M-89
Figure M-109. Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Waste Form Combined Release Rates of Technetium-99 .....	M-90
Figure M-110. Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Waste Form Combined Release Rates of Iodine-129 .....	M-90
Figure M-111. Dependence of Release Rate of Technetium-99 on Rate of Recharge for Diffusive Release Model .....	M-91
Figure N-1. Flow Diagram for Selection of Values of Vadose Zone Hydraulic Parameters.....	N-4
Figure N-2. Predicted and Measured Moisture Content Profiles .....	N-5
Figure N-3. Borehole Stratigraphy Data .....	N-5
Figure N-4. Vertical Cross Section of a Grid for a STOMP Vadose Zone Model Volume for the TX Tank Farm (200-West Area) .....	N-6
Figure N-5. Time Series of Measured Gross Beta Activity Below the BY Cribs (observed at well 299-E33-7).....	N-7
Figure N-6. Time Series of Predicted Concentration of Technetium-99 Below the BY Cribs.....	N-8
Figure N-7. Isopleths of Concentration of Technetium-99 near the BY Cribs .....	N-9
Figure N-8. Particle Tracking Model Output of Technetium-99 Concentrations for Calendar Year 2005 due to Sources at the BY Cribs .....	N-10
Figure N-9. Isopleths of Concentration of Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) for the Reduction-Oxidation Facility, Monitoring Program .....	N-12
Figure N-10. Isopleths of Concentration of Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) for the Reduction-Oxidation Facility, <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> Analytic Result.....	N-13
Figure N-11. Groundwater Monitoring-Based Interpretation of Ongoing Development of the 200-East Area Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume.....	N-14

Figure N-12.	Isopleths of Concentration of Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) for the Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (Plant), <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> Analytic Result .....	N-15
Figure N-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-17
Figure N-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-17
Figure N-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-18
Figure N-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-18
Figure N-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-19
Figure N-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-19
Figure N-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-20
Figure N-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-20
Figure N-21.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-21
Figure N-22.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-21
Figure N-23.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-22
Figure N-24.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-22
Figure N-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-23
Figure N-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-23
Figure N-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-24
Figure N-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-24
Figure N-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-25
Figure N-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-25
Figure N-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-26
Figure N-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-26
Figure N-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-27
Figure N-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-27
Figure N-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-28
Figure N-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-28

*List of Figures*

---

Figure N-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-29
Figure N-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-East Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-29
Figure N-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-30
Figure N-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Past Leaks from 200-West Area Tank Farms Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-30
Figure N-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 1, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-31
Figure N-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 1, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-31
Figure N-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-32
Figure N-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-32
Figure N-45.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, 6A (Base Case), 6B (Base Case) and 6C, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-33
Figure N-46.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, 6A (Base Case), 6B (Base Case) and 6C, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-33
Figure N-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-34
Figure N-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-34
Figure N-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-35
Figure N-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-35
Figure N-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-36
Figure N-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-37
Figure N-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY and AZ Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-37
Figure N-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-38
Figure N-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-38
Figure N-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-39
Figure N-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-39
Figure N-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-40
Figure N-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-40
Figure N-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-41
Figure N-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-41

Figure N-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-42
Figure N-63.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-42
Figure N-64.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-43
Figure N-65.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-43
Figure N-66.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Other Sources for Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-44
Figure N-67.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-44
Figure N-68.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Other Sources for Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-45
Figure N-69.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, BX, BY, C, and SY Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-45
Figure N-70.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Other Sources from Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-46
Figure N-71.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-46
Figure N-72.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-47
Figure N-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-47
Figure N-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-48
Figure N-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-48
Figure N-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-49
Figure N-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-49
Figure N-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Other Sources from Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-50
Figure N-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Other Sources from Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-50
Figure N-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Other Sources from Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-51
Figure N-81.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-51
Figure N-82.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-52
Figure N-83.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-52
Figure N-84.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-53
Figure N-85.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-53
Figure N-86.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-54
Figure N-87.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-55
Figure N-88.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-55
Figure N-89.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-56

*List of Figures*

---

Figure N-90.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-57
Figure N-91.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-58
Figure N-92.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-58
Figure N-93.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-59
Figure N-94.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-60
Figure N-95.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release to Vadose Zone.....	N-61
Figure N-96.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-61
Figure N-97.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-62
Figure N-98.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-63
Figure N-99.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-64
Figure N-100.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-64
Figure N-101.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-65
Figure N-102.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-66
Figure N-103.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-67
Figure N-104.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-67
Figure N-105.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-68
Figure N-106.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-68
Figure N-107.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-69
Figure N-108.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-70
Figure N-109.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-70
Figure N-110.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Release to Aquifer .....	N-71
Figure N-111.	Waste Management Alternative 3, 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-72
Figure N-112.	Waste Management Alternative 3, 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-72
Figure N-113.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-73
Figure N-114.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Vadose Zone .....	N-74

Figure N-115. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-75
Figure N-116. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-75
Figure N-117. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-76
Figure N-118. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-77
Figure N-119. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-78
Figure N-120. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-78
Figure N-121. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-79
Figure N-122. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-80
Figure N-123. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-81
Figure N-124. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-81
Figure N-125. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-82
Figure N-126. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-83
Figure N-127. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-84
Figure N-128. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-84
Figure N-129. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-85
Figure N-130. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-86
Figure N-131. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer .....	N-86
Figure N-132. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-87
Figure N-133. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-88
Figure N-134. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-88
Figure N-135. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radiological Release to Aquifer.....	N-89
Figure N-136. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Release to Aquifer.....	N-89
Figure N-137. Distribution of Travel Time in the Vadose Zone for the 200-East Area .....	N-95
Figure N-138. Distribution of Travel Time in the Vadose Zone for the 200-West Area.....	N-95
Figure N-139. Dependence of Flux of Solute at the Water Table on Magnitude of Aqueous Discharge.....	N-96
Figure N-140. Dependence of Solute Flux on Thickness of a Silt Layer .....	N-96

*List of Figures*

---

Figure N-141. Schematic of a Tilted Geologic Layer.....	N-97
Figure N-142. Schematic of Configuration of Vadose Zone Release Areas at the Water Table, Upper Geologic Layer Tilted.....	N-97
Figure N-143. Time Series of Solute Flux Immediately Below the Source, Upper Geologic Layer Tilted.....	N-98
Figure N-144. Time Series of Solute Flux Below the Entire Study Area, Upper Geologic Layer Tilted.....	N-98
Figure N-145. Schematic of an Elevation View of the Vadose Zone with the Study Volume Intersected by a Dike.....	N-99
Figure N-146. Schematic of Plan View of Recharge Areas with Study Area Intersected by a Dike.....	N-99
Figure N-147. Time Series of Solute Flux Immediately Below a Source Intersected by a Dike.....	N-100
Figure N-148. Time Series of Solute Flux Below Entire Study Area with Source Intersected by a Dike.....	N-100
Figure N-149. Rate of Release of Nitrate to the Vadose Zone for River Protection Project Disposal Facility Barrier Conditions.....	N-102
Figure N-150. Flux of Nitrate at the Water Table Zone for River Protection Project Disposal Facility Barrier Conditions.....	N-103
Figure N-151. Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone for 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Conditions.....	N-104
Figure N-152. Flux of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for Integrated Disposal Facility Conditions.....	N-105
Figure N-153. Dependence of Flux of Iodine-129 at the Water Table on Magnitude of Distribution Coefficient.....	N-106
Figure N-154. Fluxes of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for Two Waste Forms for the 20 Percent Partition to Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Case.....	N-107
Figure N-155. Fluxes of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for Two Waste Forms for the 70 Percent Partition to Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Case.....	N-108
Figure N-156. Fluxes of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for the 20 Percent and 70 Percent Partition to Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Cases.....	N-108
Figure O-1. Hanford Site Map Showing Locations of Lines of Analysis.....	O-4
Figure O-2. Configuration of Release Areas for a Given Source.....	O-5
Figure O-3. Sitewide Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plumes Calendar Year 1980.....	O-7
Figure O-4. Sitewide Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plumes Calendar Year 2003.....	O-7
Figure O-5. Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run P10, Calendar Year 1980.....	O-25
Figure O-6. Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run P10, Calendar Year 1990.....	O-26
Figure O-7. Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run P10, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-27
Figure O-8. Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run R10, Calendar Year 1980.....	O-28
Figure O-9. Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run R10, Calendar Year 1990.....	O-29
Figure O-10. Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run R10, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-30
Figure O-11. Base Case Operational Period Chromium Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-74
Figure O-12. Alternate Case Operational Period Chromium Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-75
Figure O-13. Base Case Operational Period Nitrate Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-76
Figure O-14. Alternate Case Operational Period Nitrate Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-77

Figure O-15.	Base Case Operational Period Iodine-129 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-78
Figure O-16.	Alternate Case Operational Period Iodine-129 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-79
Figure O-17.	Base Case Operational Period Technetium-99 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-80
Figure O-18.	Alternate Case Operational Period Technetium-99 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-81
Figure O-19.	Base Case Operational Period Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-82
Figure O-20.	Alternate Case Operational Period Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-83
Figure O-21.	Base Case Operational Period Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-84
Figure O-22.	Alternate Case Operational Period Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005 .....	O-85
Figure O-23.	A Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period .....	O-86
Figure O-24.	B Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period .....	O-87
Figure O-25.	Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period .....	O-87
Figure O-26.	T Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period.....	O-88
Figure O-27.	U Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period .....	O-88
Figure O-28.	S Barrier (Hanford Postoperational Period) .....	O-89
Figure O-29.	200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier (Hanford Postoperational Period) .....	O-89
Figure O-30.	200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier (Hanford Postoperational Period) .....	O-90
Figure O-31.	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground Trenches 31 and 34 Barrier (Hanford Postoperational Period).....	O-90
Figure O-32.	River Protection Project Disposal Facility Barrier (Hanford Postoperational Period) .....	O-91
Figure O-33.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at BY Cribs, Calendar Year 2005 – Retardation Factor of One, .....	O-93
Figure O-34.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at BY Cribs, Calendar Year 2005 – Retardation Factor of Three .....	O-94
Figure O-35.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at BY Cribs, Calendar Year 3500 – Retardation Factor of One .....	O-95
Figure O-36.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at BY Cribs, Calendar Year 3500 – Retardation Factor of Three .....	O-96
Figure O-37.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at BY Cribs, Calendar Year 7010 – Retardation Factor of One .....	O-97
Figure O-38.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at BY Cribs, Calendar Year 7010 – Retardation Factor of Three .....	O-98
Figure O-39.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at TY Cribs, Calendar Year 2005 – Retardation Factor of One .....	O-99
Figure O-40.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at TY Cribs, Calendar Year 2005 – Retardation Factor of Three .....	O-100
Figure O-41.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at TY Cribs, Calendar Year 3500 – Retardation Factor of One .....	O-101
Figure O-42.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at TY Cribs, Calendar Year 3500 – Retardation Factor of Three .....	O-102
Figure O-43.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at TY Cribs, Calendar Year 7010 – Retardation Factor of One .....	O-103
Figure O-44.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration at TY Cribs, Calendar Year 7010 – Retardation Factor of Three .....	O-104

*List of Figures*

---

Figure O–45.	Concentration of Uranium-238 for Standard 10,000-Year Period .....	O–105
Figure O–46.	Concentration of Uranium-238 for Modified 30,000-Year Period.....	O–106
Figure O–47.	Realizations for BY Cribs at the Columbia River .....	O–109
Figure O–48.	Realizations for BY Cribs at the Core Zone Boundary .....	O–109
Figure O–49.	Realizations for BY Cribs at the B Barrier.....	O–110
Figure O–50.	Realizations for TY Cribs at the Columbia River .....	O–110
Figure O–51.	Realizations for TY Cribs at the Core Zone Boundary .....	O–111
Figure O–52.	Realizations for TY Cribs at the T Barrier .....	O–111
Figure Q–1.	Algorithm for Intruder Scenario Analysis Computer Code.....	Q–19
Figure Q–2.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q–56
Figure Q–3.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q–79
Figure Q–4.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q–101
Figure Q–5.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–123
Figure Q–6.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–146
Figure Q–7.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–168
Figure Q–8.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–190
Figure Q–9.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–213
Figure Q–10.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–235
Figure Q–11.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier.....	Q–243
Figure Q–12.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier.....	Q–245
Figure Q–13.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q–257
Figure Q–14.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q–264
Figure Q–15.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q–265
Figure Q–16.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q–271
Figure Q–17.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q–272
Figure Q–18.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q–278

Figure Q-19.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-279
Figure Q-20.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-285
Figure Q-21.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-286
Figure Q-22.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-292
Figure Q-23.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-293
Figure Q-24.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-298
Figure Q-25.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-299
Figure Q-26.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-305
Figure Q-27.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-306
Figure Q-28.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-311
Figure Q-29.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-312
Figure Q-30.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-323
Figure Q-31.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-324
Figure Q-32.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-324
Figure Q-33.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-325
Figure Q-34.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-336
Figure Q-35.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-337

*List of Figures*

---

Figure Q-36.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-337
Figure Q-37.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-338
Figure Q-38.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-346
Figure Q-39.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-347
Figure Q-40.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-347
Figure Q-41.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-355
Figure Q-42.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-356
Figure Q-43.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-356
Figure Q-44.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-364
Figure Q-45.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-365
Figure Q-46.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-365
Figure Q-47.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-373
Figure Q-48.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-374
Figure Q-49.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-374
Figure Q-50.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-382
Figure Q-51.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-383
Figure Q-52.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-383

Figure Q-53.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-390
Figure Q-54.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-391
Figure Q-55.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-391
Figure Q-56.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-399
Figure Q-57.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-400
Figure Q-58.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-400
Figure Q-59.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-407
Figure Q-60.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-408
Figure Q-61.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-408
Figure Q-62.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-422
Figure Q-63.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-423
Figure Q-64.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-423
Figure Q-65.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-424
Figure Q-66.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-424
Figure Q-67.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-425
Figure Q-68.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-439
Figure Q-69.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-440

*List of Figures*

---

Figure Q-70.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-440
Figure Q-71.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-441
Figure Q-72.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-441
Figure Q-73.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-442
Figure R-1.	Generalized Land Use at the Hanford Site .....	R-8
Figure R-2.	Flow Diagram for Identifying and Evaluating Cumulative Impacts .....	R-16
Figure S-1.	Known and Unknown Inventory in 100 Area Sites at Hanford.....	S-13
Figure S-2.	Known and Unknown Inventory in 200 Area Sites at Hanford.....	S-13
Figure S-3.	Known and Unknown Inventory in 300 Area, 400 Area, Permitted Facilities, and Other Sites at Hanford .....	S-14
Figure S-4.	Alternative and Cumulative Sites Index Map.....	S-16
Figure S-5.	Map 1: Cumulative Sites in the 100-BC Area.....	S-17
Figure S-6.	Map 2: Cumulative Sites in the 100-K Area .....	S-18
Figure S-7.	Map 3: Cumulative Sites in the 100-N Area .....	S-19
Figure S-8.	Map 4: Cumulative Sites in the 100-D Area .....	S-20
Figure S-9.	Map 5: Cumulative Sites in the 100-H Area .....	S-21
Figure S-10.	Map 6: Cumulative Sites in the 100-F Area.....	S-22
Figure S-11.	Map 7: Cumulative Sites in the 216-N Area .....	S-23
Figure S-12.	Map 8: Cumulative Sites in the Gable Mountain Pond Area .....	S-24
Figure S-13.	Map 9: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area .....	S-25
Figure S-14.	Map 9A: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area .....	S-26
Figure S-15.	Map 9B: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area.....	S-27
Figure S-16.	Map 9C: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area.....	S-28
Figure S-17.	Map 9D: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area .....	S-29
Figure S-18.	Map 9E: Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area.....	S-30
Figure S-19.	Map 9F: Cumulative Sites in the 200-West Area.....	S-31
Figure S-20.	Map 10: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility Area .....	S-32
Figure S-21.	Map 11: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-33
Figure S-22.	Map 12: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-34
Figure S-23.	Map 12A: Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area .....	S-35
Figure S-24.	Map 12B: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area .....	S-36
Figure S-25.	Map 12C: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area .....	S-37
Figure S-26.	Map 12D: Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area .....	S-38
Figure S-27.	Map 13: Cumulative Sites in the 200-East Area .....	S-39
Figure S-28.	Map 14: Cumulative Sites in the 600 Area.....	S-40
Figure S-29.	Map 15: Alternative and Cumulative Sites in Vicinity of the 300 and 400 Areas .....	S-41
Figure S-30.	Map 16: Cumulative Sites in the 300 Area.....	S-42
Figure U-1.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-5
Figure U-2.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) .....	U-5

Figure U-3.	Strontium-90 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-6
Figure U-4.	Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) .....	U-6
Figure U-5.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) .....	U-7
Figure U-6.	Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-7
Figure U-7.	Chromium Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-8
Figure U-8.	Nitrate Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-8
Figure U-9.	Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) .....	U-9
Figure U-10.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-11
Figure U-11.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-12
Figure U-12.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-13
Figure U-13.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-14
Figure U-14.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-15
Figure U-15.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-16
Figure U-16.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-17
Figure U-17.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-18
Figure U-18.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-19
Figure U-19.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-20
Figure U-20.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-21
Figure U-21.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-22
Figure U-22.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-23
Figure U-23.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-24
Figure U-24.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-25
Figure U-25.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-26
Figure U-26.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-27
Figure U-27.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-28
Figure U-28.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-29
Figure U-29.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-30
Figure U-30.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-31
Figure U-31.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-32

*List of Figures*

---

Figure U-32.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-33
Figure U-33.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-34
Figure U-34.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-35
Figure U-35.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-36
Figure U-36.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-37
Figure U-37.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-38
Figure U-38.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-39
Figure U-39.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-40
Figure U-40.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-41
Figure U-41.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-42
Figure U-42.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-43
Figure U-43.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-44
Figure U-44.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2005 .....	U-45
Figure U-45.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 2135 .....	U-46
Figure U-46.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 3890 .....	U-47
Figure U-47.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 7140 .....	U-48
Figure U-48.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources) During Calendar Year 11,885 .....	U-49
Figure V-1.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Additional Recharge Cell Locations .....	V-5
Figure V-2.	TC & WM EIS Base Case Flow Model Long-Term Steady State Head Distribution (Hydraulic Head from Model Layer 19, 105-110 meters above mean sea level) .....	V-13
Figure V-3.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Long-Term Steady State Head Distribution (Hydraulic Head from Model Layer 19, 105-110 meters above mean sea level).....	V-13
Figure V-4.	Hydraulic Head Difference (meters) Between the Base Case Flow Model and Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model (Hydraulic Head Difference from Model Layer 19, 105-110 meters above mean sea level).....	V-14
Figure V-5.	Base Case Flow Field – Central Plateau Delineated Particle Pathlines.....	V-16
Figure V-6.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field – Central Plateau Delineated Particle Pathlines .....	V-16
Figure V-7.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 3 (135-140 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities .....	V-18

Figure V-8.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 3 (135–140 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-19
Figure V-9.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 9 (122–123 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities .....	V-20
Figure V-10.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 9 (122–123 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-21
Figure V-11.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 11 (120–121 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-22
Figure V-12.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 11 (120–121 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-23
Figure V-13.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 14 (117–118 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities .....	V-24
Figure V-14.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 14 (117–118 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-25
Figure V-15.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 15 (116–117 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities .....	V-26
Figure V-16.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 15 (116–117 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-27
Figure V-17.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 16 (115–116 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-28
Figure V-18.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 16 (115–116 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-29
Figure V-19.	Base Case Flow Model, Layer 20 (100–105 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities .....	V-30
Figure V-20.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model, Layer 20 (100–105 meters above mean sea level) Vector Velocities.....	V-31
Figure V-21.	Base Case Flow Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	V-41
Figure V-22.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	V-41
Figure V-23.	Base Case Flow Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	V-42
Figure V-24.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	V-42
Figure V-25.	Base Case Flow Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-West Area, Trench 31 .....	V-43
Figure V-26.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-West Area, Trench 31 .....	V-43
Figure V-27.	Base Case Flow Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-West Area, Trench 34.....	V-44
Figure V-28.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-West Area, Trench 34.....	V-44
Figure V-29.	Base Case Flow Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	V-45
Figure V-30.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	V-45
Figure V-31.	Base Case Flow Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	V-46
Figure V-32.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model Vadose Zone Flux Release over Time – 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	V-46

## List of Tables

Table C-1.	Public Information Outreach Plan .....	C-195
Table C-2.	Chronology of Consultation Process for the “Tank Closure EIS” and Communications with American Indian Tribal Governments.....	C-196
Table C-3.	Chronology of Consultation Process for the this <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> and Communications with American Indian Tribal Government .....	C-199
Table C-4.	Hanford Advisory Board Outreach .....	C-411
Table C-5.	Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board Outreach .....	C-489
Table D-1.	Unsampled Single-Shell Tanks .....	D-2
Table D-2.	Constituents Selected for Detailed Analysis .....	D-3
Table D-3.	Tank Inventory Volumes .....	D-4
Table D-4.	Single-Shell Tank Radiological Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm (curies).....	D-6
Table D-5.	Double-Shell Tank Radiological Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm (curies).....	D-6
Table D-6.	Single-Shell Tank Nonradiological Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm (kilograms).....	D-7
Table D-7.	Double-Shell Tank Nonradiological Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm (kilograms).....	D-7
Table D-8.	Best-Basis Inventory Comparison of Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-12
Table D-9.	Single-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Radiological Constituent Inventories (curies).....	D-14
Table D-10.	Single-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Nonradiological Constituent Inventories (grams).....	D-14
Table D-11.	Double-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Radiological Constituent Inventories (curies).....	D-15
Table D-12.	Double-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Nonradiological Constituent Inventories (grams).....	D-15
Table D-13.	Tank Closure Alternative Retrieval Approaches.....	D-17
Table D-14.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Radiological Constituent Inventories: 90 Percent Retrieval (curies) .....	D-18
Table D-15.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Radiological Constituent Inventories: 90 Percent Retrieval (curies) .....	D-18
Table D-16.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Radiological Constituent Inventories: 99 Percent Retrieval (curies) .....	D-19
Table D-17.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Radiological Constituent Inventories: 99 Percent Retrieval (curies) .....	D-19
Table D-18.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Radiological Constituent Inventories: 99.9 Percent Retrieval (curies) .....	D-20
Table D-19.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Radiological Constituent Inventories: 99.9 Percent Retrieval (curies) .....	D-20
Table D-20.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Nonradiological Constituent Inventories: 90 Percent Retrieval (grams).....	D-21
Table D-21.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Nonradiological Constituent Inventories: 90 Percent Retrieval (grams).....	D-21
Table D-22.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Nonradiological Constituent Inventories: 99 Percent Retrieval (grams).....	D-22
Table D-23.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Nonradiological Constituent Inventories: 99 Percent Retrieval (grams).....	D-22
Table D-24.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Nonradiological Constituent Inventories: 99.9 Percent Retrieval (grams).....	D-23
Table D-25.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Nonradiological Constituent Inventories: 99.9 Percent Retrieval (grams).....	D-23

Table D-26.	Historical Single-Shell Tank Radiological Constituent Leak Inventories (curies).....	D-25
Table D-27.	Historical Single-Shell Tank Nonradiological Constituent Leak Inventories (grams).....	D-25
Table D-28.	Radiological Constituent Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	D-27
Table D-29.	Nonradiological Constituent Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	D-27
Table D-30.	Single-Shell Tank Radiological Constituent Tank Waste Retrieval Leak Inventories (curies).....	D-29
Table D-31.	Single-Shell Tank Nonradiological Constituent Tank Waste Retrieval Leak Inventories (kilograms) .....	D-29
Table D-32.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary of Conditions.....	D-30
Table D-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 1: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-32
Table D-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 1: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-32
Table D-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-35
Table D-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-36
Table D-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-37
Table D-38.	Alternative 2B: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-38
Table D-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-41
Table D-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-42
Table D-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-43
Table D-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-44
Table D-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-45
Table D-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-46
Table D-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-48
Table D-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-49
Table D-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of BX and SX Tank Farms (curies) .....	D-51
Table D-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of BX and SX Tank Farms (kilograms).....	D-51
Table D-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-54
Table D-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-55
Table D-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-57
Table D-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-58

*List of Tables*

---

Table D-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-59
Table D-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-60
Table D-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-62
Table D-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-63
Table D-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-64
Table D-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-65
Table D-59.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6A and 6B, Base Cases: Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms (curies).....	D-66
Table D-60.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6A and 6B, Base Cases: Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms (kilograms).....	D-66
Table D-61.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6A and 6B, Option Cases Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the Single-Shell Tank Farms and Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) (curies).....	D-68
Table D-62.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6A and 6B, Option Cases Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the Single-Shell Tank Farms and Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) (kilograms).....	D-68
Table D-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-69
Table D-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-70
Table D-65.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radiological COPC Inventory from Removal of 4.6 Meters (15 Feet) of Soil at the BX and SX Tank Farms (curies).....	D-72
Table D-66.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical COPC Inventory from Removal of 4.6 Meters (15 Feet) of Soil at the BX and SX Tank Farms (kilograms) .....	D-72
Table D-67.	Fast Flux Test Facility Systems Bulk Sodium Volumes .....	D-105
Table D-68.	Fast Flux Test Facility Sodium Contaminant Constituents .....	D-106
Table D-69.	Activated Reactor Vessel and In-Vessel Component Inventory, Decayed to September 2003 .....	D-107
Table D-70.	Activated Reactor Hardware, Core Components, Non-Fueled Hardware, and Interim Examination and Maintenance Cell Items Inventory, Decayed to September 2003 .....	D-108
Table D-71.	Activation Inventory of Fast Flux Test Facility Bioshield, Decayed to September 2006 .....	D-108
Table D-72.	Contaminated Areas Within Fast Flux Test Facility .....	D-109
Table D-73.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-112
Table D-74.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-115
Table D-75.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-117
Table D-76.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Inventory .....	D-122
Table D-77.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 Radiological and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance .....	D-123

Table D-78.	Onsite-Generated, Non-CERCLA, Non-Tank-Activity Waste Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory.....	D-125
Table D-79.	Onsite-Generated, Non-CERCLA, Non-Tank-Activity Waste Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory.....	D-125
Table D-80.	Offsite Waste Projection Characteristics by U.S. Department of Energy Site.....	D-132
Table D-81.	Summary of Offsite Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventories by U.S. Department of Energy Site .....	D-134
Table D-82.	Summary of Offsite Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventories by U.S. Department of Energy Site .....	D-136
Table E-1.	Distribution of Single-Shell Tanks Among Tank Farms.....	E-3
Table E-2.	Distribution of Double-Shell Tanks Among Tank Farms .....	E-3
Table E-3.	Comparison of Tank Closure Alternatives .....	E-11
Table E-4.	Basis for Supplemental Treatment Estimates.....	E-39
Table E-5.	Partitioning Factors of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern in Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass .....	E-53
Table E-6.	Designation of Low-Activity Waste Tanks .....	E-70
Table E-7.	Partitioning Factors of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern in Bulk Vitrification Glass .....	E-79
Table E-8.	Partitioning Factors of Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern in Steam Reforming Waste.....	E-98
Table E-9.	Mixed Transuranic Waste Tanks and Associated Volumes .....	E-106
Table E-10.	Summary Waste Masses/Volumes/Waste Containers for Tank Closure Alternatives .....	E-115
Table E-11.	Demand on Borrow Area C Resources from Alternative Activities .....	E-157
Table E-12.	Fast Flux Test Facilities and Structures.....	E-181
Table E-13.	Proposed Decommissioning Actions for Hanford Fast Flux Test Facility and Support Facilities.....	E-188
Table E-14.	Hanford Site Radioactive Sodium Inventory.....	E-194
Table E-15.	Significant Radioisotopes in Sodium .....	E-194
Table E-16.	Fast Flux Test Facility Remote-Handled Special Component Inventory Summary .....	E-211
Table E-17.	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground Locations, Service Dates, Areas, and Waste Types .....	E-247
Table E-18.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Disposal Groups.....	E-254
Table E-19.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Disposal Groups.....	E-255
Table E-20.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 RPPDF Disposal Groups .....	E-257
Table F-1.	Land Use and Visual Resources Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-2
Table F-2.	U.S. Bureau of Land Management Visual Resources Classifications.....	F-2
Table F-3.	Infrastructure Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-4
Table F-4.	Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Protocol .....	F-5
Table F-5.	Air Quality Impact Assessment Protocol .....	F-7
Table F-6.	Geology and Soils Impact Assessment Protocol .....	F-9
Table F-7.	The Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale of 1931, with Generalized Correlations to Magnitude, Earthquake Classification, and Peak Ground Acceleration .....	F-10
Table F-8.	Water Use and Availability Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-12
Table F-9.	Water Quality Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-13
Table F-10.	Ecological Resources Impact Assessment Protocol .....	F-15
Table F-11.	Cultural Resources Impact Assessment Protocol .....	F-17
Table F-12.	Socioeconomics Impact Assessment Protocol .....	F-20

*List of Tables*

---

Table F-13.	Waste Management Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-22
Table G-1.	Ambient Air Pollutant Standards and Acceptable Source Impact Levels .....	G-2
Table G-2.	Area Source Parameters .....	G-7
Table G-3.	Locations and Corresponding Dispersion Factors for Maximum Air Quality Impacts at the Hanford Site .....	G-8
Table G-4.	Dispersion Factors for Maximum Air Quality Impacts of Construction at the Idaho National Laboratory Materials and Fuels Complex .....	G-11
Table G-5.	Annual Dispersion Factors for Maximally Exposed Noninvolved Workers on the Hanford Site.....	G-11
Table G-6.	Representative Nonradiological Air Pollutant Emission Factors .....	G-12
Table G-7.	Nonradiological Air Emissions from the 200 Area Tank Farms at the Hanford Site .....	G-14
Table G-8.	Peak-Year Employee Vehicle Emissions by Alternative .....	G-19
Table G-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-21
Table G-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-21
Table G-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-22
Table G-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-24
Table G-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-27
Table G-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-29
Table G-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-32
Table G-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-35
Table G-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-38
Table G-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-41
Table G-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-44
Table G-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-47
Table G-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-50
Table G-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-53
Table G-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-57
Table G-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-60
Table G-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-64
Table G-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-69
Table G-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-74
Table G-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-79
Table G-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-84
Table G-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-88
Table G-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-92
Table G-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-96
Table G-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-100
Table G-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-103
Table G-35.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-106
Table G-36.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-106
Table G-37.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities .....	G-107
Table G-38.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities .....	G-108
Table G-39.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities .....	G-109
Table G-40.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities .....	G-110

Table G-41.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities .....	G-111
Table G-42.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities .....	G-111
Table G-43.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities .....	G-112
Table G-44.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities .....	G-112
Table G-45.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-113
Table G-46.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-113
Table G-47.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-114
Table G-48.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-115
Table G-49.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-116
Table G-50.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-117
Table G-51.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-118
Table G-52.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-119
Table G-53.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-120
Table G-54.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-121
Table G-55.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-122
Table G-56.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-123
Table G-57.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-124
Table G-58.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-125
Table G-59.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-126
Table G-60.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-127
Table G-61.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions .....	G-128
Table G-62.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions .....	G-129
Table G-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-130
Table G-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources .....	G-130
Table G-65.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-131
Table G-66.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-134
Table G-67.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources .....	G-136
Table G-68.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-139
Table G-69.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-142

*List of Tables*

---

Table G-70.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-145
Table G-71.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-148
Table G-72.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-151
Table G-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-154
Table G-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-157
Table G-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-160
Table G-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-163
Table G-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-166
Table G-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-169
Table G-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-172
Table G-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-176
Table G-81.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-180
Table G-82.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-184
Table G-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-189
Table G-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-192
Table G-85.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-196
Table G-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-199
Table G-87.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-202
Table G-88.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-204
Table G-89.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-207
Table G-90.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-207
Table G-91.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-208
Table G-92.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-209
Table G-93.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-210
Table G-94.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-211
Table G-95.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-212
Table G-96.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-212
Table G-97.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-213
Table G-98.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-214
Table G-99.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-215
Table G-100.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-216

Table G-101. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-217
Table G-102. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-218
Table G-103. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-219
Table G-104. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-220
Table G-105. Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-221
Table G-106. Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-222
Table G-107. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-223
Table G-108. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-224
Table G-109. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-225
Table G-110. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-226
Table G-111. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-227
Table G-112. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources.....	G-228
Table G-113. Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-231
Table G-114. Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-232
Table G-115. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-233
Table G-116. Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-236
Table G-117. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-238
Table G-118. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-240
Table G-119. Tank Closure Alternative 3A Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-242
Table G-120. Tank Closure Alternative 3A Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-245
Table G-121. Tank Closure Alternative 3B Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-248
Table G-122. Tank Closure Alternative 3B Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-251
Table G-123. Tank Closure Alternative 3C Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-254
Table G-124. Tank Closure Alternative 3C Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-257
Table G-125. Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-260

*List of Tables*

---

Table G-126.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-263
Table G-127.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-267
Table G-128.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-270
Table G-129.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-273
Table G-130.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-277
Table G-131.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-281
Table G-132.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-286
Table G-133.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-290
Table G-134.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-294
Table G-135.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-298
Table G-136.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-301
Table G-137.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-304
Table G-138.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-307
Table G-139.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-310
Table G-140.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-310
Table G-141.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods .....	G-311
Table G-142.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods .....	G-312
Table G-143.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods .....	G-313
Table G-144.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods .....	G-314
Table G-145.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods .....	G-315
Table G-146.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods .....	G-316
Table G-147.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods .....	G-317
Table G-148.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods .....	G-318
Table G-149.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-319
Table G-150.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-319

Table G-151.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-320
Table G-152.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-321
Table G-153.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-322
Table G-154.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-323
Table G-155.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-324
Table G-156.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-325
Table G-157.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-326
Table G-158.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-327
Table G-159.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-328
Table G-160.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-329
Table G-161.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-330
Table G-162.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-331
Table G-163.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-332
Table G-164.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-333
Table G-165.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-334
Table G-166.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods .....	G-335
Table G-167.	Estimated Annual Average Carbon Dioxide Emissions by Alternative.....	G-336
Table H-1.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Offsite Transport Truck and Rail Route Characteristics.....	H-9
Table H-2.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Offsite Transport Truck and Rail Route Characteristics.....	H-9
Table H-3.	Waste Management Alternatives – Offsite Transport Truck and Rail Route Characteristics.....	H-12
Table H-4.	Waste Type and Container Characteristics.....	H-17
Table H-5.	Incident-Free Unit Risk Factors for a Dose Rate of 1 Millirem per Hour at 1 Meter (3.3 Feet) from the Shipping Container for Truck and Rail Shipments .....	H-19
Table H-6.	Risk Factors per Shipment of Radioactive Waste .....	H-26
Table H-7.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimates of Number of Radioactive Waste Shipments .....	H-28
Table H-8.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste .....	H-29
Table H-9.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals During Incident-Free Transportation Conditions .....	H-30
Table H-10.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimated Dose to the Population and to Maximally Exposed Individuals During the Most Severe Potential Accident.....	H-31

*List of Tables*

---

Table H-11.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimates of Number of Shipments .....	H-31
Table H-12.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste.....	H-32
Table H-13.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals During Incident-Free Transportation Conditions .....	H-33
Table H-14.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimated Dose to the Population and to Maximally Exposed Individuals During the Most Severe Potential Accident .....	H-34
Table H-15.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimates of Number of Shipments.....	H-34
Table H-16.	Waste Management Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste .....	H-35
Table H-17.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals During Incident-Free Transportation Conditions .....	H-35
Table H-18.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimated Dose to the Population and the Maximally Exposed Individuals During the Most Severe Potential Accident.....	H-36
Table H-19.	Estimated Impacts of Construction and Operational Material Transport.....	H-37
Table H-20.	Cumulative Transportation-Related Radiological Collective Doses and Latent Cancer Fatalities .....	H-40
Table I-1.	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Alternative.....	I-1
Table I-2.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-2
Table I-3.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-3
Table I-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-3
Table I-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-4
Table I-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-7
Table I-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-9
Table I-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-10
Table I-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-11
Table I-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-13
Table I-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-15
Table I-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-16
Table I-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-18
Table I-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-20
Table I-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-23
Table I-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-25
Table I-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-27
Table I-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-29
Table I-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-32
Table I-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-34
Table I-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-36
Table I-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-38
Table I-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-41
Table I-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-43
Table I-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-45
Table I-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-47
Table I-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-50
Table I-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-52
Table I-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-54
Table I-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-57
Table I-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-60
Table I-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-62
Table I-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-63

Table I-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-67
Table I-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-69
Table I-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-70
Table I-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-74
Table I-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-78
Table I-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-80
Table I-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-82
Table I-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-86
Table I-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-89
Table I-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-91
Table I-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-92
Table I-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-96
Table I-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-99
Table I-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-101
Table I-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-102
Table I-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-106
Table I-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-109
Table I-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-111
Table I-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-112
Table I-53.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-114
Table I-54.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Facility Disposition Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-114
Table I-55.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Facility Disposition Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-116
Table I-56.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Disposition of Remote- Handled Components Rollup Workforce Estimate .....	I-117
Table I-57.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Disposition of Bulk Sodium Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-118
Table I-58.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Operations/Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-119
Table I-59.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-120
Table I-60.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-121
Table I-61.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-121
Table I-62.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-122
Table I-63.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-123

*List of Tables*

---

Table I-64.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-123
Table I-65.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-124
Table I-66.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-124
Table I-67.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-125
Table I-68.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-126
Table I-69.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-126
Table I-70.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-127
Table I-71.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-128
Table I-72.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-128
Table I-73.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-129
Table I-74.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-130
Table I-75.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-130
Table I-76.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-131
Table I-77.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-132
Table I-78.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-133
Table I-79.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-133
Table I-80.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-134
Table I-81.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-135
Table I-82.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-135
Table J-1.	Thresholds for Identifying Minority Populations and Low-Income Communities .....	J-4
Table J-2.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000).....	J-7
Table J-3.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000).....	J-9
Table J-4.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant (2000).....	J-13
Table J-5.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant (2000).....	J-16
Table J-6.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000).....	J-17
Table J-7.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site (2000).....	J-20

Table J-8.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility (2000) .....	J-22
Table J-9.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility (2000) .....	J-25
Table J-10.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex (2000) .....	J-26
Table J-11.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex (2000) .....	J-31
Table J-12.	Potentially Affected Populations .....	J-33
Table J-13.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-34
Table J-14.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-36
Table J-15.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-38
Table J-16.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-39
Table J-17.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-41
Table J-18.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-43
Table J-19.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-45
Table J-20.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-47
Table J-21.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Maximum Annual Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation.....	J-49
Table J-22.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation Over the Life of the Project .....	J-49
Table J-23.	Comparative Food Consumption Rates for Subsistence Consumer and the General Population Maximally Exposed Individual .....	J-50
Table J-24.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-51
Table J-25.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-52
Table J-26.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-53
Table J-27.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact.....	J-54
Table J-28.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-55
Table J-29.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-56
Table J-30.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-57
Table J-31.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-58

*List of Tables*

---

Table J-32.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Maximum Annual Dose and Risk to a Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Appropriate Reservation Boundary .....	J-59
Table J-33.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Dose and Risk to a Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Appropriate Reservation Boundary Over the Life of the Project.....	J-60
Table J-34.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-61
Table J-35.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-62
Table J-36.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-63
Table J-37.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact .....	J-64
Table J-38.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-65
Table J-39.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-66
Table J-40.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-67
Table J-41.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project .....	J-68
Table J-42.	Waste Management Alternatives – Maximum Annual Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation .....	J-69
Table J-43.	Waste Management Alternatives – Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation Over the Life of the Project .....	J-69
Table K-1.	Exposure Limits for Members of the Public and Radiation Workers .....	K-6
Table K-2.	Nominal Health Risk Estimators Associated with Exposure to Ionizing Radiation .....	K-7
Table K-3.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Hanford Site 200 Areas at a 61-Meter Height.....	K-17
Table K-4.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Hanford Site 200 Areas at a 9-Meter Height.....	K-19
Table K-5.	Exposure Input Parameters for Members of the Public.....	K-23
Table K-6.	Radionuclides Included in Air Pathway Dose Analysis.....	K-25
Table K-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-27
Table K-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-27
Table K-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-28
Table K-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-28
Table K-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-29
Table K-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-29
Table K-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-30
Table K-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-30
Table K-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-31
Table K-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-31
Table K-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-32
Table K-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-32
Table K-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-33

Table K-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-34
Table K-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-34
Table K-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-35
Table K-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-35
Table K-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-36
Table K-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-36
Table K-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-37
Table K-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-37
Table K-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-38
Table K-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-38
Table K-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-39
Table K-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-39
Table K-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-40
Table K-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-40
Table K-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-41
Table K-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-41
Table K-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-42
Table K-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-42
Table K-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-43
Table K-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-43
Table K-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-44
Table K-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-44
Table K-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-45
Table K-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-45
Table K-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-46
Table K-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-46
Table K-46.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on the Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual Over the Life of the Project During Normal Operations.....	K-47

*List of Tables*

---

Table K-47.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on the Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual in the Year of Maximum Impact During Normal Operations .....	K-47
Table K-48.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Radiation Worker Impacts and Labor Estimates .....	K-49
Table K-49.	Dose Assessment Parameters for Noninvolved Workers .....	K-50
Table K-50.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on Noninvolved Workers in the Year(s) of Maximum Impact During Normal Operations .....	K-51
Table K-51.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on Noninvolved Workers over the Life of the Project During Normal Operations .....	K-51
Table K-52.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Hanford Site 400 Area (Fast Flux Test Facility) at a 9-Meter Height .....	K-58
Table K-53.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Idaho National Laboratory Materials and Fuels Complex at a 10-Meter Height .....	K-60
Table K-54.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-64
Table K-55.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-64
Table K-56.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-65
Table K-57.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Impacts on the Hanford Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-66
Table K-58.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Radiation Worker Impacts and Labor Estimates .....	K-66
Table K-59.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Impacts on the Noninvolved Worker During Normal Operations .....	K-67
Table K-60.	Waste Management Alternatives – Radiological Emissions During Normal Operations .....	K-68
Table K-61.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 – Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations .....	K-69
Table K-62.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 – Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-69
Table K-63.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 – Impacts on the Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations .....	K-70
Table K-64.	Waste Management Alternatives – Radiation Worker Impacts and Labor Estimates During Normal Operations .....	K-70
Table K-65.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Applicability of Radiological Accident Scenarios .....	K-79
Table K-66.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Radiological Accident Scenario Applicability .....	K-87
Table K-67.	Waste Management Alternatives – Accident Scenario Applicability .....	K-90
Table K-68.	Fire and Deflagration Scenarios Analyzed in the DSASW .....	K-93
Table K-69.	Spill and Spray Scenarios Analyzed in the DSASW .....	K-94
Table K-70.	Tank Closure Alternative – 1 Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-98
Table K-71.	Tank Closure Alternative – 1 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-98
Table K-72.	Tank Closure Alternative – 2A Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-99
Table K-73.	Tank Closure Alternative – 2A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-100
Table K-74.	Tank Closure Alternative – 2B Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-101
Table K-75.	Tank Closure Alternative – 2B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-102
Table K-76.	Tank Closure Alternative – 3A Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-103
Table K-77.	Tank Closure Alternative – 3A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-104
Table K-78.	Tank Closure Alternative – 3B Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-105
Table K-79.	Tank Closure Alternative – 3B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-106
Table K-80.	Tank Closure Alternative – 3C Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-107

Table K-81.	Tank Closure Alternative – 3C Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-108
Table K-82.	Tank Closure Alternative – 4 Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-109
Table K-83.	Tank Closure Alternative – 4 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-110
Table K-84.	Tank Closure Alternative – 5 Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-111
Table K-85.	Tank Closure Alternative – 5 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-112
Table K-86.	Tank Closure Alternative – 6A Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-113
Table K-87.	Tank Closure Alternative – 6A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-114
Table K-88.	Tank Closure Alternative – 6B Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-115
Table K-89.	Tank Closure Alternative – 6B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-116
Table K-90.	Tank Closure Alternative – 6C Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-117
Table K-91.	Tank Closure Alternative – 6C Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-118
Table K-92.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Radiological Consequences of Accidents ...	K-119
Table K-93.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-119
Table K-94.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Hanford Option for Disposition of RH-SCs – Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-120
Table K-95.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Hanford Option for Disposition of RH-SCs – Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-120
Table K-96.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Idaho Option for Disposition of RH-SCs and Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium – Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-121
Table K-97.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Idaho Option for Disposition of RH-SCs and Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium – Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-121
Table K-98.	Waste Management Alternative – 1 Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-122
Table K-99.	Waste Management Alternative – 1 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents .....	K-123
Table K-100.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 – Radiological Consequences of Accidents .....	K-124
Table K-101.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 – Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents ....	K-125
Table K-102.	Summary of Chemicals at the Waste Treatment Plant Complex .....	K-128
Table K-103.	Balance-of-Facilities Nitric Acid Spill Dispersion Modeling Parameters .....	K-130
Table K-104.	Tank Closure Accidents – Chemical Impacts .....	K-131
Table K-105.	Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents – Chemical Impacts .....	K-136
Table K-106.	Potential Hazardous Materials in Waste Feed Streams .....	K-138
Table K-107.	Reportable Quantities .....	K-139
Table K-108.	Results of Emergency Management Screening .....	K-142
Table K-109.	Comparison of Seismically Induced Waste Tank Dome Collapse (TK53) and Explosive Device in Underground Waste Tank (IDA-1) .....	K-149
Table K-110.	Comparison of Seismically Induced WTP Collapse and Failure (WT41) and Aircraft or Ground Vehicle Impact on WTP (IDA-2) .....	K-150
Table K-111.	Comparison of Ammonia Tank Failure Accident with Intentional Destructive Act (IDA-3) .....	K-151
Table K-112.	Comparison of Fire in FFTF Primary Cold Trap Breach due to Accident Scenario (RHSC1) and Deliberate Explosion Scenario (IDA-4) .....	K-152
Table K-113.	Total Recordable Cases and Fatality Incident Rates .....	K-154
Table L-1.	Top of Basalt “Cutoff” Elevation in Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap by Grid Size and Aggregation Mean .....	L-10
Table L-2.	Major Total Recharge Sources on the Hanford Site (1940–Present) .....	L-16
Table L-3.	City of Richland Water Supply Data – Annual Summary Report .....	L-18
Table L-4.	Effect of Visual Control Points on Top of Basalt “Cutoff” Elevation in Gable Gap .....	L-21

*List of Tables*

---

Table L-5.	Top of Basalt “Cutoff” Elevation in Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap Based on ArcGIS Parameter Settings.....	L-21
Table L-6.	Abundance of Textural Types in MODFLOW Groundwater Flow Model: Base Case .....	L-23
Table L-7.	Visual MODFLOW Rewetting Settings.....	L-25
Table L-8.	Visual MODFLOW Numerical Solution Settings.....	L-26
Table L-9.	Number of Well Locations and Head Observations Removed from Original Head Observation Data Set .....	L-28
Table L-10.	Number of Well Locations and Head Observations Assigned to Calibration and Validation Data Sets.....	L-28
Table L-11.	Potential Calibration Parameters .....	L-31
Table L-12.	Summary of Encoded Generalized Head Boundary Head and Conductance Values .....	L-32
Table L-13.	Specific Yield Values Derived from the Preliminary Calibration.....	L-32
Table L-14.	Hydraulic Conductivity Values Derived from the Preliminary Calibration.....	L-33
Table L-15.	Initial Estimates for Material Properties.....	L-34
Table L-16.	Base Case PEST-Optimized Conductivity Values with Confidence Limits – Selected Material Types (meters per day).....	L-35
Table L-17.	Summary of Base Case Monte Carlo Optimization and Uncertainty Analysis.....	L-47
Table L-18.	Summary of Alternate Case Monte Carlo Optimization and Uncertainty Analysis .....	L-57
Table L-19.	Summary of Base Case Flow Model Performance Compared to Calibration Acceptance Criteri .....	L-58
Table L-20.	Base Case Flow Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Values .....	L-59
Table L-21.	Base Case Hydraulic Conductivity Parameter Correlation Coefficient Matrix.....	L-59
Table L-22.	Summary of Top 26 Base Case Model Runs – Northerly Versus Easterly Flow.....	L-81
Table L-23.	Summary of Alternate Case Flow Model Performance Compared to Calibration Acceptance Criteri .....	L-82
Table L-24.	Alternate Case Flow Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Values .....	L-88
Table L-25.	Alternate Case Hydraulic Conductivity Parameter Correlation Coefficient Matrix .....	L-89
Table L-26.	Summary of Top 32 Alternate Case Model Runs – Northerly Versus Easterly Flow.....	L-100
Table L-27.	Selected Times for Extracting the Base Case and Alternate Case Head and Velocity Data Files.....	L-101
Table M-1.	Steps in Release Model Development.....	M-1
Table M-2.	Rates of Infiltration for <i>TC</i> & <i>WM EIS</i> Base Case Analysis .....	M-14
Table M-3.	Summary of Estimates of Volumes and Dates for Past Leaks .....	M-15
Table M-4.	Tank Closure Alternatives 1 and 2A Infiltration Sequence Description .....	M-16
Table M-5.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, and 6C Infiltration Sequence Description .....	M-17
Table M-6.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Radiological Constituents in Hanford Grout .....	M-17
Table M-7.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Chemical Constituents in Hanford Grout.....	M-17
Table M-8.	Values of Aqueous and Effective Diffusivity for Radiological Constituents in Hanford Grout .....	M-19
Table M-9.	Values of Aqueous and Effective Diffusivity for Chemical Constituents in Hanford Grout .....	M-19
Table M-10.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Radiological Constituents for Contaminated Soil .....	M-20

Table M-11.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Chemical Constituents for Contaminated Soils.....	M-20
Table M-12.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Infiltration Sequence Description.....	M-22
Table M-13.	FFTF Alternative 2 Infiltration Sequence Description.....	M-22
Table M-14.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Infiltration Sequence Description.....	M-22
Table M-15.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Infiltration Sequence Description for LLBG 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34.....	M-22
Table M-16.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 Infiltration Sequence Description 200-East (West) Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	M-23
Table M-17.	Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Summary of Waste Form Inventories of Technetium-99 and Iodine-129.....	M-85
Table N-1.	Values of Hydraulic (van Genuchten) Parameters for <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> Analysis Case.....	N-16
Table N-2.	Estimates of Travel Time in the Vadose Zone for Differing Rates of Recharge.....	N-91
Table N-3.	Spatial Distribution of Solute Flux at the Water Table with Upper Geologic Layer Tilted.....	N-93
Table N-4.	Spatial Distribution of Background Recharge for Study Area Intersected by a Dike.....	N-94
Table N-5.	Spatial Distribution of Solute Flux at the Water Table for Study Area Intersected by a Dike.....	N-94
Table N-6.	Time Series of Rate of Recharge for Sitewide and Integrated Disposal Facility Conditions.....	N-101
Table O-1.	Contaminants Selected for Groundwater Transport Analysis.....	O-2
Table O-2.	Calibration Test Matrix for Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Plant Sites.....	O-9
Table O-3.	Calibration Test Matrix for Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Facility Sites.....	O-18
Table O-4.	Benchmark Concentrations for Radionuclides.....	O-31
Table O-5.	Benchmark Concentrations for Chemical Constituents.....	O-32
Table O-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-33
Table O-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks.....	O-34
Table O-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-34
Table O-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-35
Table O-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks.....	O-35
Table O-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-36
Table O-12.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-37
Table O-13.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks.....	O-38
Table O-14.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-39

*List of Tables*

---

Table O-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) .....	O-40
Table O-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks .....	O-40
Table O-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050 .....	O-41
Table O-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) .....	O-42
Table O-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks .....	O-42
Table O-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050 .....	O-43
Table O-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-44
Table O-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks .....	O-44
Table O-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-45
Table O-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-46
Table O-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks .....	O-46
Table O-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-47
Table O-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-47
Table O-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks .....	O-48
Table O-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-49
Table O-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum Contaminant Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-49
Table O-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks .....	O-50
Table O-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), and Other Sources After Calendar Year 2050.....	O-50
Table O-33.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-51
Table O-34.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-51
Table O-35.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-52
Table O-36.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-53
Table O-37.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-54
Table O-38.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-55

Table O-39	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-56
Table O-40.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-57
Table O-41.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-58
Table O-42.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-59
Table O-43.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-60
Table O-44.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-61
Table O-45.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-61
Table O-46.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-62
Table O-47.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-62
Table O-48.	Waste Management-Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-63
Table O-49.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-64
Table O-50.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-65
Table O-51.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-66
Table O-52.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-67
Table O-53.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-68
Table O-54.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-69
Table O-55.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-70
Table O-56.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-71
Table O-57.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-71
Table O-58.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-72
Table O-59.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations .....	O-73
Table O-60.	Barrier Analysis Results for Hanford Site Postoperational Time Period .....	O-86
Table O-61.	Iodine-129 Distribution Coefficient Sensitivity Results .....	O-91
Table O-62.	Summary of Maximum Uranium-238 Concentrations (10,000- Versus 30,000-Year Periods).....	O-106
Table O-63.	Randomly Generated Scaling Factors Used to Demonstrate Sensitivity to Flux Uncertainty .....	O-108

*List of Tables*

---

Table P-1.	Scientific Names of Plant and Animal Species .....	P-2
Table P-2.	Receptors and Exposure Pathways Evaluated for Long-Term Impacts of Air and Groundwater Releases .....	P-7
Table P-3.	Long-Term Impacts of Radiological COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative .....	P-22
Table P-4.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative.....	P-23
Table P-5.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor .....	P-24
Table P-6.	Long-Term Impacts of Radiological COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Offsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative .....	P-26
Table P-7.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Offsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative .....	P-27
Table P-8.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Offsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor .....	P-28
Table P-9.	Long-Term Impacts of Radiological COPC Air Deposition on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative .....	P-40
Table P-10.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative .....	P-40
Table P-11.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor.....	P-42
Table P-12.	Long-Term Impacts of Radiological COPC Groundwater Discharge on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative .....	P-47
Table P-13.	Long-Term Impacts of Radiological and Chemical COPC Groundwater Discharge on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative .....	P-48
Table P-14.	Long-Term Impacts of Radiological and Chemical COPC Groundwater Discharge on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor.....	P-50
Table Q-1.	Constituents Selected for Detailed Analysis .....	Q-2
Table Q-2.	Procedure for Development and Use of Site-Specific Models.....	Q-3
Table Q-3.	Values of Radionuclide Dose Conversion Factors .....	Q-23
Table Q-4.	Radionuclide Carcinogenicity Slope Factors .....	Q-23
Table Q-5.	Health Effect Factors for Chemical Contaminants .....	Q-24
Table Q-6.	Contaminated Zone Data.....	Q-25
Table Q-7.	Saturated Zone Hydrologic Data .....	Q-26
Table Q-8.	Uncontaminated and Unsaturated Zone Hydrologic Data.....	Q-26
Table Q-9.	Dust Inhalation and External Gamma Data .....	Q-26
Table Q-10.	Dietary Data .....	Q-27
Table Q-11.	Nondietary Data .....	Q-27
Table Q-12.	Soil-to-Plant Transfer Factors for Radionuclides .....	Q-28
Table Q-13.	Parameter Values for the Residential Agriculture Scenario for Chemical Contaminants.....	Q-28
Table Q-14.	Soil-to-Plant Transfer Factors for Chemical Contaminants .....	Q-29
Table Q-15.	Values of Parameters for Estimation of Impact Due to Use of a Sauna.....	Q-30

---

Table Q-16.	Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose for Drinking-Water Well User (millirem per year) .....	Q-32
Table Q-17.	Summary of Radiological Risk at Year of Peak Radiological Risk for Drinking-Water Well User (unitless) .....	Q-33
Table Q-18.	Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for Drinking-Water Well User (unitless).....	Q-33
Table Q-19.	Summary of Nonradiological Risk at Year of Peak Nonradiological Risk for Drinking-Water Well User (unitless) .....	Q-34
Table Q-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-35
Table Q-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-36
Table Q-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-37
Table Q-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-38
Table Q-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-39
Table Q-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-40
Table Q-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-41
Table Q-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-42
Table Q-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-43
Table Q-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-44
Table Q-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-45
Table Q-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-46
Table Q-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-47
Table Q-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-48
Table Q-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-49
Table Q-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary .....	Q-50
Table Q-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-51
Table Q-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-52
Table Q-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-53
Table Q-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-54
Table Q-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-55
Table Q-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-57
Table Q-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-58
Table Q-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-59

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-60
Table Q-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-61
Table Q-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-62
Table Q-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-63
Table Q-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-64
Table Q-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-65
Table Q-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-66
Table Q-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-67
Table Q-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-68
Table Q-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-69
Table Q-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-70
Table Q-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-71
Table Q-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-72
Table Q-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-73
Table Q-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-74
Table Q-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-75
Table Q-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-76
Table Q-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-77
Table Q-62.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-80
Table Q-63.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-81
Table Q-64.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-82
Table Q-65.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-83
Table Q-66.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-84
Table Q-67.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-85
Table Q-68.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-86
Table Q-69.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-87
Table Q-70.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-88
Table Q-71.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-89

Table Q-72.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-90
Table Q-73.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-91
Table Q-74.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-92
Table Q-75.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-93
Table Q-76.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-94
Table Q-77.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary .....	Q-95
Table Q-78.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-96
Table Q-79.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-97
Table Q-80.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-98
Table Q-81.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-99
Table Q-82.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-100
Table Q-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-102
Table Q-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-103
Table Q-85.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-104
Table Q-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-105
Table Q-87.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-106
Table Q-88.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-107
Table Q-89.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-108
Table Q-90.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-109
Table Q-91.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-110
Table Q-92.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-111
Table Q-93.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-112
Table Q-94.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-113
Table Q-95.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-114
Table Q-96.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-115
Table Q-97.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-116
Table Q-98.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary .....	Q-117

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-99.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-118
Table Q-100.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-119
Table Q-101.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-120
Table Q-102.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-121
Table Q-103.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-122
Table Q-104.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-125
Table Q-105.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-126
Table Q-106.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-127
Table Q-107.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-128
Table Q-108.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-129
Table Q-109.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-130
Table Q-110.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-131
Table Q-111.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-132
Table Q-112.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-133
Table Q-113.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-134
Table Q-114.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-135
Table Q-115.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-136
Table Q-116.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-137
Table Q-117.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-138
Table Q-118.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-139
Table Q-119.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary .....	Q-140
Table Q-120.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-141
Table Q-121.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-142
Table Q-122.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-143
Table Q-123.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-144
Table Q-124.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-145
Table Q-125.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-147
Table Q-126.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-148
Table Q-127.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-149
Table Q-128.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-150

Table Q-129. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-151
Table Q-130. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-152
Table Q-131. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-153
Table Q-132. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary .....	Q-154
Table Q-133. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-155
Table Q-134. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-156
Table Q-135. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-157
Table Q-136. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-158
Table Q-137. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-159
Table Q-138. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-160
Table Q-139. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-161
Table Q-140. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-162
Table Q-141. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-163
Table Q-142. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-164
Table Q-143. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-165
Table Q-144. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-166
Table Q-145. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-167
Table Q-146. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-169
Table Q-147. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-170
Table Q-148. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-171
Table Q-149. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-172
Table Q-150. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-173
Table Q-151. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-174
Table Q-152. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-175
Table Q-153. Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-176

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-154.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-177
Table Q-155.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-178
Table Q-156.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-179
Table Q-157.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-180
Table Q-158.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-181
Table Q-159.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-182
Table Q-160.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-183
Table Q-161.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-184
Table Q-162.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-185
Table Q-163.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-186
Table Q-164.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-187
Table Q-165.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-188
Table Q-166.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-189
Table Q-167.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-192
Table Q-168.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-193
Table Q-169.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-194
Table Q-170.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-195
Table Q-171.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-196
Table Q-172.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-197
Table Q-173.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-198
Table Q-174.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-199
Table Q-175.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-200
Table Q-176.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-201
Table Q-177.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-202
Table Q-178.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-203

Table Q-179.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-204
Table Q-180.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-205
Table Q-181.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-206
Table Q-182.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-207
Table Q-183.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-208
Table Q-184.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-209
Table Q-185.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-210
Table Q-186.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-211
Table Q-187.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-212
Table Q-188.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-214
Table Q-189.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-215
Table Q-190.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-216
Table Q-191.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-217
Table Q-192.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-218
Table Q-193.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-219
Table Q-194.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-220
Table Q-195.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-221
Table Q-196.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-222
Table Q-197.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-223
Table Q-198.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-224
Table Q-199.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-225
Table Q-200.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-226
Table Q-201.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the A Barrier Boundary .....	Q-227
Table Q-202.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the B Barrier Boundary .....	Q-228
Table Q-203.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the S Barrier Boundary .....	Q-229

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-204.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the T Barrier Boundary .....	Q-230
Table Q-205.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the U Barrier Boundary .....	Q-231
Table Q-206.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-232
Table Q-207.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-233
Table Q-208.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-234
Table Q-209.	Doses to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture Following Well Drilling at the Tank Farms.....	Q-236
Table Q-210.	Doses to a Well-Drilling Worker at the Tank Farms.....	Q-236
Table Q-211.	Summary of Radiological Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-238
Table Q-212.	Summary of Chemical Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-238
Table Q-213.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier.....	Q-240
Table Q-214.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-241
Table Q-215.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-242
Table Q-216.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Human Health Impacts at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier.....	Q-244
Table Q-217.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-244
Table Q-218.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Human Health Impacts at Point of Access to Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-244
Table Q-219.	Doses to a Well-Drilling Worker and an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture Following Well Drilling at the FFTF Area .....	Q-246
Table Q-220.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-247
Table Q-221.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose (millirem per year) for Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-248
Table Q-222.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Summary of Radiological Risk at Year of Peak Radiological Risk (unitless) for Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-248
Table Q-223.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index (unitless) for Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-249
Table Q-224.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose (millirem per year) for Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-249
Table Q-225.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Radiological Risk at Year of Peak Radiological Risk (unitless) for Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-250
Table Q-226.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index (unitless) for Drinking-Water Well User .....	Q-251
Table Q-227.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34 .....	Q-253
Table Q-228.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-254
Table Q-229.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-255

Table Q-230. Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-256
Table Q-231. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-259
Table Q-232. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-260
Table Q-233. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-261
Table Q-234. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-262
Table Q-235. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-263
Table Q-236. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-266
Table Q-237. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-267
Table Q-238. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-268
Table Q-239. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-269
Table Q-240. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-270
Table Q-241. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-273
Table Q-242. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-274
Table Q-243. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-275
Table Q-244. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-276
Table Q-245. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-277
Table Q-246. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-280
Table Q-247. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-281
Table Q-248. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-282
Table Q-249. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-283
Table Q-250. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-284
Table Q-251. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-287
Table Q-252. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-288
Table Q-253. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-289
Table Q-254. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-290

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-255.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-291
Table Q-256.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-294
Table Q-257.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-295
Table Q-258.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-296
Table Q-259.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-297
Table Q-260.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-300
Table Q-261.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-301
Table Q-262.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-302
Table Q-263.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-303
Table Q-264.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 2-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-304
Table Q-265.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-307
Table Q-266.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-308
Table Q-267.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-309
Table Q-268.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-310
Table Q-269.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-313
Table Q-270.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-314
Table Q-271.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-315
Table Q-272.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-316
Table Q-273.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-317
Table Q-274.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-318
Table Q-275.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-319
Table Q-276.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-320
Table Q-277.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-321
Table Q-278.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-322
Table Q-279.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-326

Table Q-280.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-327
Table Q-281.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-328
Table Q-282.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-329
Table Q-283.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-330
Table Q-284.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-331
Table Q-285.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-332
Table Q-286.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-333
Table Q-287.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-334
Table Q-288.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-335
Table Q-289.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-340
Table Q-290.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-341
Table Q-291.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-342
Table Q-292.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-343
Table Q-293.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-344
Table Q-294.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-345
Table Q-295.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-349
Table Q-296.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-350
Table Q-297.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-351
Table Q-298.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-352
Table Q-299.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-353
Table Q-300.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-354
Table Q-301.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-358
Table Q-302.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-359
Table Q-303.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-360
Table Q-304.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-361

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-305.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-362
Table Q-306.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-363
Table Q-307.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-367
Table Q-308.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-368
Table Q-309.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-369
Table Q-310.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-370
Table Q-311.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-371
Table Q-312.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-372
Table Q-313.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-376
Table Q-314.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-377
Table Q-315.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-378
Table Q-316.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-379
Table Q-317.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-380
Table Q-318.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-381
Table Q-319.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-385
Table Q-320.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-386
Table Q-321.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-387
Table Q-322.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-388
Table Q-323.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-389
Table Q-324.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-393
Table Q-325.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-394
Table Q-326.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-395
Table Q-327.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-396
Table Q-328.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-397
Table Q-329.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-398

Table Q-330.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-402
Table Q-331.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-403
Table Q-332.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-404
Table Q-333.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-405
Table Q-334.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-406
Table Q-335.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-410
Table Q-336.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-411
Table Q-337.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-412
Table Q-338.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-413
Table Q-339.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-414
Table Q-340.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-415
Table Q-341.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-416
Table Q-342.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-417
Table Q-343.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-418
Table Q-344.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-419
Table Q-345.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-420
Table Q-346.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-421
Table Q-347.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-427
Table Q-348.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-428
Table Q-349.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-429
Table Q-350.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-430
Table Q-351.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-431
Table Q-352.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-432
Table Q-353.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-433
Table Q-354.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-434

*List of Tables*

---

Table Q-355.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility .....	Q-435
Table Q-356.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	Q-436
Table Q-357.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	Q-437
Table Q-358.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water .....	Q-438
Table Q-359.	Doses by Tank Closure Waste Type to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture Following Well Drilling at an Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-443
Table Q-360.	Doses by Tank Closure Waste Type to a Well-Drilling Worker at an Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-444
Table Q-361.	Doses by Waste Management Waste Type to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture and a Well-Drilling Worker at an Integrated Disposal Facility .....	Q-444
Table Q-362.	Doses by Tank Closure Waste Type to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture and a Well-Drilling Worker at the RPPDF .....	Q-444
Table R-1.	Resource Areas Evaluated in Recent Major Hanford Cumulative Impacts Analyses.....	R-4
Table R-2.	Washington State Growth Management Act Planning Goals .....	R-13
Table R-3.	Methods of Cumulative Impacts Analysis for Different Resource Areas .....	R-19
Table R-4.	Activities Considered for the Cumulative Impact Analysis .....	R-30
Table S-1.	Objectives of Waste Information Data System Screening .....	S-1
Table S-2.	Screen 3 Rules of the Waste Information Data System for Specific Waste Types .....	S-3
Table S-3.	Technical Baseline Review Categories .....	S-6
Table S-4.	Content of Sites Worksheet of Excel Workbooks .....	S-7
Table S-5.	Content of Inventory Worksheet of Excel Workbooks .....	S-8
Table S-6.	Unknown-Inventory Sites per Area at the Hanford Site.....	S-10
Table S-7.	Uncertainty of Alternatives and Cumulative Radionuclide and Chemical Inventories at the Hanford Site.....	S-12
Table S-8.	Radionuclide and Chemical Constituents.....	S-15
Table S-9.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 1.....	S-43
Table S-10.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 2.....	S-44
Table S-11.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 3.....	S-44
Table S-12.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 4.....	S-45
Table S-13.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 5.....	S-46
Table S-14.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 6.....	S-47
Table S-15.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 7.....	S-47
Table S-16.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 8.....	S-48
Table S-17.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9.....	S-49
Table S-18.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9A.....	S-50
Table S-19.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9B.....	S-51
Table S-20.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9C.....	S-52
Table S-21.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9D.....	S-54
Table S-22.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9E .....	S-55
Table S-23.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 9F .....	S-56
Table S-24.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 10.....	S-57
Table S-25.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 11.....	S-58

Table S-26.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 12.....	S-60
Table S-27.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 12A.....	S-61
Table S-28.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 12B.....	S-62
Table S-29.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 12C.....	S-63
Table S-30.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 12D.....	S-64
Table S-31.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 13.....	S-65
Table S-32.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 14.....	S-66
Table S-33.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 15.....	S-66
Table S-34.	Cumulative Impact Sites for Map 16.....	S-67
Table S-35a.	Map 1: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-68
Table S-35b.	Map 1: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-68
Table S-36a.	Map 2: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-69
Table S-36b.	Map 2: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-69
Table S-37a.	Map 3: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-70
Table S-37b.	Map 3: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-70
Table S-38a.	Map 4: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-71
Table S-38b.	Map 4: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-71
Table S-39a.	Map 5: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-72
Table S-39b.	Map 5: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-72
Table S-40a.	Map 6: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-73
Table S-40b.	Map 6: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-73
Table S-41a.	Map 7: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-74
Table S-41b.	Map 7: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-74
Table S-42a.	Map 8: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-75
Table S-42b.	Map 8: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-75
Table S-43a.	Map 9: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-76
Table S-43b.	Map 9: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-77
Table S-44a.	Map 9A: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-78
Table S-44b.	Map 9A: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-79
Table S-45a.	Map 9B: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-80
Table S-45b.	Map 9B: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-81
Table S-46a.	Map 9C: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-82
Table S-46b.	Map 9C: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-83
Table S-47a.	Map 9D: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-85
Table S-47b.	Map 9D: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-86
Table S-48a.	Map 9E: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-87
Table S-48b.	Map 9E: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-88
Table S-49a.	Map 9F: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-89
Table S-49b.	Map 9F: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-90
Table S-50a.	Map 10: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-91
Table S-50b.	Map 10: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-91
Table S-51a.	Map 11: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-92
Table S-51b.	Map 11: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-93
Table S-52a.	Map 12: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-95
Table S-52b.	Map 12: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-96
Table S-53a.	Map 12A: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-97
Table S-53b.	Map 12A: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-98
Table S-54a.	Map 12B: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-99
Table S-54b.	Map 12B: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-100
Table S-55a.	Map 12C: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-101
Table S-55b.	Map 12C: Radionuclide Inventories (curies).....	S-101

*List of Tables*

---

Table S-56a.	Map 12D: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-102
Table S-56b.	Map 12D: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-103
Table S-57a.	Map 13: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-104
Table S-57b.	Map 13: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-105
Table S-58a.	Map 14: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-106
Table S-58b.	Map 14: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-106
Table S-59a.	Map 15: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-107
Table S-59b.	Map 15: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-107
Table S-60a.	Map 16: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-108
Table S-60b.	Map 16: Radionuclide Inventories (curies) .....	S-109
Table S-61a.	Map 1: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-110
Table S-61b.	Map 1: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-111
Table S-62a.	Map 2: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-112
Table S-62b.	Map 2: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-113
Table S-63a.	Map 3: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-114
Table S-63b.	Map 3: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-115
Table S-64a.	Map 4: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-116
Table S-64b.	Map 4: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-117
Table S-65a.	Map 5: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-118
Table S-65b.	Map 5: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-119
Table S-66a.	Map 6: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-120
Table S-66b.	Map 6: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-121
Table S-67a.	Map 7: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-122
Table S-67b.	Map 7: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-122
Table S-68a.	Map 8: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-123
Table S-68b.	Map 8: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-123
Table S-69a.	Map 9: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-124
Table S-69b.	Map 9: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-125
Table S-70a.	Map 9A: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-126
Table S-70b.	Map 9A: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-127
Table S-71a.	Map 9B: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-128
Table S-71b.	Map 9B: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-129
Table S-72a.	Map 9C: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-131
Table S-72b.	Map 9C: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-133
Table S-73a.	Map 9D: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-135
Table S-73b.	Map 9D: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-136
Table S-74a.	Map 9E: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-137
Table S-74b.	Map 9E: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-138
Table S-75a.	Map 9F: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-139
Table S-75b.	Map 9F: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-140
Table S-76a.	Map 10: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-141
Table S-76b.	Map 10: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-141
Table S-77a.	Map 11: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-142
Table S-77b.	Map 11: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-144
Table S-78a.	Map 12: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-147
Table S-78b.	Map 12: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-148
Table S-79a.	Map 12A: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-149
Table S-79b.	Map 12A: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-150
Table S-80a.	Map 12B: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-151
Table S-80b.	Map 12B: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-152
Table S-81a.	Map 12C: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S-153

Table S–81b.	Map 12C: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–154
Table S–82a.	Map 12D: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–155
Table S–82b.	Map 12D: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–156
Table S–83a.	Map 13: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–158
Table S–83b.	Map 13: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–159
Table S–84a.	Map 14: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–160
Table S–84b.	Map 14: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–160
Table S–85a.	Map 15: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–161
Table S–85b.	Map 15: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–161
Table S–86a.	Map 16: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–162
Table S–86b.	Map 16: Chemical Inventories (kilograms).....	S–163
Table T–1.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Land and Ecological Resources.....	T–2
Table T–2.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Cultural Resources .....	T–13
Table T–3.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Socioeconomics.....	T–17
Table T–4.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Transportation .....	T–20
Table U–1.	Release to the Vadose Zone, Groundwater, and the Columbia River of the COPC Drivers from Non–TC & WM EIS Sources.....	U–2
Table U–2.	Maximum Peak Year Concentrations of the COPCs from Non–TC & WM EIS Sources at the Core Zone Boundary and the Columbia River Nearshore.....	U–3
Table U–3.	Human Health Impacts of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Non–TC & WM EIS Actions at the Core Zone Boundary .....	U–52
Table U–4.	Human Health Impacts of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Non–TC & WM EIS Actions at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	U–53
Table U–5.	Human Health Impacts of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Non–TC & WM EIS Actions at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	U–54
Table U–6.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	U–57
Table U–7.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	U–58
Table U–8.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Human Health Impacts pat the Columbia River Surface Water.....	U–59
Table U–9.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	U–62
Table U–10.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	U–63
Table U–11.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	U–65
Table U–12.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary .....	U–68
Table U–13.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore .....	U–69
Table U–14.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	U–70

*List of Tables*

---

Table V-1.	Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Flux Values .....	V-6
Table V-2.	Changes to Vadose Zone Thickness (Inundation Depth) Resulting from Black Rock Reservoir—Selected Hanford Site Locations Related to the <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> Alternatives .....	V-10
Table V-3.	Central Plateau Particle Pathline Direction to the Columbia River.....	V-15
Table V-4.	Inundation Depths Resulting from the Black Rock Reservoir Variant Flow Field Model – All Hanford Site STOMP Model Locations .....	V-32
Table V-5.	STOMP Vadose Zone Waste Management Simulation Summary.....	V-47
Table V-6.	Technetium-99 (1-Curie Release) Peak Concentration at Columbia River.....	V-48

## List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

°C	degree(s) Celsius
°F	degree(s) Fahrenheit
AB	nuclear safety Authorization Basis
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ADD	average daily dose
AEA	Atomic Energy Act of 1954
AEGL	Acute Exposure Guideline Level
AERMET	American Meteorological Society/EPA Regulatory Meteorological Preprocessor
AERMOD	American Meteorological Society/Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model
AMS	articulated-mast system
amsl	above mean sea level
APL	accelerated process line
ARF	airborne release fraction
AS/RS	Automated Stacker/Retrieval System
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
AVA	American Viticultural Area
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BBI	Best-Basis Inventory
BCF	bioconcentration factor
BDX	Blue Dot X computer modeling code
BEIR	Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation
BOF	balance of facilities
BOR	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
BRR	Black Rock Reservoir
Btu	British thermal unit
BUSS	Beneficial Uses Shipping System
C3T	Cleanup Challenge and Constraints Team
CAIRS	Computerized Accident/Incident Reporting System
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CH	contact-handled
COPC	constituent of potential concern
CRCIA	<i>Screening Assessment and Requirements for a Comprehensive Assessment, Columbia River Comprehensive Impact Assessment</i>
CSB	Canister Storage Building
CTE	critical technology elements

CWC	Central Waste Complex
CWCE	Central Waste Complex expansion
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
dB	Decibels
dBA	decibels A-weighted
DBVS	Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System
DCF	dose conversion factor
DG	disposal group
DHS	U.S. Department of Homeland Security
DNAPL	dense, non-aqueous-phase liquid
DoD	U.S. Department of Defense
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DR	damage ratio
DSASW	documented safety analysis for solid waste operations
DST	double-shell tank
DUF <sub>6</sub>	depleted uranium hexafluoride
EA	environmental assessment
EBR-II	Experimental Breeder Reactor II
ECEM	Ecological Contaminant Exposure Model
ECF	elevation correction factor
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	environmental impact statement
EM	Office of Environmental Management
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPIcode	Emergency Prediction Information Code
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guideline
ETF	Effluent Treatment Facility
ETTP	East Tennessee Technology Park
FBSR	fluidized-bed steam reforming
FCM	food chain multiplier
Fermi	Enrico Fermi Nuclear Generating Station
FFTF	Fast Flux Test Facility
<i>FFTF Deactivation EA</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment – Sodium Residuals Reaction/Removal and Other Deactivation Work Activities, Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Project, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington</i>
“FFTF Decommissioning EIS”	“Environmental Impact Statement for the Decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington” (rescoped in 2006 to the <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> )

FIR	field investigation report
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FR	<i>Federal Register</i>
<i>FRR SNF EIS</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement on a Proposed Nuclear Weapons Nonproliferation Policy Concerning Foreign Research Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel</i>
FTE	full-time equivalent
Gable Gap	Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap
GAO	U.S. General Accounting Office
GAP	Government Accountability Project
GENII	Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System (Generation II)
GHB	Generalized Head Boundary
GIS	geographic information system
GTCC	greater-than-Class C
<i>GTCC EIS</i>	<i>Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C Low-Level Radioactive Waste</i>
HAB	Hanford Advisory Board
Hanford	Hanford Site
<i>Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS</i>	<i>Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement</i>
HDW	Hanford Defined Waste
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Table
HEME	high-efficiency mist eliminator
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HEU	highly enriched uranium
HFEF	Hot Fuel Examination Facility
HI	Hazard Index
HIHTL	hose-in-hose transfer line
HLW	high-level radioactive waste
HMS	Hanford Meteorological Station
HQ	Hazard Quotient
HRR	high-resolution resistivity
HSGS	headspace gas sampling
<i>HSRAM</i>	<i>Hanford Site Risk Assessment Methodology</i>
<i>HSSWAC</i>	<i>Hanford Site Solid Waste Acceptance Criteria</i>
<i>HSW EIS</i>	<i>Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington</i>
HTWOS	Hanford Tank Waste Operation Simulator

ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
ICV™	In-Container Vitrification™
IDA	intentional destructive act
IDF	Integrated Disposal Facility
IDF-East	200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility
IDF-West	200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility
IEM	interim examination and maintenance
IHLW	immobilized high-level radioactive waste
ILAW	immobilized low-activity waste
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
INL	Idaho National Laboratory
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
ISCORS	Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards
ISO	International Standards Organization
ITV	in-tank vehicle
Kd	standard distribution coefficient
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
LAW	low-activity waste
LCF	latent cancer fatality
LDC	large-diameter container
LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility
LLBG	low-level radioactive waste burial ground
LLW	low-level radioactive waste
LOAEL	lowest-observed adverse effect level
LPF	leak path factor
LUG	Local Users' Group
LWPF	Liquid Waste Processing Facility
MACCS	MELCOR Accident Consequences Code System
MAI	Mission Acceleration Initiative
MAR	material at risk
MCL	maximum contaminant level
<i>MDSA</i>	<i>Master Documented Safety Analysis (MDSA) for the Solid Waste Operations Complex</i>
MEDE	melt-drain-evaporator
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MeV	million electron volts
MFC	Materials and Fuels Complex
MLLW	mixed low-level radioactive waste
<i>Modal Study</i>	<i>Shipping Container Response to Severe Highway and Railway Accident Conditions</i>

MODFLOW	modular three-dimensional finite-difference groundwater flow model
MODPATH	MODFLOW particle-tracking postprocessing package
MOX	mixed oxide
MRS	mobile retrieval system
MTRG	MODFLOW Technical Review Group
MUST	miscellaneous underground storage tank
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAD	North American Datum
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDA	nondestructive assay
NDE	nondestructive examination
NEHRP	National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
<i>NI PEIS</i>	<i>Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility (Nuclear Infrastructure PEIS)</i>
NPL	National Priorities List
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRDWL	Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill
NRF	National Response Framework
NRIA	Nuclear/Radiological Incident Annex
NTS	Nevada Test Site
NWS	National Weather Service
OA	Office of Independent Oversight and Performance Assurance
ORIGEN2	Oak Ridge Isotope Generation and Depletion Code
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ORP	Office of River Protection
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PEIS	programmatic environmental impact statement
PEST	parameter estimation module
PFPP	Plutonium Finishing Plant
PM <sub>n</sub>	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to <i>n</i> micrometers
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PP	Plio-Pleistocene
PPA	Property Protected Area
PPF	Preprocessing Facility

ppm	part(s) per million
PT	pretreatment
Pu-239 DE-curies	plutonium-239 dose-equivalent curies
PUREX	Plutonium-Uranium Extraction
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
R	standard retardation factor
R&D	research and development
<i>Radioactive Material Transport Study</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement on the Transportation of Radioactive Materials by Air and Other Modes</i>
RADTRAN 5	Radioactive Material Transportation risk assessment computer code
RCA	radiologically controlled area
RCB	Reactor Containment Building
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REDOX	Reduction-Oxidation
<i>Reexamination Study</i>	<i>Reexamination of Spent Fuel Shipping Risk Estimates</i>
rem	roentgen equivalent man
RF	respirable fraction
RH	remote-handled
RH-SC	remote-handled special component
RISKIND	Risks and Consequences of Radioactive Material Transport computer code
RL	Richland Operations Office
RMS	root mean square
ROD	Record of Decision
ROI	region of influence
RPP	River Protection Project
RPPDF	River Protection Project Disposal Facility
RQ	reportable quantity
RSD	relative standard deviation
RSE	rubble, soil, and equipment
RSWF	Radioactive Scrap and Waste Facility
RTP	Remote Treatment Project
RWM	restricted waste management
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
SALDS	State-Approved Land Disposal Site
S&M	surveillance and maintenance
SC	special component
SCBA	self-contained breathing apparatus
SEIS	supplemental environmental impact statement

SIM	Soil Inventory Model
SNF	spent nuclear fuel
SNL	Sandia National Laboratories
SPF	Sodium Processing Facility
SRE	Sodium Reactor Experiment
SRF	Sodium Reaction Facility
SRS	Savannah River Site
SSF	Sodium Storage Facility
SST	single-shell tank
STAR	Science and Technology Applications Research
STOMP	Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases
STORM	Subsurface Transport Over Reactive Multiphase
STP	supplemental treatment process
STTS	Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
SSTS-East	200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
STTS-West	200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
SWB	solid-waste box
<i>SWIFT</i>	<i>Solid Waste Integrated Forecast Technical (SWIFT) Report</i>
SWOC	Solid Waste Operations Complex
“Tank Closure EIS”	“Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington” (rescoped in 2006 to the <i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i> )
TBR	technical baseline review
<i>TC &amp; WM EIS</i>	<i>Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington</i>
<i>Technical Guidance Document</i>	<i>Technical Guidance Document for “Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement” Vadose Zone and Groundwater Revised Analyses</i>
TEDF	Treated Effluent Disposal Facility
TEEL	Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit
<i>The Green Book</i>	<i>Recommendations for the Preparation of Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements</i>
TMC	theoretical maximum capacity
TOB	top of basalt
TOE	total operating efficiency
TPA	Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement)
TPQ	threshold planning quantity
TQ	threshold quantity
TRA	Technology Readiness Assessment
TRAGIS	Transportation Routing Analysis Geographic Information System

TRC	total recordable cases
TRL	technology readiness level
TRU	transuranic
TRUPACT-II	transuranic waste package transporter II
TRV	toxicity reference value
TSP	total suspended particulates
<i>TWRS EIS</i>	<i>Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement</i>
UGA	urban growth area
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VBR	vacuum-based retrieval
VOC	volatile organic compound
WESF	Waste Encapsulation Storage Facility
WIDS	Waste Information Data System
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
<i>WIPP SEIS-II</i>	<i>Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</i>
<i>WM PEIS</i>	<i>Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste</i>
WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility
WRF	waste receiver facility
WSU Tri-Cities	Washington State University Tri-Cities campus
WTP	Waste Treatment Plant
<i>Yucca Mountain EIS</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada</i>
HAB	Hanford Advisory Board
MTRG	Model Technical Review Group
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
<i>Yucca Mountain FEIS</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada</i>

## Measurement Units

The principal measurement units used in this *Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)* are SI units (the abbreviation for the *Système International d'Unites*). The SI system is an expanded version of the metric system that was accepted in 1966 in Elsinore, Denmark, as the legal standard by the International Organization of Standardization. In this system, most units are made up of combinations of seven basic units, of which length in meters, mass in kilograms, and volume in liters are of most importance in this *TC & WM EIS*. Exceptions are radiological units that use the English system (e.g., rem, millirem).

### SCIENTIFIC (EXPONENTIAL) NOTATION

Numbers that are very small or very large are often expressed in scientific, or exponential, notation as a matter of convenience. For example, the number 0.000034 may be expressed as  $3.4 \times 10^{-5}$  or 3.4E-05, and 65,000 may be expressed as  $6.5 \times 10^4$  or 6.5E+04. In this *TC & WM EIS*, numerical values that are less than 0.001 or greater than 9,999 are generally expressed in scientific notation, i.e.,  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $9.9 \times 10^3$ , respectively.

Multiples or submultiples of the basic units are also used. A partial list of prefixes that denote multiples and submultiples follows, with the equivalent multiplier values expressed in scientific notation.

Prefix	Symbol	Multiplier	
atto	a	0.000 000 000 000 000 001	$1 \times 10^{-18}$
femto	f	0.000 000 000 000 001	$1 \times 10^{-15}$
pico	p	0.000 000 000 001	$1 \times 10^{-12}$
nano	n	0.000 000 001	$1 \times 10^{-9}$
micro	$\mu$	0.000 001	$1 \times 10^{-6}$
milli	m	0.001	$1 \times 10^{-3}$
centi	c	0.01	$1 \times 10^{-2}$
deci	d	0.1	$1 \times 10^{-1}$
deka	da	10	$1 \times 10^1$
hecto	h	100	$1 \times 10^2$
kilo	k	1,000	$1 \times 10^3$
mega	M	1,000,000	$1 \times 10^6$
giga	G	1,000,000,000	$1 \times 10^9$
tera	T	1,000,000,000,000	$1 \times 10^{12}$
peta	P	1,000,000,000,000,000	$1 \times 10^{15}$
exa	E	1,000,000,000,000,000,000	$1 \times 10^{18}$

The following symbols are occasionally used in conjunction with numerical expressions:

- < less than
- ≤ less than or equal to
- > greater than
- ≥ greater than or equal to

## Conversions

English to Metric			Metric to English		
Multiply	by	To get	Multiply	by	To get
<b>Area</b>			<b>Area</b>		
square inches	6.4516	square centimeters	square centimeters	0.155	square inches
square feet	0.092903	square meters	square meters	10.7639	square feet
square yards	0.8361	square meters	square meters	1.196	square yards
acres	0.40469	hectares	hectares	2.471	acres
square miles	2.58999	square kilometers	square kilometers	0.3861	square miles
<b>Length</b>			<b>Length</b>		
inches	2.54	centimeters	centimeters	0.3937	inches
feet	30.48	centimeters	centimeters	0.0328	feet
feet	0.3048	meters	meters	3.281	feet
yards	0.9144	meters	meters	1.0936	yards
miles	1.60934	kilometers	kilometers	0.6214	miles
<b>Temperature</b>			<b>Temperature</b>		
degrees Fahrenheit	Subtract 32, then multiply by 0.55556	degrees Celsius	degrees Celsius	Multiply by 1.8, then add 32	degrees Fahrenheit
<b>Volume</b>			<b>Volume</b>		
fluid ounces	29.574	milliliters	milliliters	0.0338	fluid ounces
gallons	3.7854	liters	liters	0.26417	gallons
cubic feet	0.028317	cubic meters	cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet
cubic yards	0.76455	cubic meters	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards
<b>Weight</b>			<b>Weight</b>		
ounces	28.3495	grams	grams	0.03527	ounces
pounds	0.45360	kilograms	kilograms	2.2046	pounds
short tons	0.90718	metric tons	metric tons	1.1023	short tons

