Late Quaternary activity of the Pajarito fault, Rio Grande rift of northern New Mexico, USA
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Abstract

The Pajarito fault forms the western margin of the Rio Grande rift in north-central New Mexico, and lies adjacent to Los Alamos National Laboratory, a major Federal research facility. Vertical displacement on this normal fault over the past 1.2 Ma has created a 50- to 120-m-high fault scarp on Bandelier Tuff (1.2 Ma), yielding a long-term average slip rate of ca. 0.1 mm/yr. In support of a Laboratory-wide seismic hazards assessment, we excavated 14 trenches in the Pajarito fault zone to determine the age of the most recent displacement event, the recurrence interval between events, the displacement per event, and the variability in slip rate and recurrence through time. The large number of trenches was required by the large height of the fault scarp and the complexity of the fault zone. Only about half the trenches contained significant thicknesses of Holocene deposits, but in those trenches there was clear evidence for an early-to-mid-Holocene displacement event. The previous event was at least 20–40 ka, and the average recurrence interval over the past ca. 300 ka was about 20–40 kyr. We infer that much of the structural relief across this fault developed soon after eruption of the Bandelier Tuff between 1.0 and 1.2 Ma, and that slip rate slowed considerably after that time.
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1. Introduction

The primary purpose of this trenching study was to date late Quaternary faulting events on the main trace of the Pajarito fault, particularly adjacent to Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). The Pajarito fault is an east-dipping normal fault that forms the western margin of the Rio Grande rift (Fig. 1) and is the master fault of a west-tilted half-graben occupied by the Española Basin (Kelley, 1978; Aldrich and Dethier, 1990). The Pajarito fault forms a 100- to 150-m-high, east-facing fault escarpment that separates the Jemez Mountains on the west from the Pajarito Plateau on the east (Fig. 2). The Jemez Mountains are cored by late Tertiary to early Quaternary andesitic and dacitic flows and caldera-derived
tephras (Bailey et al., 1969; Smith et al., 1970; Gardner et al., 1986). The latest convulsive eruption there at 1.2 Ma formed the Valles Caldera and spread a wide blanket of ash-flow tuff as much as 100 m thick (Bandelier Tuff, Smith and Bailey, 1966). In the past 1.2 Ma, the Rio Grande flowing south down the rift axis has incised about 150 m below the tuff and has thus preserved the tuff-mantled Pajarito Plateau west of the river. Los Alamos National Laboratory, a large Federal research complex, is located on the relatively undissected western part of the Plateau, at the foot of the Pajarito fault escarpment and Jemez Mountains. The eastern part of the Pajarito Plateau is dissected by numerous east-flowing drainages that deepen eastward to reach the incised channel of the Rio Grande (Fig. 2).

Previous studies of seismic hazards to the National Laboratory have yielded relatively well-constrained ages for the latest faulting events on two subsidiary antithetic faults, the Rendija Canyon fault (RCF) and Guaje Mountain fault (GMF) (Gardner et al., 1990; Wong et al., 1993, 1995; Olig et al., 1996; Kelson et al., 1996), but sparse information was derived from the master Pajarito fault (PF) of the Pajarito fault zone (PFZ). On the RCF, the most recent event (MRE) was dated at either 8 ka (based on radiocarbon) or 23 ka (based on luminescence; Kelson et al., 1996). On the GMF, the MRE was dated at 4–6 ka (Gardner et al., 1990). In contrast, trenches along the base of the “master” Parajito fault (PF) indicate that the youngest dated faulting event was dated as older than ca. 50–60 ka according to Wong et al. (1995) and Olig et al. (1996). Thus, the Holocene (or late Pleistocene) surface faulting events on the smaller, antithetic RCF and GMF apparently were not accompanied by surface faulting on the larger, master Pajarito normal fault. This anomalous situation demanded a more detailed paleoseismic study of the master (PF) fault.

The primary goal of this study was to identify and date the latest several faulting events on the Pajarito fault. The secondary purpose of trenching was to refine estimates for displacement per event, slip rate, and recurrence intervals for faulting events on the fault. Values for these seismic source parameters derived from previous trenches (Wong et al., 1995)
were poorly constrained because none of those trenches captured a complete paleoearthquake sequence for the relatively wide scarp of the PF.

1.1. Structural style of the Pajarito fault and trenching strategy

The Pajarito fault is expressed as a complex, 50- to 150-m-high escarpment on welded and nonwelded tuff (Fig. 3). The escarpment is thus more like a small incipient range front, than a fault scarp developed on unconsolidated Quaternary deposits, for which most paleoseismic trenching techniques were developed. In 1996, I mapped the PF scarp at a scale of 1:1200 (McCalpin, 1997) and determined that the 8 km of scarp adjacent to LANL consisted of an “articulated” or “segmented” monocline (about 50% of its length), landslide blocks (about 30% of its length), and a simple high-angle normal fault (about 20% of its length). However, there were also parts of the escarpment composed of multiple, synthetic step faults. Based on the detailed mapping, all four structure types identified by Powell (1873) for faulted monoclines in the Colorado Plateau were present (Fig. 4) and graded laterally into each other.

The Pajarito fault zone reaches its greatest width (ca. 2 km) directly south of Los Alamos Canyon (Fig. 5). Here the main scarp is only about 50 m high and is the surface expression of an “articulated monocline”, that is, a monocline composed of an east-titled slab of welded tuff flanked by a large tension fissure at the scarp crest, and a graben at the scarp toe (Fig. 6). Over most of its length, the main PF scarp is underlain by east-tilted blocks of Bandelier Tuff, such that the scarp face is essentially a dip slope. These tuff blocks dip 30°–45° east and are separated from subhorizontal tuff above (west of) the scarp by large tension fissures. At the base of the main scarp there is typically a poorly exposed zone of normal faults, termed the basal fault zone. In some areas this basal fault zone forms the western boundary of a graben, the eastern boundary of which is one or more west-facing fault scarps. The east-titled tuff blocks that form the scarp face may also be broken by mid-scarp tension fissures. About 8
km south of Los Alamos Canyon (where New Mexico Highway 4 ascends the scarp), road-cuts show that the scarp is underlain of many narrow fault-bounded blocks of tuff, 5–10 m wide, that have toppled up to 45° eastward; this geometry resembles that shown in Fig. 4d.

Given the complex structure and the large width and height of the main fault scarp, three possible
trenching strategies were envisioned. The first was a "megatrench" through the ca. 50-m-thick (?) colluvial wedge at the scarp base where the scarp was underlain by one or more high-angle normal faults (e.g., the geometry shown in Fig. 4a). This option was given low priority due to logistical problems of trenching through the thick colluvium. The second strategy consisted of multiple trenches scattered along strike on the PF scarp, but each on different structures. Although this strategy was logistically feasible, rupture events (including the MRE) might be missed due to the scattered locations of trenches. The third strategy, which we executed in 1997, involved a transect of eight trenches across all major component scarps of the PF at a given latitude. This strategy was considered the best for capturing evidence of the MRE on the Pajarito fault, which had so far eluded researchers. Our eight trenches (97-1 through 97-7A) were placed on the six major faults in this zone. A seventh deformation zone, the mid-scarp tension fissure, had mainly been destroyed by construction of Ski Hill Road (Fig. 5). In 1998 we excavated an additional six trenches at locations scattered along the Pajarito fault zone between Los Alamos Canyon and New Mexico Highway 4, including one trench on the western splay scarp (Trench 98-4, described later).
### 1.2. Field methods

Fourteen trenches were excavated in structural depressions within the fault zone (graben, tension fissures, colluvial toeslopes) where unconsolidated, post-Bandelier Tuff sediment had accumulated (except Trenches 97-1 and 97-2, which were in Bandelier Tuff). These depressions were chosen because (1) the backhoe excavators could more easily dig through unconsolidated sediment than through the welded tuff, and (2) these sediment traps held the greatest potential for preserving episodic, fault-related deposits such as colluvial wedges (McCalpin, 1996). In most trenches, the unconsolidated sediment was locally derived colluvium composed of poorly sorted, poorly stratified gravelly sand. However, almost all the unconsolidated deposits were strongly affected by soil development manifested as reddish, clay-rich textural B horizons with as much as 40% clay. Therefore, in most of the 14 trenches logged the most obvious mappable contacts were soil horizon boundaries rather than stratigraphic contacts. In addition to our mapping of soil horizons, McDonald (1999) described vertical soil profiles at 11 locations in our trenches. He calculated Profile Development Index (PDI) for the soils and Soil Development Index (SDI) values for all horizons, after the methods of Harden (1982), Harden and Taylor (1983) and Taylor (1988); he then estimated the time required for soils to develop from independently dated SDI chronofunctions.

This paper mainly describes trenches dug in 1997 in the 8-trench transect across the entire width of the PF south of Los Alamos Canyon. First I describe 6 of the 8 trenches on the main scarp of the PF, including the basal fault zone (Trench 97-5), the graben antithetic scarp (Trenches 97-3, 97-4), the crestal tension fissure (Trench 97-6), and an antithetic fault on the footwall (Trenches 97-7, 97-7A). Trenches 97-1 and 97-2 across synthetic faults of the hanging wall exposed too thin a section of unconsolidated deposits to yield good paleoseismic data, and are not described herein. Second, I describe one of the two trenches excavated across the 30-m-high, 2 km-long "western splay scarp" that lies on the footwall of the main, 100-m-high PF scarp.

### 2. Trenches in the main scarp zone

#### 2.1. Main scarp (Trench 97-5)

Trench 97-5 is located at the base of the main, 50-m-high scarp of the Pajarito fault south of Los Alamos Canyon, and exposes the basal fault zone (Figs. 5 and 6). The trench is 30 m long and up to 6.5 m deep (Fig. 7). The upslope end of the trench...
coincides with the down-dip (eastern) end of the east-tilted slab of Bandelier Tuff that forms the scarp.

The trench exposes a series of six buried paleosols that dip east approximately parallel to the modern ground surface (Fig. 8, paleosols indicated by “b1” to “b6” at the end of the trench unit abbreviation). The paleosols are developed on sandy–silty slope wash that contains rare angular blocks of Bandelier Tuff. Near the head of the trench a series of east-dipping normal faults displace the paleosols by a few decimeters to more than 1 m. The two westernmost normal faults bound a block of Bandelier Tuff that protrudes up into the trench floor (Fig. 8, inset). Farther west is a large infilled tension fissure (Fig. 8, cross-hatched pattern), and then the overhanging tip of the monoclinal slab of tuff. Bandelier Tuff is faulted downward across the fault zone by an amount greater than trench depth.

Each of the paleosols represents a long (tens of kyr) period of slope stability and soil formation. McDonald (1999) estimated the age of the top of each soil from the cumulative SDI values combined with a calibrated relationship of SDI to numerical ages.

2.1.1. Paleoseismic interpretation

Four or five displacement events can be inferred from angular unconformities, stone lines, and fissure fills in Trench 97-5 (Fig. 8). Numerical age control comes from luminescence age estimates (Table 1), radiocarbon dates (Table 2), and SDI age estimates. If each of the seven soils represents a faulting event (rather than a climatic response), there have been seven such events in 492 ka. The latest 3 to 4 events post-date buried soil 3 (units 5Btb3, 5BCb3) have evidently occurred in the past ca. 177–184 ka (the SDI age for buried soil 3). By comparison, the retro-deformation sequence of Trench 97-3 on the opposite side of the graben (described later) requires six events (two of which had negligible net vertical displacement) in the past 110 ka. It seems reasonable that the main scarp (Trench 97-5) should record as many displacement events as the antithetic scarp (Trench 97-3), so we may have missed evidence of several events in Trench 97-5. For example, small-displacement events may not have formed stone lines because no free faces in tuff were created. Alternatively, small-displacement events may have created only small fissures that were engulfed by later larger fissures and made unrecognizable. Because this trench lacks significant coarse (debris-facies) scarp-derived colluvium, it appears that surface rupture does not form typical large, subvertical free faces in the basal fault zone, but rather, meter-scale normal faulting at depth is expressed as a combination of complex fissure opening and small-scale normal faulting.
2.2. Graben antithetic scarp (Trenches 97-3, 97-4)

2.2.1. Trench 97-3

Trench 97-3 is located across a 1.5-m-high west-facing fault scarp that bounds the eastern edge of a 200-m-wide graben at the foot of the main Pajarito fault scarp, directly east of Trench 97-5. The trench is 19 m long and up to 5.5 m deep (Fig. 9). Bandelier Tuff is exposed at the surface on the upthrown block, whereas the downthrown block exposes a sequence of fine-grained graben fill sediments strongly overprinted by soil B horizon development.

2.2.1.1. Paleoseismic interpretation. Like Trench 97-5, Trench 97-3 is not dominated by the type of scarp-derived colluvial wedges typical of normal faults elsewhere in the Basin and Range province. Instead, the stratigraphic evidence of paleoearthquakes is a series of nested crack fills on the hanging wall that ascend along the fault plane, and are faulted against a series of paleosols developed on sandy graben sediments. Based on this trench, we propose a generic, conceptual model of how paleoearthquake displacements are recorded on an antithetic scarp where sediment transport is dominantly against the scarp (in this case, from the main scarp to the west). The model assumes repetition of these stages: dip-slip faulting, tectonic fissure creation, fissure filling by scarp-derived debris, scarp burial by graben aggradation equal to the scarp height, and surface stabilization and soil formation (Fig. 10). In Trench 97-3 the three major fissure fills (units 8a, 10, 11 in Fig. 9) are thought to correspond to graben-fill units 12a, 13, and 14, respectively.
The complex sequence of depositional and faulting events in Trench 97-3 was reconstructed by a 17-step vertical retrodeformation analysis of the trench log (not included herein due to space limitations; see McCalpin, 1998, Fig. 16). The retrodeformation sequence requires 8 displacement events, the first two of which (S3 and T3) occurred before the deposition of unit 6. Event U3 immediately preceded the deposition of colluvial unit 7a, which has an estimated age of ca. 100 ka. All five subsequent events (V3–Z3) can be approximately dated by SDI and TL age estimates. Based on the ages and the displacements required by the retrodeformation sequence, we compiled a slip history diagram (Fig. 11). The individual event displacements may be in error by ca. 25% in some cases, but the cumulative vertical displacement must total 7.9 m (distance from the top of the scarp to 5.7 m depth of tuff in the graben, based on a seismic refraction survey of the graben (McCalpin, 1998). The overall slip rate (7.9 m/1200 ka) is 0.0066 m/ka. In contrast, slip rates during the past ca. 100 ka range from 0.004 to 0.10 m/ka over various seismic cycles (mean=0.053 m/ka, σ=0.033 m/ka, COV=0.62). This degree of slip rate variability through time is slightly less than that deduced for a set of 18 faults in the western USA (McCalpin, 1995). Net vertical displacement per event ranged from 0 (event W3) to 2.2 m (event T3), with mean =0.99 m and σ=0.67 m, COV=0.68. This degree of variation is larger than that found by Hecker and Schwartz (1994) in a larger (but currently unpublished) data set where COV was typically <0.3. However, the two data sets mentioned above were collected from primary fault traces, not secondary antithetic faults such as at Trench 3, so a rigorous comparison is not justified.

2.2.2. Trench 97-4

Trench 4 is located across the same west-facing fault scarp as is Trench 3, but 100 m farther south (Fig. 5). The scarp here is only 0.4 m high, compared to the trench depth of up to 3.2 m and length of 14 m. Trench 4 was excavated in hopes of exposing a thicker section of Holocene deposits than was exposed at Trench 3. Holocene deposits were indeed thicker here (55 cm) than at Trench 3 (20–40 cm), and directly overlie a red clayey buried B soil horizon with prismatic ped structure. The two younger Holocene...
cene units were in depositional contact with the main normal fault, whereas the oldest Holocene deposit fills in a fissure opened in subjacent red Pleistocene textural B horizon. All pre-Holocene units are in fault contact with Bandelier Tuff (Fig. 12).

2.2.2.1. Paleoseismic interpretation. If our subdivision of fissure fill units is valid, there have been four fissuring events that predate the prominent Pleistocene buried B horizon. However, none of these events can be dated precisely due to the lack of datable material in the coarse-grained fissure fills.

The MRE fractured the red buried B horizon on the south wall of the trench, and dropped pieces of it into a tension fissure on the north trench wall. The presence of tan early Holocene pebbly sand in the fissure, and the undisturbed upper contact of that unit, means either (1) the fissure was still open when the pebbly sand was deposited as crack fill; or (2) the lower part of the pebbly sand had already been deposited atop the Bt horizon as slope wash/alluvium before faulting, it was then down-dropped into the fissure, and slope wash deposition continued. In the first scenario, the event horizon for the MRE is the basal contact of pebbly sand, whereas in the second it is somewhere within the pebbly sand, which is ca. 10 cm thick. 14C ages indicate that the base of the pebbly sand is older than 1.7–2.2 ka, and SDI ages from that contact are 2.3–4.5 ka. Thus, the MRE occurred somewhere after 2.1–4.5 ka, more likely after 2.1–2.3 ka.

2.3. Trench across crestal tension fissure (Trench 97-6)

Trench 97-6 is located on the upper face of the main scarp of the Pajarito fault (Figs. 5 and 6). The lower half of the 7.3-m-deep trench was unknowingly cut through Bandelier Tuff, which was so soft and shattered that it was initially assumed to be fissure fill. Later logging showed that the true fissure fill occupies only the upslope half of the trench (Fig. 13).
2.3.1. Structure

The dominant structures in Trench 97-6 are west-dipping normal faults that displace both Bandelier Tuff and unconsolidated fissure-fill deposits. These faults represent a "domino" style of faulting that affects the entire trench except the westernmost 2 m. Fig. 14 shows a schematic representation of how this domino-style extension works with the concept of a crestal tension fissure. The back wall of the crestal tension fissure is the breakaway plane between the stable subhorizontal tuff to the west of the fault scarp, and the east-tilted slab of tuff that comprises the articulated monocline and the scarp face. In our model the back wall maintains its steep (70°–75°) dip throughout all faulting events. With every faulting event the graben at the base of the main fault scarp (below Trench 97-5) drops relatively down, the monoclinal slab of tuff underlying the scarp face tilts incrementally more steeply eastward, and the crestal tension fissure opens by another increment of width. However, if this were the only process acting we would see only a large V-shaped tension fissure in Trench 6 and no other faults.

The pervasive faulting in Trench 97-6 indicates that the upslope end of the east-tilted slab is splintering into separate blocks on a spacing of 0.5–1 m. These blocks accommodate the fault displacement by rotating counterclockwise (viewed to the south, as in Figs. 13 and 14) along their bounding faults, like a series of toppling dominos. This domino-style of faulting has continued to operate as colluvium and crack fill have been deposited here, as evidenced by the domino faults that displace all unconsolidated units (Fig. 13). This rather unique deformation...
mechanism creates the unusual situation where down- 
to-the-east normal faulting at depth is expressed near 
the surface as a local zone of down-to-the-west faults. 
During surface faulting the down-to-the-west dom-
ino faults evidently create small scarplets that face 
upslope on the scarp face. This style of faulting dis-
rupts the normal downslope transport of colluvium on 
the scarp face, such that colluvial deposits higher on 
the scarp tend to terminate against these scarplet, 
instead of continuing to thicken downslope as on a 
normal fault scarp. For example, the bouldery collu-
vial wedges of units 10Bt and 9Btb1 in Fig. 13 lie 
only beneath the upper half of the scarp and pinch out 
at mid-scarp. This is the opposite geometry to a 
typical colluvial wedge, which only underlies the 
lower half of a fault scarp and pinches out at the toe.

2.3.2. Paleoseismic interpretation

The latest two displacement events (Z6 and Y6) 
were followed by the deposition of bouldery scarp-
derived colluvial wedges that form distinct strati-
graphic units. Each of these events requires movement 
on one or more faults to create a backstop to 
prevent colluvium from being deposited lower on the 
scarp. A partial retrodeformation sequence (see 
McCalpin, 1998, Fig. 25) shows that event Z6 was 
accompanied by down-to-the west movement of the 
westernmost fault blocks. Deposition of bouldery col-
luvium stops at mid-scarp, suggesting that there was a 
upslope-facing scarplet above fault C. A similar argu-
ment can be made for event Y6, which produced a 
deep fissure into which colluvial unit 9 was deposited. 
Unit 9 thickens and becomes considerably coarser 
grained west of fault D, suggesting that a fault D 
formed a scarplet that terminated the wedge. Directly 
underlying this wedge is unit 8, the A horizon of 
which yielded a TL age of 132 ± 12 ka and an SDI 
age estimate of 25 ka. Unless we have overlooked 
evidence for smaller displacement events, there have 
been only two faulting events post-25 to 132 ka. If 
each buried soil represents a response to a faulting 
event, there have been eight faulting events since 1.2 
Ma, assuming that there has been no significant ero-
sion of the fissure fill.

Assuming that the fissure record is complete and 
that each soil formed after a fissuring event, the SDI
Fig. 11. Slip history diagram for Trench 97-3, based on a detailed retrodeformation sequence. Events V, W, X, Y, and Z are indicated by the youngest two scarp-derived colluvial wedges (units 15b, 12a–b) and the 3 older crack fills (units 11, 10, 8). Events T and U are required by partially preserved distal colluvial wedges (units 7a, 6a–b) in the lowest part of the trench, both of which predate unit 8. Event S is required to create the initial graben at this location, into which units 2–4 (Cerro del Medio pumice and older alluvium) were deposited.

Fig. 12. Photograph of the main fault (between arrows) on the south wall of Trench 97-4, which abuts Bandelier Tuff (left) against late Pleistocene colluvium (right). The stony Holocene alluvium above the upper (black) arrow truncates the fault plane. String lines are about 1 m apart.
ages (from McDonald, 1999) of soil tops are 4, 14, 25, 118, 250, 304, 404, and 445 ka (Fig. 13, circled numbers). If every soil was buried by post-seismic scarp-derived sedimentation, then the 8 ages cited above are approximate paleoearthquake ages.

Our conceptual model for the articulated monocline requires that the crestal tension fissure begin to form as soon as significant vertical displacement accumulates across the fault zone. We do not know exactly when this displacement started, but the results from Trench 97-3 suggest that some faulting predates the 1.1 Ma Cerro del Medio-correlative ash and underlying sediments. Thus, we expect that the basal fissure fill in Trench 97-6 should be on the order of 1 Ma rather than 570 ka and should also have trapped some Cerro del Medio ash, yet the fill clearly does not contain the 1.1 Ma ash or pumice exposed in Trench 97-3. This situation suggests that the graben may have formed very early on this part of the fault zone, before the main scarp accumulated much of its present 50 m height.

2.4. Trenches across antithetic fault on upthrown block (97-7, 97-7A)

2.4.1. Trench 97-7

Trench 97-7 is located on a N–S-trending, west-facing scarp 200 m west of the crest of the main fault scarp (Figs. 5 and 6). This antithetic scarp is quite small (75 cm high), but the 16 m-long, 5-m-deep trench shows a much larger displacement in the subsurface (Fig. 15), as did the other west-facing scarps.
trenched (Trenches 97-3, 97-4). Faults in the trench are progressively younger toward the east. Faults in the western half of the trench displace Bandelier Tuff (unit 1), but have only slight or no displacement in the overlying thin ashes (unit 2; probably correlative with the 1.1 Ma Cerro del Medio ash). Fault D in the center of the trench displaces the tuff, ash, and overlying Pleistocene slope wash (units 3,4) and their soil profiles, but does not displace the Holocene alluvium (units 7–9). Between faults A and C is a large tension fissure filled with rubble of two different ages; intact Bandelier Tuff exists east of fault A (i.e., on the footwall). The fissure fills margins do not show any evidence of clast rotation or shearing, suggesting that vertical displacement here was accompanied by a large component of horizontal extension.

2.4.1.1. Paleoseismic interpretation. A minimum of four faulting events are required to reproduce the geometric relations exposed in the trench wall (Fig. 15). These four events are required by faults that terminate upward at different stratigraphic levels, respectively at: (1) the unit 2/3 contact, (2) the unit 3/4 contact, and (3) the two fissure-creating events (units 5b, 6). The latest of these faulting events (Z7) postdates the lower part of unit 7, if not the entire unit. No movement occurred on faults C or D, but a wide fissured zone was created between faults A and B. The stratigraphic relations suggest that the MRE occurred after the deposition of some or all of the oldest Holocene alluvium, and immediately before the deposition of the colluvial part of unit 7c (contains radiocarbon date 1510 ± 50 yr BP). Thus, the MRE is dated between 1.5 and 4 ka by 14C, or after 7–13 ka by TL and soil development index.

2.4.2. Trench 97-7A

Trench 7A was excavated 1 m south of the eastern part of Trench 7. This small trench (1.8 m deep, 4 m long; Fig. 16) was dug to re-expose the contacts between Holocene alluvium, Bandelier Tuff, and fissure fill that were the sources of some ambiguity in interpreting Trench 7. Trench 7A exposes the same general units exposed in the upper part of Trench 7, i.e. Bandelier Tuff is unit 1, the basal Holocene alluvium is numbered unit 7, somewhat younger Holocene alluvium is numbered unit 8, historic (?) slope wash with no soil formation is numbered unit 9, and the incipient soil developed in the upthrown block is unit 10. Unit 7 has been tilted 15° toward the fault and is truncated at the fault plane by Bandelier Tuff, whereas unit 8 is still horizontally bedded and interfingers with scarp-derived colluvium (units 8D, 8E). Therefore, this shallow trench only exposes evidence for the MRE which occurred after deposition of unit 7 and before deposition of unit 8.

Trench 7A contained small pieces of angular charcoal scattered throughout the stratigraphic section. Radiocarbon dates are generally in correct stratigraphic order, ranging from “modern” (>100% mod-
ern level of \(^{14} \text{C}\) at 35 cm below the surface to 3430 ± 80 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at 1.2 m below the surface. However, there are some anomalies. The sample from unit 8D, the soft pocket containing clasts, dated at 770 ± 50 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP, or 800–900 yrs younger than adjacent soil-stratigraphic units. This large age departure suggests that unit 8D contains intrusive material that is much younger than adjacent strata.

Two samples from unit 8Cox3 yielded slightly different ages, 1450 ± 60 and 1520 ± 50 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP.

In the tilted part of the stratigraphic section (unit 7) ages are slightly to considerably older than in unit 8. The buried A? horizon (unit 7A) yielded a date of 1590 ± 50 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP, which is statistically indistinguishable from the three dates in overlying units 8Cox2 and 8Cox3. Unit 7e dated at 1870 ± 70 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP, which does not overlap the cluster of younger dates at 1σ. Finally unit 7b dated at 3430 ± 80 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at a depth of 1.2 m. This compares to a date of 3960 ± 60 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at a depth of 1.05 m in Trench 7.

The MRE in Trench 7A appears to be tightly bracketed by \(^{14} \text{C}\) dates at ca. 1500 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP. This event timing can be reconciled with that in Trench 7, where unit 7c colluvium shed after the MRE dates at 1σ. Finally, unit 7b dated at 3430 ± 80 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at a depth of 1.2 m. This compares to a date of 3960 ± 60 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at a depth of 1.05 m in Trench 7.

The MRE in Trench 7A appears to be tightly bracketed by \(^{14} \text{C}\) dates at ca. 1500 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP. This event timing can be reconciled with that in Trench 7, where unit 7c colluvium shed after the MRE dates at 1σ. Finally unit 7b dated at 3430 ± 80 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at a depth of 1.2 m. This compares to a date of 3960 ± 60 \(^{14} \text{C}\) yr BP at a depth of 1.05 m in Trench 7.
which dated at 2200–2870 \(^{14}\)C yr BP, may be older charcoal washed into open voids.

A related issue is the non-correspondence between young \(^{14}\)C dates and older TL and SDI dates in some trenches. For example, in Trench 7 the lower part of fluvial unit 7c has a \(^{14}\)C age of ca. 4 ka and TL and SDI ages of 13 ka. However, in Trench 4 we have general agreement between \(^{14}\)C dates (1690 ± 40, 2230 ± 40 \(^{14}\)C yr BP) and SDI ages (2.1 ka, 2.3 ka), which are far younger than TL ages (43 ± 5 ka) for the same stratum.

Wong et al. (1995) also experienced discordance between \(^{14}\)C and TL ages in their trenches. At the Water Tanks trenches, pre-El Cajete colluvium yielded \(^{14}\)C dates of 19,070 ± 160 and 22,420 ± 690 \(^{14}\)C yr BP, compared to TL ages of 45 ka and 73 ka. Wong et al. (1995, p. 4–39) concluded that the \(^{14}\)C samples were not intrusive into the host deposit, but instead had been contaminated during analysis. They thus explain the two finite dates listed above as resulting from lab contamination of \(^{14}\)C dead charcoal with younger organics.

This explanation cannot be applied to our late Holocene charcoal samples, which all date at less than 4 ka. It would require large amounts of young carbon contamination to yield dates in the range 1.5–4 ka from samples whose true age was 7–13 ka. In addition, it is unlikely that lab contamination would fortuitously result in \(^{14}\)C ages in correct stratigraphic order, as is generally found in Trenches 7 and 7A.

Fig. 16. Log of the south wall of Trench 97-7A. Bandelier Tuff (medium gray shade, units 1a–1b) exists only on the footwall. Two Holocene alluvial units were deposited against the up-slope-facing fault scarp here, the older of which (unit 7) has been tilted toward the fault during the MRE. After tilting of unit 7 and its original soil (7Av), organics accumulated in the depression next to the fault and an organic soil formed (unit 7A). Subsequently a sag pond silt (unit 7Cox3) was deposited. Radiocarbon dates (cited here in \(^{14}\)C years) thus bracket the MRE between about 1590 and 1870 \(^{14}\)C yr BP.
Finally, Beta Analytic periodically runs calibration standards in both its conventional and AMS dating lines, so significant lab contamination would be detected. Therefore, we tend to accept the young radiocarbon ages from Holocene colluvium and alluvium, and consider that much older TL age estimates are due to incomplete initial bleaching of TL at time of deposition. This topic of disagreement between the radiocarbon, luminescence, and soil development methods of dating is discussed at greater length in McCalpin (2000).

2.4.2.1. Paleoseismic interpretation. The sequence of events surrounding the MRE is simple enough that no formal retrodeformation sequence is required. Before the MRE, units 7a–7c were deposited by slope wash against the scarp, and units 7d and 7e were deposited contemporaneously by colluviation. Unit 7b dates at 3430 ± 80 14C yr BP, and unit 7c at 1870 ± 70 14C yr BP. Then soil units 7Av and 7A formed atop units 7c and 7e. Unit 7A dates at 1590 ± 50 14C yr BP.

Later, the MRE occurred displacing unit 7A on fault B (and possibly the lower part of the parent material of 8Cox3) and tilting unit 7 eastward toward the fault. It is most likely that the top of unit 7A was the ground surface at the time of the MRE, and that post-faulting sedimentation on the back-tilted surface began with the parent material of unit 8Cox3. This is the typical response to creating a free face that faces upslope, and creates an event horizon at the top of a buried soil (e.g., see Pantosti et al., 1993).

After the MRE all of unit 8 was deposited and the mapped soil formation developed. For example, unit 8Cox2 dates at 1620 ± 60 14C yr BP, and the upper part of unit 8Cox3 dates at 1450 ± 60 and 1520 ± 50 14C yr BP. The lower part of unit 8 was probably deposited more rapidly than soil forming processes, but as deposition rates slowed, soil formation started to keep pace with deposition, yielding the cumulic-style soil with thick AC horizons.

The 15 cm of vertical displacement on the top of unit 7A? does not represent the entire displacement in the MRE, since additional displacement could have occurred on fault A, the evidence of which is now observed by scarp-derived colluviation. Based on retrodeformation of the Trench 7 wall only 2 m away, the MRE created ca. 1.1 m of vertical displacement.

Thus, the age of the preferred event horizon for the MRE (the 7A/8Cox3 contact) is bracketed by minimum ages of 1450 ± 60 and 1520 ± 50 14C yr BP, and a maximum age of 1590 ± 50 14C yr BP. Based on the dates from Trench 7A, it appears that the MRE occurred about 1500 14C yr BP, which implies that the unit 7c colluvium in Trench 7 covered the fissure soon after the MRE. However, a fissure formed at 1500 yr BP could not have accepted charcoal inwash between 2200 and 2870 yr BP. Therefore, the 2.2–2.9 ka 14C ages from unit 6 in Trench 7 would be interpreted as very loose minimum age constraints on the penultimate event, rather than constraints on the MRE.

2.5. Trenches across synthetic faults on upthrown block (98-4, 98-5)

In 1998 we excavated six more trenches on the PFZ (McCalpin, 1999), three of which exposed faults and two of which (98-4, 98-5) yielded additional age control on the MRE. Trench 98-4 was excavated across the western splay scarp, a 30-m-high synthetic scarp on the upthrown side of the main PF scarp.

2.5.1. Trench 98-4: Paleoseismic interpretation

Trench 98-4 was excavated across the western splay scarp where it projected across the mouth of an incised drainage. Here the scarp was only 8 m high and displaced the head of a late Pleistocene alluvial fan. The morphology of the scarp was not unusual but the deformation structures beneath the scarp were quite unusual (Fig. 17). Rather than exposing a single, east-dipping normal fault, the trench exposed a complex landslide and thrust fault beneath the center of the scarp, and a highly folded zone beneath the scarp crest. These structures suggest a strong component of east–west compression, despite the fact that this fault forms the margin of a rift zone.

We divided Trench 98-4 into three structural zones. Beneath the toe of the scarp lies the “alluvial fan facies”, composed of undeformed alluvial fan deposits that range from ca. 10 ka to >30 ka. The center of the scarp is underlain by a 10-m-wide rotational slump block, the toe of which merges with a west-dipping thrust fault that extends beneath the trench floor. The deposits in the slump block are a “facies transition
Fig. 17. Log of Trench 98-4. Older deposits are shown in darker gray shades. Three different unit numbering systems are used, for the alluvial fan facies on the hanging wall (unit number followed by an “a”), for facies transition zone in the main deformation area (unit number followed by a “t”), and for the colluvial/talus facies on the footwall (unit number followed by a “c”). Unit numbers 1 through 5 are age-correlative throughout the trench. Radiocarbon dates are in uncalibrated \(^{14}C\) years; B numbers are Beta Analytic, Inc. lab numbers. Soil profile columns show selected soil horizons and the SDI age estimates for those horizons, in ka, from McDonald (1999).
zone” between the fan alluvium to the east and scarp-derived colluvium to the west. For example, units 3t9 and 3t10 that comprise the upper part of the slump block are colluvial equivalents to the middle alluvial fan unit (unit 3a). Subjacent units 4t and 5t in the slump block are correlative to alluvial strata to the east, over which they have been thrust. The scarp crest is underlain by a “colluvial/talus facies” composed of large angular blocks of Bandelier Tuff (unit 3) that overlies better-stratified alluvial units (4c, 5c). All units beneath the scarp crest are folded into open, north-trending folds with wavelengths of 2–4 m and amplitudes of ca. 1 m. The author has never observed such fold structures in normal fault exposures elsewhere.

The deformation exposed in Trench 98-4 affects all units except the surface soil A and Bw horizons at the scarp crest, the latter of which contains charcoal dated at 1.4–1.8 ka. The steep clast orientations in unit 3cBw beneath the scarp crest suggest that unit has been folded and rotated by deformation, but this evidence is rather indirect. In the “alluvial fan facies” the base of unit 2aBt is offset, but not the top of the unit. The base of the unit 2a debris flow may be tectonically offset, or the debris flow may be draped over a small scarp in the top of unit 3a4. Thus all we know with certainty is that unit 3a4 is offset, but buried soil 1 is not offset. This brackets offset on this small antithetic fault between about 10 ka (age of unfaulted buried soil 1) and 17.9 ka (age of faulted unit 3a4).

At the head of the “facies transitional zone”, soil 3tBt appears to be truncated at the headscarp of the rotational slump, but actually the parent material unit 3 colluvium is truncated, not the pedogenic horizon. The Bt soil horizon continues westward into unit 3c2, so displacement on this structure predates formation of buried soil 1 [variously dated by PDI at 10 ka (27.2 mH), 14 ka (6.5 mH), and 26 ka (5.4 mH)], and post-dates unit 3t, which is undated.

At the head of the trench at least three episodes of deformation are indicated. The oldest is compressional deformation needed to fold units 3c2–3c5 and to rotate their clasts to their present steep dips. The second is extensional deformation that dismembered unit 3c3 and opened the fissure into which unit 3c1 was deposited. The steep dips of clasts in units 3cBt and 3cBw appear to require a third episode of penetrative deformation.

Notably, at the head of the trench we observe young soils developed on old deformed parent materials that were brought up to the surface by folding and then erosionally truncated. This distinction between old parent materials and younger soils emphasizes a danger in using either soil SDI values or radiocarbon dates on charcoal to estimate deposit ages beneath the upper half of a fault scarp. In the first case, SDI values only tell us the amount of soil development time, not the age of the parent material on which the soils are developed. In depositional environments such as an alluvial fan or a scarp-derived colluvial wedge, deposits are laid down quickly and then soil development proceeds on them, so soil SDI ages can closely approximate deposit age. This approximation works because elapsed geologic time is composed only of deposition time (negligible to short) and soil development time. In contrast, at the crest of this fault scarp geologic time has been composed of deposition time, folding time, erosion time, and soil development time. Soil SDI values only permit estimation of the last component.

In the second case, young charcoal can become introduced into the young soils developed on old deposits at the scarp crest. Two pairs of TL and $^{14}$C ages at the head of the trench illustrate this problem. At meter 8 on the log, the soil overlying unit 3c2 yielded a $^{14}$C age on charcoal of $1420 \pm 50$ yr BP and an adjacent TL age estimate of $21 \pm 1.2$ ka. At meter 2 on the log, unit 3dBw yielded a $^{14}$C age on charcoal of $840 \pm 50$ yr BP and an adjacent TL age estimate of $18.4 \pm 1.1$ ka. The TL ages are similar to the SDI age estimates for this soil (14–26 ka) and are probably relatively accurate age estimates for the eroded deposits beneath the scarp crest, whereas the charcoal is much younger and was introduced in the very late Holocene during a period of soil development.

Given the distinctions outlined above, the folded deposits at the head of the trench are definitely younger than the El Cajete pumice (50–60 ka), perhaps 18–21 ka by TL and 14–26 ka by SDI, and are much older than soil 1 dated at $1420 \pm 50$ yr BP. The folding must be older than the soils developed in units above the folds, i.e. buried soil 1, which is dated in units by PDI at 14–26 ka. However, these ages are only minimum ages for the folded deposits.
The episode of extensional deformation at the trench head can be indirectly dated by soil SDI. Horizon Bt₂b₁ at 6.5 m from the head of the trench covers the crack fill of unit 3c₁ and must post-date the crack, and dates at ca. 14 ka. Horizon Bt₃b₁ at 5.4 m from the head of the trench carries an older SDI age (26 ka), but this horizon is developed on the crack fill, and the crack fill parent material is unit 3 which already carried a soil before crack formation. Thus, I believe that the SDI age of 26 ka for unit 3c₁ overestimates its age, because that unit is composed of “recycled” pieces of preexisting Bt horizons from either side of the fissure. If the crack fill formed before 14 ka, and well after 26 ka, then its time range overlaps the time range of antithetic faulting and rotational slumping farther downslope in the trench (10–17.9 ka). Thus, it is possible that all the extensional deformation in the trench occurred at the same time, ca. 10–18 ka.

3. Conclusions

3.1. Refinement of the structural model of the Pajarito fault

The structural model of the PF (articulated monoclinc) was originally based on surface observations (McCalpin, 1997) plus limited subsurface exposures such as the Wong et al. (1993) trenches and road-cuts along NM Highway 4. Our eight trenches excavated in 1997 provide additional subsurface information that bears on this model. The main uncertainty about structure in monoclinal sections of the scarp is where the underlying normal fault is located with respect to the east-tilted slab. It will not be possible to determine this geometry without a prohibitively expensive drilling program, and such detailed knowledge of subsurface fault geometry really does not contribute much critical information to the seismic hazard analysis.

3.2. Timing of the most recent faulting event

A Holocene faulting event is required by the mapped stratigraphic relationships exposed in Trenches 97-3, 97-4, 97-7, 97-7A, 98-4 and 98-5, with suggestive evidence in Trenches 97-2 and 97-5. The timing of the MRE is mainly constrained by ¹⁴C dates (Table 2), with a few SDI ages also relevant. In general, TL and SDI age estimates from within 1 m or less from the ground surface are much older than ¹⁴C ages, for reasons explained previously. The limiting ages for the MREs are shown graphically in Fig. 18.

The age estimates that constrain the MRE from 1997 trenches are mainly radiocarbon ages from post-faulting colluvial deposits on the hanging wall, whereas constraining ages from the 1998 trenches are mainly radiocarbon ages from young soils developed on older (pre-faulting) deposits on the footwall. Because of this difference in stratigraphic position, the 1998 ages (range 10–20 ka, overlap 13–18 ka) tend to be older as a group than the 1997 ages (range 2–16 ka, overlap 3–11 ka). However, based on their similar stratigraphic setting, we conclude that these MREs are actually the same event, and that the apparent difference in radiocarbon limiting ages results from the different stratigraphic settings.

3.3. Recurrence intervals between paleoearthquakes on the Pajarito fault

As displayed in the retrodeformation sequences for Trenches 97-3 and 97-7, we can roughly estimate the length of time intervals between paleoearthquakes that predate the MRE. In Trench 97-3 we have evidence for six events (five recurrence intervals) in the period from 1.5 ka to ca. 110 ka, which yields a long-term mean recurrence of 21.7 ka. However, recurrence is extremely variable, ranging from <10 ka to ca. 61 ka. The standard deviation of the recurrence series is 20.1 ka, which defines a coefficient of variation (COV, σ/mean) of 0.95. This high degree of variability is rarely found in paleoseismic recurrence series elsewhere (McCalpin and Slemmons, 1998), and suggests that either: (1) the dating of events here is inaccurate; (2) there are missing events in the series; or (3) not all earthquakes on the Pajarito fault are recorded in Trench 97-3. The three latest events in Trench 97-7 in the past 72 ka have a mean recurrence of 34 ka, σ of 15 ka, and COV of 0.44. This COV is more typical of paleoearthquake sequences elsewhere, although Trench 97-7, being far west of the main fault scarp, is even less likely than Trench 3 to record all the surface-rupturing earthquake that have occurred on the Pajarito fault.
Fig. 18. Space–time diagram showing the limiting ages on the MREs in the 1997 and 1998 trenches. The inferred time span for each event is shown by the shaded boxes, with the preferred age range shown by the darker shade in each box. Stratigraphic evidence from the trenches indicates only a single Holocene paleoearthquake, so I conclude that the MRE in the 1997 and 1998 trenches is actually the same event. The apparent difference in bracketing ages for the MRE in the 1997 and 1998 trenches is attributed to different dating techniques used in those 2 years, as explained in the text.
In Trenches 97-5 and 97-6 event horizons are insufficiently preserved to identify and date paleoearthquakes with confidence. Repeated episodes of colluvial deposition and subsequent soil formation can be definitely related to earthquake displacements in some, but not all cases. If we assume that most depositional episodes in our tectonic depressions are related to faulting, we can analyze the durations of soil-forming between these episodes as if they were recurrence intervals. In the center of Trench 97-5 soil ages are 25, 91, 139, 177, 238, 362, and 492 ka, yielding soil durations of 66, 48, 38, 61, 124, and 130 ka. This series has a mean of 77.8 ka, σ of 35.9 ka, and COV of 0.46.

In Trench 97-6 soil ages are 4, 14, 25, 118, 250, 304, 404, and 445 ka, yielding soil durations of 10, 11, 93, 132, 54, 100, and 41 ka. This series has a mean of 63 ka, σ of 43.3 ka, and COV of 0.69. It is interesting that the calculated recurrence intervals in the past 100 ka from the two small antithetic faults (Trench 3, 21 ka; Trench 7, 34 ka) are much shorter than the post-500 ka recurrence intervals from the two trenches on the main fault scarp (Trench 97-5, 78 ka; Trench 97-6, 63 ka). One would expect that a higher proportion of the total post-500 ka faulting events on the Pajarito fault would be recorded on the main fault trace, rather than on smaller antithetic faults. It seems reasonable that the main-scarp faults exposed in Trenches 97-5 and 97-6 have moved at least as many times as those in Trenches 3 and 7. Thus, my interpretation of the apparent longer recurrence times in Trenches 97-5 and 97-6 is that many faulting events occurred on those faults which we could not detect during logging, due mainly to the heavy overprinting of homogeneous colluvium with strong textural B horizons.
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