

From: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 8:43 AM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS
Much more detail.

Cynthia Williams

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded by Cynthia Williams [REDACTED] on 10/11/2010 08:42 AM -----

From: Rick Spaulding [REDACTED]
To: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
Cc: Frank Sinclair [REDACTED], William Bates [REDACTED], James Bel [REDACTED], randy.reddick [REDACTED]
Date: 10/11/2010 07:54 AM
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

The DBT Project did not clear all the way out to the pink circle. If you consider the pink circle as the face of a clock, the DBT Project cleared trees from the 8 o'clock to the 12 or 1 o'clock position. My proposal for the SEIS would be additional trees in the 2 to 5 o'clock position or possibly the 5 to 7 o'clock positions. In either case, our proposal would likely NOT exceed the boundary of the circle.



----- Forwarded by Rick Spaulding [REDACTED] on 10/11/2010 07:45 AM -----

From: William Bates [REDACTED]
To: Rick Spaulding [REDACTED]
Cc: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
Date: 10/11/2010 07:40 AM
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

See attachment. Thx.

----- Forwarded by William Bates [REDACTED] on 10/11/2010 07:39 AM -----

From: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
To: William Bates [REDACTED]
Date: 10/08/2010 10:10 AM
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Bill,

Do you know the answer to this question or who I should direct it to?

Cynthia Williams



----- Forwarded by Cynthia Williams [redacted] on 10/08/2010 10:09 AM -----

From: "Dimarzio, John A." [redacted]
To: <Cynthia.Williams [redacted]>
Cc: <randy.reddick [redacted]> <drew.grainger [redacted]> "Schinner, James R." [redacted]
Date: 10/08/2010 09:22 AM
Subject: RE: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Can you clarify?

Figure 2-1 in the Security Upgrade EA (attached) shows a large area that would be cleared. Has this areas been cleared? Would the proposed land clearing activities for PDC-PuP occur within this cleared (to be cleared) area?

From: Cynthia.Williams [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 1:41 PM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

From: "Reddick, Randy" [redacted]
To: <clayton.shedrow [redacted]>
Cc: <Cynthia.Williams [redacted]> <rick.spaulding [redacted]> <brent.blunt [redacted]> "Fields, Ken" <ken.fields [redacted]>
<rectanus [redacted]> <thomas.l.anderson [redacted]>
Date: 10/04/2010 05:43 PM
Subject: RE: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Further discussions with our design team indicate a need to increase the previous Data Call estimate of total land disturbed during construction. This increase will account for a design change since submittal of the Data Call, clearing for piping, and for stormwater and sediment retention basins that have yet to be included in design.

The new estimate of total PDC land disturbed during construction is 30 acres. The total project footprint following construction is 18 acres (5-previously disturbed footprint and 13-newly disturbed footprint). Of the 30 acres, 5 acres is estimated to be clearing of currently forested areas (grubbing) and 3 acres is estimated for the retention basins.

Thanks,

Randy

-----Original Message
From: rick.spaulding [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 2:42 PM
To: brent.blunt [redacted]

Cc: clayton.shedrow [REDACTED]; Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]; Reddick, Randy
Subject: Re: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Robert Baker posed this question to me this morning based on an inquiry from Sachiko.

I told him it is entirely possible that we may have land clearing of approximately 5 acres (rough guess) on the east side of the complex to support a parking area for the glovebox assembly building and the admin complex. These buildings and parking areas have conceptual locations that could change. I answered yes even though final need for clearing is TBD.

Clearing additional trees is not a security concern. I was involved with that project as well. Locating new structures in certain locations that obstruct line of sight would be the only security concern. The VA Team is aware of our proposed building locations and they have no concerns at the present time. I can not confirm if this acreage was addressed under the 2005 DBT Project EA.

From: Brent Blunt [REDACTED]
To: Clayton Shedrow [REDACTED], Rick Spaulding [REDACTED]
Cc: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED], Srs, randy.reddick [REDACTED]
Date: 10/04/2010 02:23 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

News to me.

Rick
Is this something that you have the info on?

Brent

From: Clayton Shedrow [REDACTED]
To: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
Cc: Brent Blunt [REDACTED], randy.reddick [REDACTED]
Date: 10/04/2010 02:11 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Cynthia - It's a project attribute which has potential environmental (and security?) consequences.

Brent, Randy - Would you guys be the appropriate source for this data?

C. Barry Shedrow
[REDACTED]

><(((('°> ><(((('°> `.,.,.´-´.,.,.´-´..... ><(((('°>
><(((('°> ><(((('°>

><(((('°>

From: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
To: "Clayton (Barry) Shedrow" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/04/2010 12:07 PM
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Barry

Is this an environmental question?

Cynthia

----- Original Message -----

From: Sachiko Mcalhany
Sent: 10/04/2010 09:58 AM EDT
To: Cynthia Williams; "JOHN A DIMARZIO" [REDACTED]
Cc: Virginia Kay; Andrew (Drew) Grainger; Clayton (Barry) Shedrow; Brent Blunt; Lawrence (Larry) Ling
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

I just confirmed with the PDC project team that they may need to clear additional land for new structures so they will update the info ASAP. Cynthia, please track as an action and let us know when they will provide the updated information.

Thanks,
Sachiko

----- Forwarded by Sachiko Mcalhany/NNSA/DOE/Srs on 10/04/2010 09:53 AM -----

From: "Dimarzio, John A." [REDACTED]
To: <Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]>
Cc: "McAlhany, Sachiko W." <sachiko-w.mcalhany@nnsa.srs.gov>, <virginia.kay [REDACTED]>, <drew.grainger [REDACTED]>, < [REDACTED]>, "Pietzyk, Sharon M." [REDACTED], "Greene, Aaron R." [REDACTED], "Schinner, James R." [REDACTED]

Date: 10/01/2010 01:08 PM
Subject: RE: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Of course...I thought about this further after sending this email to you. The areas to be disturbed are all within the area to be cleared under the 2005 Security Upgrades EA. So... DOE already analyzed the environmental impacts of clearing this land under the EA.

...John

From: Dimarzio, John A.
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 12:46 PM
To: 'Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]'
Cc: McAlhany, Sachiko W.; virginia.kay [REDACTED]; drew.grainger [REDACTED]; 'clayton.shedrow [REDACTED]'; Pietzyk, Sharon M.; Greene, Aaron R.; Schinner, James R.
Subject: FW: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

An additional question has come up in relation to land that would be cleared to install the PuP-PDC in KAC. Although most of the land to be cleared appears to be within already disturbed areas, at least a portion of the parking lot looks like it would be constructed in an area that is currently forested.

Have any cultural resources and ecological resources surveys been conducted in the areas to be disturbed? If so, what were the results of the surveys?

Thanks...John

From: Dimarzio, John A.
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2010 12:50 PM
To: 'Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]'
Cc: sachiko-w.mcalhany@nnsa.srs.gov; virginia.kay [REDACTED]
'drew.grainger [REDACTED]'; clayton.shedrow [REDACTED]; Schinner, James R.
Subject: FW: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

I've attached some questions on the land use data supplied with the Immobilization and PuP-PDC data call responses.

Thanks for you continued assistance....John

From: Schinner, James R.
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2010 10:50 AM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: Questions on land use for SPD

John,
Here are the questions I showed you.
Jim

[attachment "ea-1538_12-08-05.pdf" deleted by Rick Spaulding [REDACTED]]