

From: Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS
More comments.

Cynthia Williams

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded by Cynthia Williams [REDACTED] on 10/11/2010 08:41 AM -----

From: Rick Spaulding [REDACTED]
To: Frank Sinclair [REDACTED]
Cc: Cynthia Williams [REDACTED] James Bell [REDACTED] William Bates [REDACTED]
Date: 10/11/2010 07:37 AM
Subject: Re: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Since the attachment mentioned below was deleted from the e-mail string I can not answer the question with regards to that document. However, I can state that my intent for PDC was to make an allowance for additional "new" tree clearing for the purpose of a new warehouse or other structure. The new layout and master plan for the area has not been developed. I just wanted to include some tree clearing in the SEIS data call to allow more flexibility in developing the master plan. Better to have it and not need it than not include it at all.

My original thought was that some additional clearing may be needed west of the existing construction laydown yard. There was no exact location mentioned for the 5 acres. It was only an allowance so that tree clearing was at least mentioned in the SEIS.

From: Frank Sinclair [REDACTED]
To: William Bates [REDACTED] Cynthia Williams [REDACTED]
Cc: James Bell [REDACTED] "Richard (Rick) [REDACTED] Spaulding" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/08/2010 05:45 PM
Subject: Re: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Mr. Spaulding can probably answer best. From what I have seen, additional land may need to be cleared. VA Team may extend tree line with new structures being installed.

----- Original Message -----

From: William Bates
Sent: 10/08/2010 04:29 PM EDT
To: Cynthia Williams

Cc: Frank Sinclair; James (Ricky) Bell

Subject: Re: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

This has not been cleared yet. Frank can you confirm?

----- Original Message -----

From: Cynthia Williams

Sent: 10/08/2010 10:10 AM EDT

To: William (Bill) Bates

Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Bill,

Do you know the answer to this question or who I should direct it to?

Cynthia Williams



----- Forwarded by Cynthia Williams [redacted] on 10/08/2010 10:09 AM -----

From: "Dimarzio, John A." [redacted]
To: <Cynthia.Williams [redacted]>
Cc: <randy.reddick [redacted]> <drew.grainger [redacted]> "Schinner, James R." [redacted]
Date: 10/08/2010 09:22 AM
Subject: RE: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Can you clarify?

Figure 2-1 in the Security Upgrade EA (attached) shows a large area that would be cleared. Has this areas been cleared? Would the proposed land clearing activities for PDC-PuP occur within this cleared (to be cleared) area?

From: Cynthia.Williams [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 1:41 PM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

From: "Reddick, Randy" [redacted]
To: <clayton.shedrow [redacted]>
Cc: <Cynthia.Williams [redacted]> <rick.spaulding [redacted]> <brent.blun [redacted]> "Fields, Ken" <ken.fields [redacted]>
<rectanusn [redacted]>, <thomas.l.anderson [redacted]>
Date: 10/04/2010 05:43 PM
Subject: RE: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Further discussions with our design team indicate a need to increase the previous Data

Call estimate of total land disturbed during construction. This increase will account for a design change since submittal of the Data Call, clearing for piping, and for stormwater and sediment retention basins that have yet to be included in design.

The new estimate of total PDC land disturbed during construction is 30 acres. The total project footprint following construction is 18 acres (5-previously disturbed footprint and 13-newly disturbed footprint). Of the 30 acres, 5 acres is estimated to be clearing of currently forested areas (grubbing) and 3 acres is estimated for the retention basins.

Thanks,

Randy

-----Original Message

From: rick.spaulding@ [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 2:42 PM
To: brent.blunt@ [REDACTED]
Cc: clayton.shedrow@ [REDACTED]; Cynthia.Williams@ [REDACTED]; Reddick, Randy
Subject: Re: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Robert Baker posed this question to me this morning based on an inquiry from Sachiko.

I told him it is entirely possible that we may have land clearing of approximately 5 acres (rough guess) on the east side of the complex to support a parking area for the glovebox assembly building and the admin complex. These buildings and parking areas have conceptual locations that could change. I answered yes even though final need for clearing is TBD.

Clearing additional trees is not a security concern. I was involved with that project as well. Locating new structures in certain locations that obstruct line of sight would be the only security concern. The VA Team is aware of our proposed building locations and they have no concerns at the present time. I can not confirm if this acreage was addressed under the 2005 DBT Project EA.

From: Brent Blunt@ [REDACTED]
To: Clayton Shedrow@ [REDACTED], Rick Spaulding@ [REDACTED]
Cc: Cynthia Williams@ [REDACTED], randy.reddick@ [REDACTED]
Date: 10/04/2010 02:23 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

News to me.

Rick
Is this something that you have the info on?

Brent

From: Clayton Shedrow@ [REDACTED]
To: Cynthia Williams@ [REDACTED]
Cc: Brent Blunt@ [REDACTED], randy.reddick@ [REDACTED]

Date: 10/04/2010 02:11 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Cynthia - It's a project attribute which has potential environmental (and security?) consequences.

Brent, Randy - Would you guys be the appropriate source for this data?

C. Barry Shedrow

[Redacted]

><(((°> ><(((°> \'. \'. \'. ><(((°>
><(((°> ><(((°>
><(((°> ><(((°>

From: Cynthia Williams [Redacted]
To: "Clayton (Barry) Shedrow" [Redacted]
Date: 10/04/2010 12:07 PM
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Barry

Is this an environmental question?

Cynthia

----- Original Message -----
From: Sachiko Mcalhany
Sent: 10/04/2010 09:58 AM EDT
To: Cynthia Williams; "JOHN A DIMARZIO" [Redacted]
Cc: Virginia Kay; Andrew (Drew) Grainger; Clayton (Barry) Shedrow; Brent Blunt; Lawrence (Larry) Ling
Subject: Fw: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS
I just confirmed with the PDC project team that they may need to clear additional land for new structures so they will update the info ASAP. Cynthia, please track as an action and let us know when they will provide the updated information.

Thanks,
Sachiko

----- Forwarded by Sachiko Mcalhany/NNSA/DOE/Srs on 10/04/2010 09:53 AM -----

From: "Dimarzio, John A." [Redacted]
To: <Cynthia.Williams [Redacted]>
Cc: "McAlhany, Sachiko W." <sachiko-w.mcalhany@nnsa.srs.gov>, <virginia.kay [Redacted]>, <drew.grainger [Redacted]>, <clayton.shedrow [Redacted]>, "Pietzyk, Sharon M." [Redacted], "Greene, Aaron R." [Redacted], "Schinner, James R." [Redacted]

Date: 10/01/2010 01:08 PM
Subject: RE: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

Of course...I thought about this further after sending this email to you. The areas to be disturbed are all within the area to be cleared under the 2005 Security Upgrades EA. So... DOE already analyzed the environmental impacts of clearing this land under the EA.

...John

From: Dimarzio, John A.
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 12:46 PM
To: 'Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]';
Cc: McAlhany, Sachiko W.; virginia.kay [REDACTED]; drew.grainger [REDACTED]; 'clayton.shedrow [REDACTED]'; Pietzyk, Sharon M.; Greene, Aaron R.; Schinner, James R.
Subject: FW: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

An additional question has come up in relation to land that would be cleared to install the PuP-PDC in KAC. Although most of the land to be cleared appears to be within already disturbed areas, at least a portion of the parking lot looks like it would be constructed in an area that is currently forested.

Have any cultural resources and ecological resources surveys been conducted in the areas to be disturbed? If so, what were the results of the surveys?

Thanks...John

From: Dimarzio, John A.
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2010 12:50 PM
To: 'Cynthia.Williams [REDACTED]';
Cc: sachiko-w.mcalhany@nnsa.srs.gov; virginia.kay [REDACTED]; 'drew.grainger [REDACTED]'; clayton.shedrow [REDACTED]; Schinner, James R.
Subject: FW: Questions on land use data for SPD SEIS

I've attached some questions on the land use data supplied with the Immobilization and PuP-PDC data call responses.

Thanks for you continued assistance....John

From: Schinner, James R.
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2010 10:50 AM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: Questions on land use for SPD

John,
Here are the questions I showed you.
Jim

[attachment "ea-1538_12-08-05.pdf" deleted by William Bates [REDACTED]]