From: clayton.shedrow[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 05, 2008 4:42 PM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Cc: clayton.holloway[redacted]; greg.burbage[redacted]; drew.grainger[redacted]; betsy.westover[redacted]; perjetta.hightower[redacted]
Subject: Fw: Data Call Status

Attachments: plot.pdf

John

Please see the attached response.

[redacted]

Thanks.

C. Barry Shedrow
[redacted]

><((((º> ><((((º> `·.¸¸.·´¯`·.¸.·´¯`·... ><((((º>
  ><((((º> ><((((º>
          ><((((º>

----- Forwarded by Clayton Shedrow[redacted] on 03/05/2008 04:39 PM -----

Clayton Holloway[redacted]

03/05/2008 02:34 PM

To Greg Burbage[redacted]

cc Betsy Westover[redacted], Clayton Shedrow[redacted] Perjetta Hightower[redacted]

Subject Re: Fw: Data Call StatusLink

Greg,

Attached is a copy of the Site Layout SK-DE-SAB-0006. The first number we gave them on 10/27/07 - 84,340 sq ft ~ 2 acres is the correct number for the area being disturbed as indicated in the referenced scope of work (C-SOW-K-00012). I don't know where the new number came from but it is definitely too high.

Clayton Holloway
Mechanical Lead
PUDisp Project

[redacted]

Greg Burbage[redacted]

03/05/2008 10:08 AM

To Clayton Holloway[redacted]

cc Perjetta Hightower[redacted], Betsy Westover[redacted] Clayton Shedrow[redacted]

Subject Fw: Data Call Status

Clayton, can you provide plot plan and clarification for immobilization surface area disturbance per SAIC questions below (last two berger dots)? Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Greg Burbage[redacted] on 03/05/2008 10:07 AM -----

Clayton Shedrow[redacted]

03/05/2008 08:24 AM

To Greg Burbage[redacted]

cc Drew Grainger[redacted] Perjetta Hightower[redacted]

Subject Fw: Data Call Status

Greg

Can you help out on the last two burger dots?

C. Barry Shedrow
[redacted]

><((((º> ><((((º> `·.¸¸.·´¯`·.¸.·´¯`·... ><((((º>
><((((º> ><((((º>
><((((º>

----- Forwarded by Clayton Shedrow[redacted] on 03/05/2008 08:23 AM -----

"Dimarzio, John A."[redacted]

03/04/2008 03:54 PM

To <clayton.shedrow[redacted]

cc "Groome, Chadi D."[redacted] <drew.grainger[redacted]

Subject Data Call Status

My records show the following outstanding items:

- Employee classification (Requested on 2/26/08) (Preliminary Response 2/28/08)
- Copy of the *2007 Cultural Resources Annual Report for the SRS Archaeological Resource Program* (Requested on 2/28/08)

In addition, two questions came up today related to the Immobilization Data Call Response table.

- Page 1, column 1, Plot Plan. Can you supply a plot plan that shows the areas to be disturbed in K-Area? Site Layout SK-DE-SAB-0006?
- Page 1, column 2, area disturbed = 385,010 sq ft. This appears to conflict with file "csowk00012-sitework" that indicates 84,340 sq feet (~2 acres) would be disturbed.

I plan on submitting comments on the H-Canyon Data Call Response by COB today.

We are now in the impacts analysis phase of the project. I expect that there will be additional questions as the SAIC resource leads work with the information provided.

….John

From: clayton.shedrow [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2008 12:05 PM
To: Dimarzio, John A.
Subject: RE: More SAIC Data Call (Updated Table): 2/27/08 Complete

John

I hesitate to ask, but how do we stand on any outstanding data call issues?

C. Barry Shedrow
[redacted]

><((((º> ><((((º> `·.¸¸.·´¯`·.¸.·´¯`·... ><((((º>
  ><((((º> ><((((º>
          ><((((º>



DOES NOT CONTAIN UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED NUCLEAR INFORMATION

A

SKETCH NUMBER: SK-DE-SAB-0006

| REV. | | DATE | REVISION | | |